Germany, 1949 - - p. 3 Controversy on

Social Credit p. 4



"Blessed are the young, for they do not hear the President's message!"

Henry David THOREAU

Vol. 10, No. 20

October 1st, 1949

Threepence

## Railwaymen must not be tied by reformist ideas

RAILWAYMEN are fighting a struggle for better wages and better living conditions. The same problem faces all better living conditions. The same problem faces all workers in every country, and workers everywhere should be interested in the railmen's fight for the reason that success in any struggle comes from understanding the issues at stake, the any struggle comes from understanding the issues at stake, the strength at the workers' disposal and the forces deployed against them. But in addition to all this there are many complicating factors which play a less obvious but equally important part. There are all kinds of divided loyalties, varying degrees of desire for social change, even inter-union rivalries which play their part in determining the degree of solidarity and firmness on the part of the men and their leaders, and so affect the outcome of the

The railwaymen's fight for an all-round increase of 10/- shows particularly clearly the compli-cated factors which are brought to bear on an apparently simple

The lowest paid workers on the railways get £4 12s. 6d. a week, and the National Union of Railwaymen claim that this is the wage of 11 per cent. of its members, while no less than 55 per cent. carn less than £5. A year ago, therefore, the N.U.R. put in a claim for an all-round increase of 12/6 a week. The Railway Executive rejected this and offered instead increases of from 3/- to 6d. for the lowest paid grades. The N.U.R., not unnaturally, rejected this offer as disgusting and humiliating, and continued to press for 10/- a week all-round wages increases. The Executive then referred the matter to the Conciliation Board, and both sides agreed to accept the findings. The N.U.R. executive are reputed to have expected a compromise finding giving a 5/- a week rise all round. Instead, the Board rejected the demand for an increase, and quashed the offer of an advance for the lowest paid workers.

Meanwhile, J. B. Figgins, the N.U.R.

advance for the lowest paid workers.

Meanwhile, J. B. Figgins, the N.U.R. leader, had voted at the T.U.C. Congress at Bridlington for the Government's wage stablisation policy, which in effect meant no demands for wage increases, although he was in the midst of the struggle for an advance which was expected to cost £15 millions a year By pledging his union in advance to accept the findings of the Conciliation Board he had put himself in a hopeless position.

#### ECONOMIC PROBLEMS

Now the T.U.C. support of the wage Now the T.U.C. support of the wage stabilisation policy of the Labour government is based on a "responsible attitude towards the country's economic difficulties". In effect, the T.U.C. leaders and the government are saying that Britains' position in the world today is so insecure that it is a threat to the country to demand wage increases which will increase costs and so embarrass ability to compete with other countries. In other words, the trade union leaders are recognising the difficulties of the employers, are looking at problems of production through capitalist eyes. at problems capitalist eyes.

capitalist eyes.

We are constantly told that the only way for "survival" is by making British industry more efficient, lowering costs by the installation of more efficient machinery, more labour-saving and so on. Workers naturally see behind all this the spectre of unemployment, but their political and economic leaders tell them that such measures will improve output and production, bring prosperity and so absorb more labour and reduce risks of unemployment. Some workers believe all this, others are sceptical. The important effect is that the entertainment of such doubts, the identification of workers' interests with employers' interests, completely hamstrings effective struggle.

#### UNION RIVALRIES

Other factors also come in to produce the same effect of breaking up the possibility of unification of action and aim. The N.U.R. made its claim for allround increases without consulting the other railway unions, the Associated Society of Locomotive Engineers and Firemen, and the Railway Clerks'

Association. The A.S.L.E. & F. claim that their first priority at the moment is negotiation of pension schemes. Hence they regard the unilateral action of the N.U.R. as an attempt to pose as the most militant champions of the railwaymen, with the overt intention of capturing A.S.L.E. & F. members. The struggle for other union's members (and hence increased dues) is a commonplace of union tactics. It is a most fruitful source of disunity.

fruitful source of disunity.

The suspicion of such ulterior motivation, together with the willingness to consider the problem from the Boss' point of view, provide a poor foundation for vigorous prosecution of the struggle. Hence half-hearted methds have been employed throughout. The original N.U.R. claim was supported by such devices as Sunday strikes, token stoppages, partial go-slow methods; all of them adding up to an attitude of "this will show you what we could do if we really meant business". After the final rejection of their claim railwaymen naturally felt very bitter indeed, and leaders expressed fears that "the men would steal (sic) the initiative". Goslow tactics were resumed in various depots and districts, but have now been largely zbandoned.

#### DEVALUING THE POUND

On top of the rejection of their claim came the devaluation of the pound, inevitably forecasting a rise in prices and hence a fall in the standard of living and of real wages. Even if they had won their claim, the fruits would have cluded them; now they have not merely failed to advance, they have suffered a wage cut.

Now we have gone into this matter in a rather cold-blooded fashion, not because we are out of sympathy with the railmen's claim—we advise anyone who might think that to read the freedom Press pamphlet "Railways and Society" by George Woodcock. No, our reason for stating the matter thus is that the railwaymen's dilemma is the dilemma of all workers to-day. The railwaymen are in fact very much to the fore among more advanced workers, for they ask not merely for better wages but for more responsibility in the shape of workers' control. And their industry is already an outstanding example of the de facto responsibility for safety and adequate running which does lie in the capable hands of the workers.

#### WORKERS' CONTROL FOR WHAT?

But for the readiness of the railway workers to concede points to the employers' case, to recognise the "patriotism" of not pressing wages claims and so on—all this makes on wonder what sort of control the workers would exercise. If the problems of the Railway Executive are real problems, then the workers when they take over must also concern themselves with reducing labour costs, with rationalisation and all the other things that push wages down now. Such a concertion is an obvious absurdity. Even if the workers took over the railways while other industries continued as before, the railway workers would still have to concern themselves with keeping down transport charges which form an item in the costs of other industries and so affect issues of competition in markets overseas. Again, the situation is an absurd one.

It follows then that if the railway workers or any other section of workers are to press for workers' control, the conception behind the words must be general workers' control of all industry, all production, all the public services.

And they must seek workers' control not in order to operate the market system of production to capture overseas markets, increase exports and all the rest of the factors which paralyse the rational satisfaction of needs to-day; they must seek to control production so that they may place it on an entirely different basis—one which seeks to supply human needs, rather than capture markets.

supply human needs, rather than capture markets.

They must, in short, have imagination enough to hold revolutionary concepts about society and production. If they don't have such ideas, they will inevitably see wage problems through the bosses' eyes, and have the force taken out of their struggle by economic considerations which only make sense at all in a capitalist framework—and the state of the world to-day doesn't allow much sense to emerge even then! If they hold revolutionary concepts, and are inspired by ideas of how men might live, they can apply revolutionary methods of struggle, can cease to claim more workers' control, but instead take control. And they will do so with the object of inspiring other workers to take similar steps—and not merely in this country but everywhere in the world as well.

#### REVOLUTIONARY IDEAS OF WORKERS' CONTROL

OF WORKERS' CONTROL.

The total failure of the political leaders to make a world in which it it possible to live; the failure of the union leaders to resolve a situation facing not a wage rise but instead a cut in real wages; the emptiness of work itself which denies responsibility for directing their industry to men who already are responsible for running it efficiently—all this points to the bankruptey of existing leaders and the existing social and economic system and its methods of directing industry. The situation cries out for the workers to take over, and the idea of workers' control never held greater urgency. But if workers are to take control successfully, they must look beyond union rivalry and patriotism and subservience to the extraordinary system of money and exchange. They must develop a revolutionary consciousness.

## HYPNOSIS

THE well-known music-hall hypnotist, Peter Casson, writes in the Sunday Pictorial (28/8/49) as fol-

"For years it has been to the advantage of hypnotists to insist that no person, while hypnotised, will do anything foreign to their normal moral code. Nonsense! One would only have implant the suggestion into a thoroughly decent human being that it was a Christian act to poison or fire a gun, that it would, shalt we say, save the life of a little child, prevent a great evil, or stop the suffering of thousands, and the murder would be possible."

Mr. Casson makes some suggestions for preventing hypnotism getting into the wrong hands and becoming a menace, proposing the licensing of hypnotists by the British Medical Association and so on. As the quo-tation selected from his article shows, he should also give a little hard thinking to the means of controlling those more potent and deadly hypnotists, the State, Church and Press who have on a vast scale already and with nefarious success adopted precisely the course he warns us hypnotic quacks may exploit.

K.A.B.

#### **DEVALUATION:** REAL GAP

## WIDENS

MUCH has been made recently of the Dollar Gap and the proposed solution which has come in the following the proposed solution which has come in the form of devaluation. manipulation of commodity prices, currency control clauses in the Marshall Plan and a higher price for gold, the five hundred million citizens of the sterling area are to have 'another chance'. Now we know, of course, that the primary occupation of that ill-assorted combination, politician, economist and civil servant, is to balance figures, make profits, strengthen their hands. They have created the problem. Now they attempt a solution. They may succeed temporarily, juggling their exports to balance dollar imports, even if capitalist methods have to be used by socialists, fascist methods by trade union leaders . . . no matter, as long as the books are balanced and the trade graph rising. They will then be satisfied that the Gap has been closed.

Already, however, the closing of one gap is leading to the widening of another. The effect of devaluation will be that the rich will become richer and the poor poorer. But few politicians, and certainly no economists, will apply themselves to the closing of this gap.

Devaluation is meant to help the country by assisting manufacturers of finished goods to sell them at competitive prices in the dollar market. By doing this successfully their profits will increase, shareholders' divi-dends will improve and more will be paid to the government in taxes. The obverse aspect of this cheerful picture, however, is that it can only be attained by the workers suspending their claims for higher wages and better living conditions, for such a rise would mean higher costs for manufacturers and more money in the the hands of the working masses when it is necessary that their spending power be reduced to a minimum. So the British worker, influenced on all sides by appeals to his patriotism, is to take the coming rise in the cost of living without a murmur. For the business man and the Companies, the necessary incentive is provided by the probability of higher profits. But for the worker the incentive comes in the form of the old plea—"It's the only

The disparity between exploiter and exploited is, however, nowhere more obvious than in the colonies and South Africa. The raw materials which, overnight, have become twenty or thirty per cent. more valuable in terms of sterling, are the very commodities which rely on the slave labour of uneducated or near primitive peoples. The labourers who produce, in remote parts of the world, the rubber, tin, gold, copper and oil, are the people on whom the devaluers rely for their solution. Already, in fact, there have been big increases in the wealth of certain groups. Shareholders in London and Johannesburg have made profits of fifty per cent. by simply lifting the telephone receiver. Companies have found their capital increased by a similar amount. Tens of millions of pounds were added to the sterling value of shares on the first day of devaluation.

But the cheap labour of the Rand, Malaya, Rhodesia, West Africa, and the East Indies, by which the Labour Government is trying to save itself, will receive not the smallest fraction of benefit. Once more, as with its predecessors, this trade union government is going to balance its books by further and more severe exploitation of the indigenous colonial peoples over whom it claims benevolent trusteeship.

By the 'luck of the draw' the immediate financial problems of South Africa are postponed. Malan and that most valu-able of institutions, the gold mine, are given a new and profitable lease of life.

But for the natives in their compounds outside Johannesburg, for the youths fresh from their tribal lives who come to the city, conscripted by want, what benefit? After a few years, as before, they will return to their tribes, permanently stricken with phthisis, without pension of any kind. The gold mining companies face a future of prosperity. But there will be no relief for the hundreds of thousands of discarded human wrecks.

The Mining Editor of the Financial

The Mining Editor of the Financial Times writes: "Since the end of last year, the steady increase in the supply of native labour engaged on the Rand has been one of the most welcome features of the industry's experience.

Yet he is not alone in his refusal to

Yet he is not alone in his refusal to consider the human aspect of the matter. People belonging to every group of the community have been misled into believing that the economic sphere holds a permanent solution, that dollar parity is paramount, that this is no time to consider individual grievances or specific cases of hardship. Well, we have seen nations induced into that way of thinking before. In some ways the closing of the Dollar Gap is to serve the same runction as war. The aspirations of the worker and the individual are to be ignored or sacrificed to overcoming this latest crisis. His lot will be, as in war, to work harcer and tor less, for the paradise that is just around the corner.

But experience is teaching us that, no

around the corner.

But experience is teaching us that, no matter how hard we work, governments will find new ways to dissipate the wealth that accrues from this. In our own case Palestine is no longer an expense. Now it is Hong Kong. The price of our Far East commitments is £80,000,000 annually. Now it is the arom bomb, the Brao, the armies of occupation dismanring ractories which could be producing the things we need. Lord Citrine at £0,50 a year. And the Duke of Windsor has dollars to buy a new house in attorida.

So from crisis to crisis, and one waits So from crisis to crisis, and one waits a little impariently for signs of a wider recognition of the root causes of it all. Yet if devaluation, by making it apparent that, Labour or Conservative, trade union leaders or political leaders, the lives of workers and individuals can never be more than expendable and maleable entities, an important lesson will have been learnt. The disillusion is already beginning. An awaredness of the extent to which they have been betrayed increasingly apparent among all sections increasingly apparent among all sections of the working class. Their trade union leaders have betrayed them. The political party in which they placed their faith has betrayed them.

Soon they will have tried all the conventional expedients. Soon there will be no-one whom they can trust but be no-one themselves.

Then it will happen.

CHARLES HUMANA.

## RESISTANCE TO CIVIL GOVERNMEN

THIS American government—what is it but a tradition, though a recent one, endeavouring to transmit itself unimpaired to posterity, but each instant losing some of its integrity? It has not the vitality and force of a single living man; for a single man can bend it to his will. It is a sort of wooden gun to his will. It is a sort of wooden gun to his will. It is a sort of wooden gun to his will. It is a sort of wooden gun to his will. It is a sort of wooden gun to his will. It is a sort of wooden gun to his will. It is a sort of wooden gun to his will. It is a sort of wooden gun to his will. It is a sort of wooden gun to his wooden governments show thus how successfully men can be imposed on, even impose on themselves, for their own advantage. It is excellent, we must all allow. Yet this government never of itself furthered any enterprise, but by the alacrity with which it got out of its way.

After all, the practical reason why, when the power is once in the hands of the people, a majority are permitted and for a long period continue, to rule, is not because they are most likely to be in the right, nor because this seems fairest to the minority, but because they are physically the strongest. But a government in which the majority rule in all cases cannot be based on justice, even as far as men understand it. Can there not be a government in which majorities do not virtually decide right and wrong, but conscience—in which majorities decide only those questions to which the rule of expediency is applicable? Must the citizen ever for a moment, or in the least degree, resign his conscience to the legislator? Why has every man a conscience, then? I think that we should be men first, and subjects afterward. It is not desirable to cultivate a respect for the law, so much as for the right. The only obligation which I have a right to assume is to do at any time what I think right. It is truly enough said, that a corporation with a conscience. Law never made men a whit more just; and, by means of their respect for it, even the well-disposed are daily made the agents of injustice. A common and natural result of an undue respect for law is that you may see a file of soldiers, colonel, captain, corporal, privates, powder-monkeys, and all, marching in admirable order over hill and dale to the wars, against their common sense and consciences, which makes it very steep marching indeed, and produces a palpitation of the heart. They have no doubt that it is a damnable business in which they are concerned; they are all peaceably inclined. Now, what are they? Men at all or small movable forts and magazines, at the service of some unscrupulous man in power?

The mass of men serve the State thus, not as men mainly, but as machines, with their bodies. They are the standing army, and the militia, gaolers, constables, posse comitatus, etc. In most cases there is no free exercise whatever of the judgment or off the moral senses but they put themselves on a level with wood and earth and stones; and wooden men can perhaps be manufactured that will serve the purpose as well. Such command no more respect than men of straw or a lump of dirt. They have the same sort of worth only as horses and dogs. Yet such as these even are commonly esteemed good citizens. How does in become a man to behave toward this American government to-day? I answer, that he cannot without disgrace

It is a hundred years since Thoreau's essay on Civil Disobedience was first published, in an obscure and unsuccessful magazine called Aesthetic Papers in which it appeared under the challenging title Resistance to Civil Government. Raymond Adams, in his presidential address to the Thoreau Society at Concord, Massachusetts this year, remarked that it was a pity the essay had not retained it original title—"The idea of Civil Disobedience is the passive one of not obeying and so gives comfort to pacifists; the idea of Resistance to Civil Government is the active one of aggression, of active resisting. I think it was "resistance" that Thoreau meant." We publish below some extracts from the essay—as fresh and pointed to-day as in 1849.

be associated with it. I cannot for an instant recognise that political organisation as my government which is the slave's government also.

All voting is a sort of gaming, like checkers or backgammon with a slight moral tinge to it, a playing with right and wrong, with moral questions; and betting naturally accompanies it. The character of the voters is not asked. I cast my vote, perchance, as I think right; but I am not vitally concerned that that right should prevail. I am willing to leave it to the majority. Its obligations, therefore, never exceeds that of expediency. Even voting for the right is doing nothing for it. It is only expressing to men feebly your desire that it should prevail. A wise man will not leave the right to the mercy of chance, nor wish it to prevail through the power of the majority.

I hear of a convention to be held at Baltimore, or elsewhere, for the selection of a candidate for the Presidency, made up chiefly of editors, and men who are politicians by profession; but I think, what is it to any independent, intelligent, and respectable man what decision they may come to? Shall we not have the advantage of his wisdom and honesty, nevertheless? Can we not count upon some independent votes? Are there not many individuals in the country who do not attend conventions? But no: I find that the respectable man, so called, has immediately drifted from his position, and despairs of his country, when his country has more reason to despair of him. He forthwith adopts one of the candidates thus selected as the only available one, thus proving that he is himself available for any purposes of the demagogue. His vote is of no more worth than that of any unprincipled foreigner or hireling native, who may have been bought. Oh for a man who is a man, and, as my neighbour says, has a bone in his back which you cannot pass your hand through! Our statistics are at fault: the population has been returned too large. How many men are there to a square thousand miles in this country? Hardly one. Does not America offer any inducement for men to settle here? The American has dwindled into an Odd Fellow—one who may be known by the development of his organ of gregariousness, and a manifest lack of intellect and cheerful self-reliance; whose first and

## THOREAU IS STILL SUBVERSIVE!

When Richard Boyer was hailed before the Un-American Activities Committee in mid-April for sending "subversive literature" to atomic scientists, it was disclosed that he had mailed them copies of Thoreau's Civil

Disobedience. New York Herald Tribune, 20/4/49.

chief concern, on coming into the world, is to see that the Almshouses are in good repair; and, before yet he has lawfully donned his virile garb, to collect a fund for the support of the widows and orphans that may be; who, in short, ventures to live only by the aid of the Mutual Insurance Company, which has promised to bury him decently.

Unjust laws exist: shall we be content to obey them, or shall we endeavour to amend them, and obey them until we have succeeded, or shall we transgress them at once? Men generally, under such a government as this, think that they ought to wait until they have persuaded the majority to alter them. They think that, if they should resist, the remedy would be worse than the evil. But it is the fault of the government itself that the remedy is worse than the evil.

Under a government which imprisons any unjustly, the true place for a just man is also a prison. The proper place to-day, the only place which Massachusetts has provided for her freer and less desponding spirits, is in her prisons, to be put out and locked out of the State by her own act, as they have already put themselves out by their principles. It is there that the fugitive slave, and the Mexican prisoner on parole, and the Indian come to plead the wrongs of his race, should find them; on that separate but more free and honourable ground, where the State places those who are not with her but against her—the only house in which a free man can abide with honour. If any think that their influence would be lost there, and their voice no longer afflict the ear of the State, that they would not be as an enemy within its walls, they do not know by how much truth is stronger than error, nor how much more eloquently and effectively he can combat injustice who has experienced a little in his own person.

A minority is powerless while it conforms to the majority; it is not even a minority then; but it is irresistible when it clogs by its whole weight.

Some years ago the State met me in behalf of the Church, and commanded me to pay a certain sum toward the support of a clergyman whose preaching my father attended, but never I myself. "Pay," it said, "or be locked up in the gaol." I declined to pay. But, unfortunately, another man saw fit to pay it. I did not see why the schoolmaster should be taxed to support the priest, and not the priest the schoolmaster; for I was not the State's schoolmaster, but I supported myself by voluntary subscription. I did not see why the lyceum should not present its tax-bill, and have the State to back its demand, as well at the Church. However, at the request of the selectmen, I condescended to make some such statement as this in writing:—"Know all men by these presents, that I, Henry Thoreau, do not wish to be regarded as a member of any incorporated society which I have not joined."

Thus the State never intentionally confronts a man's senses, intellectual or moral, but only his body, his senses. It is not armed with superior wit or honesty, but with superior physical strength. I was not born to be forced. I will breathe after my own fashion. Let us see who is the strongest. What force has a multitude? They only can force me who obey a higher law than I. They force me to become like themselves. I do not hear of men being forced to live this way or that by masses of men. What sort of life were that to live? When I meet a government which says to me, "Your money or your life," why should I be in laste to give it my money? It may be in a great strait, and not know what to do: I cannot help that. It must help itself as I do. It is not worth the while to snivel about it. I am not responsible for the successful working of the machinery of society. I am not the son of the engineer. I perceive that, when an acorn and chestnut fall side by side, the one does not remain inert to make way for the other, but both obey their own laws, and spring and grow and flourish as best they can, till one, perchance, overshadows and destroys the other. If a plant cannot live according to its nature, it dies; and so a man.

dustriously they locked the door on my meditations, which followed them out again without let or hindrance, and they were really all that was dangerous. As they could not reach me, they had resolved to punish my body; just as boys, if they cannot come at some person against whom they have a spite, will abuse his dog. I saw that the State was half-witted, that it was timid as a lone woman with her silver spoons, and that it did not know its friends from its foes, and I lost all my remaining respect for it, and pitted it.

### SEX AND THE SLOT MACHINE

MR. BASIL HENRIQUES, the East London magistrate, wrote to The Times a fortnight ago, to protest about the recent installation outside shops, of slot machines from which three contraceptives can be obtained by inserting half-a-crown. He considers that a "temptation of He considers that a youth", and says:

"If these machines were in chemists' shops, at any rate the storekeeper would have them under supervision and young-sters would hesitate to be seen obtaining a packet in order to indulge in promiscuous intercourse. Placed in the streets, boys and girls are invited to buy them and no one will ever know that they have done so

done so.

"The information from the Home Office is that 'the sale of contraceptives in this manner is not illegal and there is no power to prevent such sales.' It is illegal to sell intoxicants to young persons under the age of 18, yet, from a welfare point of view, such sales would do them and society far less harm than the sale of contraceptives in this way. Many will consider that these machines are distasteful and offensive to public susceptibilities. However controversial the whole question of contraceptives may be, there is surely unanimity in a desire that contraceptives shall not be easily obtained by children or young persons."

The Sunday Pictorial, that watch-

The Sunday Pictorial, that watch-dog of British morality, took up Mr.
Henriques' protest in its issue of Henriques' protest in its issue of 18/9/49 with the headline "This Scandal must be stopped NOW."

Mr. Henriques in a speech last

"The Government was approached and I have in my pocket a letter from the Ministry of Supply which stated that they were encouraging the sale and manufacture of these machines, because 'If we can make a success of their sales over here then there will be a wonderful opportunity for exporting them to dollar countries.'
"Here is Feeland, which has stood

"Here is England, which has

"Here is England, which has stood with pride on its great moral standards, sinking to that kind of level," he continued, "Willing to sell its birthright simply to get dollars, and encouraging promiscuous intercourse between adolescent boys and girls."

We are not interested in the makers of the machines. Their object, like any other firm's, is to make money; but we share Mr. Henriques' disgust at the Ministry of Supply, who, when an alledgedly moral issue is involved, can only invoke . . . the Export Drive!

However, what concerns us most is the immorality implicit in the attitude of Mr. Henriques. He says that "there is surely unanimity in a desire that contraceptives shall not be easily obtained by children or young persons, but a man in his position must know that among educationalists and psychologists there is by no means unanimity in regarding adolescent sexual intercourse as reprehensible. The views held by, for example, Wilhelm Reich and A. S. Neill are not unknown even if they do not yet receive widespread approval.

The contraceptives referred to are, of course, "french-letters" which are generally considered to be not only a precaution against conception but also against venereal infection. (Professional prostitutes buy large quantities for their customers for this reason.) So the ban on slot-machine contraceptives which Mr. Henriques and his supporters would like to see, would be, in fact, a measure against a decrease in undesirable adolescent pregnancies and against a lessening of the spread of venereal disease. (Por even the most optimistic do-gooder would scarcely suggest that adolescents will stop copulating because can't get frenchletters out of a slot-machine!)

What, in fact, these people want is to deprive adolescents from enjoying a pleasurable activity—they could hardly call it an unnatural one—by discouraging them from avoiding its possible unwanted consquences. As to the suggestion that the machines will be used by young children, it seems unlikely that they will want to spend half-a-crown on three balloons.

#### RESISTANCE, (New York) August-September, 1949.

THE arrival of a new issue of Resistance is always to be welcomed. This edition contains a long article by Marcus Graham on "Anarchism, Capitalism and Marxism", and a most interesting study of the French painter Camille Pissarro by Michael Grieg, who says that, "Pissarro was an anarchist of Proudhonian dimensions, though he would say that Proudhon's anti-authoritarianism was still to authoritarian for him." There is a summing-up of the discussion on anarchism which has been going on in the columns of Resistance and Freedom as a result of the important editorial article in the former paper last year, while a very astute article by D. W. analyses what is meant in America by the word "Tolerance":

"It has now become a part of the credo of every good American that Tolerance is a Good Thing. We ought not, we are reminded by liberals, conservatives and reactionaries, send people to concentration camps because they are Jews or Catholics, Negroes or Hindus. An American is Tolerant.

"(The signs in the subways are not one bit ambiguous: They do not say:

Negroes or Hindus. An American is Tolerant.

"(The signs in the subways are not one bit ambiguous: They do not say: "Don't let anybody make any nasty cracks about Joe Dragumovich, he is a human being just like you (and possibly a little better); they say: 'Don't let anybody, etc., about Joe Dragumovich, HE IS AN AMERICAN JUST LIKE YOU!')

"Just as those Russian politicians tell their future soldiers: Let's not have any of this bourgeois cosmopolitanism, this is Russia, and if you don't like it, get the hell back to Siberia.

"So there is the fact: Tolerance is the new name for Nationalism and Patriotism. Not very surprising, indeed; except for groups singled out for a scapegoat rôle, the unity of all citizens is regularly the unity of all citizens is regularly affirmed by aggressive nationalisms. Before long—it takes a certain undue confidence to believe it will be before long, but so be it—before long Tolerance will be a word as odious as Nationalism."

#### SOME SECOND-HAND BOOKS OF SPECIAL INTEREST FOR FREEDOM READERS

PETER KROPOTKIN:

EMMA GOLDMAN: Living My Life, 2 vols. (damaged) My Disillusionment in Russia

C. E. S. WOOD:

THOMAS MARCH: Memoirs of a Revolutionist, 2 vols.

Mutual Aid (Heinemann's Edition)
Fields, Factories and Workshops
The Conquest of Bread
The Terror in Russia
Russian Literature, Ideals and Realities
12/6

Memoirs of a Revolutionist, 2 vols.

15/- History of the Paris Commune
7/6
FRANK HARRIS:
5/- The Bomb (Novel on the Chicago
Anarchists)
CHARLES T. SPRADING: JOSEPH McCABE: 5/- The Martyrdom of Ferrer (His Life JAMES L. WALKER:

Just Arrived . . .

Resistance (U.S.A.) August-September, 1949
Umanita Nova (Rome) ... ...

By now all our postal subscribers will have received one copy of the monthly pamphlet on new books, Books of the Month. If you want to get it regularly and have not yet told us, please let

Moving? Clearing out old books? Send them to us to be sold for the Press Fund.

FREEDOM BOOKSHOP

27 RED LION STREET LUNDON - W.C.I

#### Augustus John Looks at Life

Historically we find warfare to be wholly ruinous except to those who abstain from it. Faced with the political differences which now rend the world, I cannot pretend to be impartial, but with an almost morbid horror of violence and coercion I try to remain open-minded and prepared to consider the merits of any doctrine, even that of the Sermon on the Mount, provided it be presented fairly and not at the point of a pistol. In fact I am to be persuaded rather than dragooned.

Kipling said "Fast is Fast and West."

Tam to be persuated faither than dragooned.

Kipling said "East is East and West is West; and never the twain shall meet": that seems to me nonsense: they do more than meet; they interpenetrate; however far removed, both will overlap on the common ground of humanity and freedom. We must not sink our differences but rather honour them before they disappear entirely in the arithmetical anonymity of the work-state where even the accidents of our colouring will disappear under the dirt of universal toil. Indoctrinated from the cradle in the principles of servitude, there will be few who will dare to deviate even in thought from the straight and narrow path of political expediency: there will be powerful inducements not to do so . . The State, modelling itself closely on the Divinity it has superseded, will be keeping an eye on you all the time. This outlook repels me.

—AUGUSTUS JOHN

-AUGUSTUS JOHN

In our last issue, we published a concise summary of the Germany tragedy of 1919-1949. Here, the same writer examines the immediate past and shows how inevitably the new German reaction springs from it.

## Germany, 1949

## has not yet died away.

WE walk through ruins. In 1943-45 most of the German cities were uprooted. The foreign reader has heard of the night flights of the R.A.F., has seen photographic reproductions of the mass murder in newspapers and the cinema but he can have no actual cons cinema, but he can have no actual conception of the result of these happen-ings. In the long run, such a recital becomes monotonous and therefore boring. Even in Germany itself people begin to take these things for granted, and take no more notice of the ruins, on which weeds and sometimes potatoes are growing.

After churches, prisons and bridges, business premises are being repaired and rebuilt. The millions of homeless remain in barracks and ruins.

Allied and Nazi propaganda were and are still interested in minimising the true extent of the bomb victims, but the German people knows from its own experience that millions of women. children and men have been destroyed by the bombs. Hundreds of thousands still lie in piles of rubble that has not yet been cleared. In Hamburg, to take one example, a quarter of the popula-tion-250,000-was wiped out in one tion—250,000—was wiped out in one terrible night. Similar nights of terror in all German cities, and in

Berlin, Dresden, etc., it was even worse.

People who were not suffocated in the cellars rushed out to sink or burn Every day the in the melting asphalt. cities were burning and weeks later smoke still came out of the ruins.

Can one wonder that the noise of the bombing has not yet died away? Millions of people lost their nearest overnight. Many have come out of the holocaust with their nerves ruined.

The headquarters of Big Business and the Gestapo centrals remained undisturbed by the bombing.

#### 2. The collapse of National-Socialism 1943-45.

National-Socialism (Nazism) is the highest phase of German reaction that followed the social revolutionary move-ment of 1918-19. The traditional ment of 1918-19. The traditional form of reaction—militarism, elericalism, monarchism—found no response service after the revoluamongst the people after the revolu-tion of 1918. So, as in Russia, the tion of 1918. So, as in Russia, the reaction triumphed with socialist and socialist and revolutionary phrases (Bolshevism). In Germany the reaction also appeared in modern dress.

The success of Nazi reaction due, however, not only to socialistic demagogy and terrorism against all political opponents, but above all, through practical reforms and social betterment of conditions: i.e., maintenance and extension of social insurance as far as this accorded with the greater exploitation of the working class; removal of mass unemployment and

1. The Noise of the bombs therefore the creation of a higher standard of living for the workers; material benefits for the youth (Sports stadiums, excursions, theatre

> Anti-Fascist, and particularly Marxist, these actions. One can understand it being painful for Marxist national state socialists to admit that Adolf Hitlers' national-socialism put their own programme into practice, by the same nationalisation of the means of pro-

National-socialism was, in fact, national and state socialist, reformist and "proletarian" in the same way as Bolshevism. It made important concessions to the psychology and material needs of the Marxist workers, in order to misuse them the better as cannon and labour fodder. It was necessary for them to gain the moral support of workers in order to intensify ploitation. Now, since 1939-42-45, the mass basis of National-socialism has shrivelled up because of the loss of moral support which it has received.

This loss of sympathy was greater in the petty bourgeoisie and upper middle class (who had cheered Nazism the loudest) than in the working class, of which a minority had been upholders of Nazi law-and-order, but a majority had refused their allegiance as expressed in go-slow, help for the foreign and prisoners-of-war, and grumbling.

The working-class waited signal from above, just as in 1933, in order to convert their passive resistance into an active one.

The proletarian soldiers of the Wehrmacht deserted usually singly or in small groups. Only a few thought of a revolutionary uprising.

The conspiracy came from above (20th July, 1944) and the generals initiated neither the proletariat nor the proletarian soldiers in their plot. The soldiers marching on Berlin did not know why they had been sent for by superiors. So the conspirators soon isolated, surrounded and were soon isolated, surrounded and hanged. The dictatorship of the "workers'" party alluded in their propaganda to the "true" people against the "treacherous" generals. In fact, the 'true' people had even more radical ideas on war and Nazism than the generals, who wanted the war to go on system their direction. under their direction.

The mounting opposition to Nazism and its war finally pervaded all classes of society, from the ruined petty bourgeoisie and still more violently to the workers. It became ever more apparent and caused Hitler to declare that he "could shed no tears for the German people in the case of his defeat".

Hitler gave instructions that occupied German cities and industries should be destroyed, a "scorched earth" policy that was only carried out by Allied bombardment, Russian loot and later

the dismantling programme of the ruling clique. The German people themselves did not obey Hitler's command of destruction.

The German Army collapsed. The nationalist illusion broke down in the German people, and there was a great will for new, internationalist and liber-tarian slogans. Germany was ripe not only for an anti-fascist but also for a social revolution.

The N.S.D.A.P. and its organisation melted away to nothing. Even fanatical Nazis wavered. The responsibles committed suicide or hid themselves in dread not merely from the Allies (with whom Himmler, Goering, etc., negotiated) but from the rage of the cheated and suffering people. The Nazis feared a bloody reckoning for their shameful deeds since 1933, a St. Bartholomew's

Nobody thought about national resistance against invasion. The "Were-wolf" and "Alpine Fortress" movements were the last fictions of Nazi propaganda. German nationalism morally and ideologically weak that even up to the present day it has brought about not a single attentat or underground organisation. It has never produced up to the present day any illegally organised resistance movement in Germany.

The German masses were still less inclined towards national resistanceon the contrary, they welcomed armies of the "hereditary enemy"

From the first day of invasion they acted spontaneously against the re-peated persuasion of years and the special orders of Hitler and Goebbels, and committed spontaneous national treason by fraternising with the occupy-ing troops. A spirit of anti-nationalism and internationalism was moving across Germany, that drove the remaining Nazi fanatics purple in the face. It was, once again, a great and decisive hour for the development of Germany and Europe. It was systematically destroyed by the powers that had fostered Nazism in 1933 and who were still united with it until the outbreak

#### 3. The terrorism of the victorious powers against the German people 1945-49.

The spontaneous fraternisation and will for freedom of the German masses in 1945 as they turned away from Nazism, was answered by an arrogant ban on fraternisation, a million differ-ent acts of violence against unarmed women and children, and by systematic plundering of workers' quarters and workers' dwellings.

This bloody terror against the German working people was accompanied by the most intensive precautions to protect Church, Capital and Nazi pro-perty. The four Allied powers made themselves gendarmes for the protection of German capitalists, Nazis and priests, to save them from the rage of the people. The terror of the four occu-pied powers was solely directed against the German people, and against every revolutionary, anti-fascist movement, against every possible repetition of a social revolution, against every attempt at fraternisation, against every inter-nationalist popular gesture. This terror had a clear aim:

## AMERICA DEFENDS FRANCO

AMERICA will not permit the Franco regime to be overthrown by Communists or Spanish Left-wing extremists. That is what Mr. Dean Acheson, the U.S. Secreary of State told Mr. Bevin during the recent Washington talks.

He suggests that both the U.S. and Britain should bring further pressure to bear on Franco to liberalise his regime, and that both countries might start a cautious campaign designed to create a more favourable climate for the eventual recognition of the present Spanish Government.

According to the Daily Mail's Washington correspondent, Bevin is believed to have received this suggestion open-mindedly.

"Mr. Acheson also disclosed that during the U.S. Navy's recent official visit to Spain, Franco told Admiral Conolly that the U.S. may have a naval base in Spain any time she wishes."

#### LIBERALISING

Commenting on the wave of underground activity in Spain, Resistance

The American press has given some little attention to the bombings of the Barcelona consulates of three nations which had demonstrated their support of Franco in the United Nations, and the dynamite during and after Franco's visit to that city. Of the violent persecution and repression that stirs these protests the papers give little

Among the liberal French press a considerable campaign is underway for the release of Jose Lopez, a member of the anarcho-syndicalist CNT, who is facing verdict (he has been denied even the right When ot choose a lawyer). When the surprised and besieged a secret meeting in the Barcelona suburb of Hospitalet on March 9th, a battle ensued, which one police official was killed,

Lopez seriously wounded, and most of the CNT people arrested. A fighter in the anarchist Durruti column during the revolution, active in the Maquis during the German occupation of France, Lopez had recently crossed the border France to work in the underground move nent. After capture, he was repeatedly interrogated in the fascist manner," and is charged with "conspiracy against the Franco regime and attentat against the agents of authority."

The Spanish anarchist press-in-exile reports almost weekly trials and execu-tions. On July 1st, a Council of War ns. On July 1st, a Council of War Madrid condemned 8 members of the CNT, including 2 women, to 10 to 30 years for "illegal association and conspiracy against the form of government" (there is no legal association in Spain); in Bilbao 19 anti-fascists were sentenced to 3 to 20 years for having a radio transmitter; 15 members of the Socialist Party, including 4 members of the Executive Committee, were sentenced in early July to 5 to 20 years for "subversive propaganda"; 3 anti-fascists were execured in Madrid for "activities against the regime", in another case sentences of 6, 12 and 25 years were imposed; in Bilbao 2 anti-fascists were executed (a third, arrested with them two years before, died in prison from torture); the CNT France-Spain courier, Francisco Denis (Catalá), arrested in a border-crossing, committed suicide to avert further torture. And so

The heroic men of Spain die, a single The heroic men of Spain die, a single bomb or a single illegal paper brings hundreds, perhaps thousands, of arrests. What is the use of it? . . . But the Spanish anarchists, the spearhead of the anti-fascist resistance, will not let the Spanish people sink into apathetic acceptance of France's Christian state. ance of Franco's Christian state. It is just such a stubborn and hopeless resist-ance that has always maintained among the Spanish people the spirit that led to the great achievements of 1936—which nearly changed the history of Europe.

#### (i) To turn the German people from their internationalist and anti-militarist attitude and drive them back to national hatred.

(ii) to solidify German capital and reaction to ensure the interests of the victors.

It is sufficient to point out that German deserters who had gone over to the Allies or Dutch or Italian resistance movements, were rewarded by the Alled powers by being handed over to the German hangmen, in order to be sentenced to death by the Wehrmacht for their desertion. This act of murder was carried out by the German hang-men in the name of the occupation authorities, since there was no longer any German government.

The victorious powers wanted to tell the German soldiers: "You should not ert! You owe a blind obedience to we will hand you back to your own authorities for vengeance. You are and remain bound to them and that is our will."

That corresponded with the favoured treatment received by German officers and SS. compared with the general ill-treatment received by the imprisoned mass of soldiers, by the four occupation powers.

The terror of the first four weeks was systemised and crystallised in the last four years in the following manner:

(1) Abject hunger until the cur reforms; a modified hunger regime since.

(2) The brutal expulsion 12,000,000 men, women and children from the Eastern zone, and their inincorporation in the overpopulated

(3) Continued dismantling and wanton destruction of works essential to life, without any connection with disarmament, and a result withe increase of unemployment and misery.

MARTIN BUCHER.

(To be concluded)

#### THE LEOPARDS CHANGE THEIR SPOTS

EX-MEMBERS of the German SS and other Nazis are being recruited in Western Germany to fight against the Greek guerrillas, according to a report from Brussels in Reynold's News (4/9/49).

The Daily Graphia's correspondent

in China reveals (9/9/49) that Gen. Chiang Kai-Shek is enrolling ex-Japanese air-force pilots to fight the Chinese communists.

## THE BOMB

THE Russia Has The Bomb story exploded at just the right psychological time for the Western bloc. American steel, air-craft and shipbuilding shares went up on Wall Street "in the belief that America will now build up its armanents, increasing employment and preventing any return of the near-slump". The Daily Mirror reports that, "There was great excitement in America. Radio network announcers broke into musical programmes. Newsboys shouting, "The Russians have the Bomb," quickly sold out to people quickly sold out to people queuing for special editions of the newspapers. Telephone switchboards in newspaper offices were swamped with calls from people who had heard distorted versions of the radio announcements." The head of the U.S. Senate Atomic Commission, Senator McMahon, heralding a race in bomb-production said: "America will rely on an overwhelming stockpile of atomic weapons as a warning against laggression," On the other hand, Dr. Brock Chisholm, chairman of the World Health Organisation, had the cheerful comment: "Germ warfare weapons have been developed so much that the atomic bomb does not count any longer."

In Britain the bomb story served to the public mind off the devaluation troubles, and has been followed by reports an agreement with Fascist Portugal, ritain's Oldest Ally", under which this country will receive the entire output of a vital radioactive by-product of the of a vital radioactive by-product of the Portuguese tin mines, and so achieve a world lead in atom experiments. The Sunday Empire News states that "Dr. Salazar, Portuguese Dictator, took a personal interest in the negotiations," and goes on to say that "another important development is a decision to provide the British Navy with a flotilla of aircraft carriers for bombers capable of dropping atom bombs."

How nice for you, British taxpayer. We feel sure you will agree with the conclusion of the editorial on Devaluation in last Sunday's Observer: "In the prospect before us there are many uncertainties; but this one thing is quite certain: we must be ready now to work harder and live less well, or presently we shall be hard put to live at all."

#### FREEDOM PRESS 27, Red Lion Street.

London, W.C.I.

C. Berneri

ON LAW

ROPOTKIN—HIS FEDERALIST 2d. Errice Malatesta: VOTE WHAT FOR? M. L. Berneri: WORKERS IN STALIN'S RUSSIA F. A. Ridley: THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH AND THE MODERN AGE Gaston Leval: COLLECTIVES IN SPAIN Charles Duff:
A HANDBOOK ON HANGING 2/-John Olday: THE MARCH TO DEATH THE LIFE WE LIVE, THE DEATH WE DIE 1/6 2/6 A. Cilige: THE KRONDSTAT REVOLT Icarus:
THE WILHELMSHAVEN REVOLT 60 "Equity": THE STRUGGLE IN THE FACTORY 3d McCartney:
THE FRENCH COOKS SYNDICATE 3d William Godwin: SELECTIONS FROM POLITICAL JUSTICE

P. J. Proudhon:
GENERAL IDEA OF THE REVOLUTION

IN THE 19th CENTURY Cloth 5/-

## THROUGH THE PRESS

#### **EPITAPH**

Bridlington was a conference of compromise that settled nothing, left Labour looking to the future with self-doubt. Membership in the T.U.C. had nearly doubled in the past ten years, but British unionism was running to fat rather than muscle. Free collective bargaining and the strike were atrophying in the present chilly Socialist climate. British trade unionism seemed a bit like a Pleistocene mastodon. It still made occasional roaring noises amid the forest's falling leaves But there was a sharp nip in the air. The Crippsian Ice Age was setting in.

Time (U.S.A.) 19/9/49.

#### THE DOUBLE MORALITY

Like thousands of other folk, I listened earnestly last Sunday evening to the Chancellor explaining our country's predicament, the coming increase in the price of bread and flour, and the warning to those who might seek to take advantage of this latest misfortune.

Yet the following night my evening paper regaled me with columns of news and photographs of the Great Boom in Gold Shares and the profit accruing from the gambling. What interesting reading for the railwaymen and any other body who are asked to be loyal to their Government and their country by not pressing wage claims at the critical

Letter in News Chronicle, 22/9/49.

## LONDON, 1949

As a reporter on a national daily newspa who has covered some 30 of the Hackney Dalston battles in the last two years, I say quite coldy that the behaviour of prattending Fascist meetings is openly pro-Ear and anti-Semetic. This is, maybe, a "gi allegation" and a "serious charge against Metropolitan Police Force".

But consider this. In Dalston Lane, Hackney, one recent Sunday, I watched the beginning of counter marches by "Union Movement" members and anti-Fascists. A youth appeared, running for his life, pursued by about 20 men. One of the pursuers was swinging a car starting-handle, and two more had knuckle dusters.

There were thirty-two policemen (I checked the figures with a senior local police officer) standing within 30 feet.

standing within 30 feet.

They watched this youth being tripped, beaten with the starting handle, and kicked in the ribs. His glasses were smashed, one eye closed, and his forehead cut, as far as I could see, in about three places. Those who attacked him ran off down the street without one police hand raised to stop them. But the man on the road was picked up bodily, slung into the police van and threatened with a truncheon. A policeman shouted, "Get in, you Yiddish bastard." It's hard to believe that. It's hard to believe also, that this man, set upon by thugs, was later charged with creating a disturbance.

It was quite clear to me, and to several other

It was quite clear to me, and to several other experienced observers from other London papers, that in the first instance, the police deliberately held off until the man had had a severe beating. I put the matter to an inspector at the Fascist meeting in Ridley Road, E., half an hour later. "If a few more of the bleeders got that treatment, we'd be freer of Jews along here," he said.

said.

I wish to make it quite clear that I am neither Jew nor Communist. I am a Socialist who firmly believes that Communism is an enhemeral fallacy. But I know a middle-aged Jewish woman, who was moved along by the police, was speaking the truth when she said to me, "A Jew daren't go out here on a Sunday night. I don't look too Jewish. I can keep my face covered up, anyway, when it's pretty dark."

Latter in Nice Court

Letter in New Statesman, 24/9/40.

## WHERE IT'S DUE

GEORGE WOODCOCK'S article, "The Canadian Set-up" moves me to say that I had thought Freedom free of the conventional news-suppression The Canadian Set-up" moves me to say that I had thought Freedom free of the conventional news-suppression common to the capitalist press. But I think it something more than remarkable that a review of the Canadian set-up can mention the political parties and their relationships without a single mention of the Social Credit in Canada. The Social Credit Party in Canada not only runs the province of Alberta but has considerable backing in other provinces with seats in the Federal government. It is queer that a union of anarchist groups without any machinery for implementing their dream of freedom for the individual in co-operation should ignore the successes of the Social Crediters in Canada who have, in Alberta, decreased their taxation by 40 per cent. since 1935 while promoting expansion of all sorts of social services, land and industrial development, etc. While the rest of the world sinks to annihilation under a colossal pile of fictitious debt and taxation, Alberta has reduced her debt by 37 million dollars.

While men are in debt nothing can make them free. Social Credit has the means to set them free, while the anarchist idea seems to be to vie with the Communists, merely in chucking destructive spanners—at which game, have no doubt, the Communists can win all the time.

GLADYS BING.

GLADYS BING.

## Meetings and Announcements

UNION OF ANARCHIST GROUPS: CENTRAL LONDON

OPEN AIR meetings are held in Hyde Park every Sunday.

Speakers, support for the platform and literature sellers will be equally welcome.

INDOOR Lecture-Discussions will b weekly on Sundays at 7.30 p.m. at Trade Union Club, Great Newport St., W.C.2 (near Leicester Square Station). PLEASE NOTE the CHANGE OF ADDRESS

OCTOBER 2nd Albert Meltzer
"Revolution in Mexico"

October 9th Tony Gibson "Have we abolished God yet?"

October 16th Norman Haire
"Sexual Behaviour and Society" October 23rd Geoffrey Thorpe
"The Meaning of Freedom in Education"

October 30th
"Brains Trust on Anarchism" November 6th Mat Kavanagh
"History of Anarchist Idea"

#### GLASGOW ANARCHIST GROUP

Outdoor Meetings MAXWELL STREET. every Sunday at 7 p.m.,
Frank Leech, John Gaffney, Eddie Shaw.
The new series of weekly Indoor Meetings
begins on Sunday, 16th October, at 4 p.m.
CENTRAL HALLS, 25 BATH STREET,
GLASGOW.

MERSEYSIDE ANARCHIST GROUP OPEN AIR meetings on Lewis Blitz Site Sunday evenings at 7.30 p.m.
All comrades welcome, All comrades welcome, Enquiries: Ring Royal 4669

GODWIN SOCIETY
A Godwin Society is being formed. Its

ects are: To encourage the study of William Godwin's social and philosophical

writings.

2. To investigate ways and means by which Godwin's social principles can be related to the problems of contemporary life.

3. To publicise by press and platform the results of these enquiries.

Will readers interested write for further information to:

information to:
ALAN SMITH,
12 Shawville Gardens, Ilford, Essex.

WILL ANY READER, wanting to correspond with a French anarchist student, write to Freedom Press ?

#### HAMPSTEAD

Please note that the weekly discussion meetings are being temporarily dis-EAST LONDON

Will readers interested in the formation of an East London Anarchist Group, please write to the Provisional Secretary, c/o Freedom Press?

CORRESPONDENTS: A Swedish syndicalist student, and his wife are anxious to correspond with two Freedom readers.

MRS. BING'S criticism of my article seems rather beside the point, since I was writing specifically on the issues revealed by the Canadian federal elections, and in these the Social Credit Party paid a minor and insignificant

However, the question of the Social Credit government of Alberta is an interesting one, though Mrs. Bing grossly exaggerates its importance. Firstly, it should be pointed out that the Canadian provincial governments are something in the nature of large-scale county councils; foreign policy, the armed forces, income tax, the Mounted Police, and many major issues affecting the lives of the people, fall completely outside their sphere of action. Their functions are strictly limited to local affairs, and are steadily being reduced by the centralist tendencies of the Ottawa authorities.

The Social Credit government of Alberta has managed its own limited sphere of activity like any average reformist clique; it is fantastic to attribute its actions to what we have always imagined to be the characteristic features of Social Credit—financial reform, etc., for it has made no progress at all in these spheres. In fact, even Tories in Alberta look on the Social Credit bosses with relative benignity, remarking that it is amazing how a few years in office will tone down these "extremists".

Before waxing enthusiastic over the "achievements" of the Alberta government, it should be born in mind that, since 1938, the province has been going through a steadily mounting boom, unparalleled in other Canadian provinces. Such factors as the world demand for wheat from 1938 onwards, the construction of the Alaska highway, the spread of wartime industry, and, finally, the opening of a major oil-field in the province have turned Alberta into a little Texas, and, through no effort of the government, there has been a tenyear era of enhanced activity and prosperity. To talk of the government is beside the point; the interests of American capitalists and militarists have brought an expansion in which the government merely gladly acquiesced.

The same "act of God" has filled the provincial treasury with unexpected manna; the province owns all mineral rights, and somewhere about a quarter of its revenue now comes from oil royalties. This fact

also be remembered that there is an in-creasing tendency for the dominion government to subsidise provincial pro-jects out of money obtained through income tax and customs and excise, so that the citizen often pays in dominion taxes what he may save in provincial taxes, which in Canada to-day are usually a minor part of what the indi-vidual is called upon to pay to the state.

The existence of the Social Credit government in Alberta is an interesting indication of the small capitalist attitude which I mentioned in my article. In 1936, during the depression, the Social Crediters made their appeal through their denunciation of the banks, whose foreclosing activities made them at that time the great enemy of farmers and small tradesmen. As the spreading oil and industrial interests turn the province over to a domain of large capitalism, I venture to predict that, like the various similar movements which arose in the United States before 1914, Social Credit will rapidly wane in Alberta as a political influence.

GEORGE WOODCOCK.

#### **GLASGOW AND** THE POLICE

The Glasgow Anarchist Group

report:

We still pull in a good crowd, and as always we expose all forms of politics and religion, which makes question time the most interesting part of the meetings. As there is no time limit, it is often 11 p.m. and after before the meeting ends

11 p.m. and after before the meeting ends.

We get an odd Christian or Communist fanatic along and this helps to liven up the meetings, but we notice particularly that the bulk of the questions are mainly probing into the anarchist case, which is always thoroughly dealt with.

We have had the police interfering again. On Sunday, 12th September, they at us twice, wanting us to cut out our loud-speaker, which we refused to do. We ignored them, carried on the meeting, and in their presence told the audience that we were carrying out as usual, and that it was in their interests to see that the police didn't stop us.

# Controversy: GIVING CREDIT Devaluing the doctrines are not merely capable of fusion as different emphasis on who should fill the managerial rôles. When we reflect that for the minor different expects of totalitarianism the whole world may be destroyed by atomic bombing, we are less inclined to give economics the contemps of the co

SINCE the natural resources and available labour which go to create national wealth quite obviously remained exactly the same before, during and after devaluation, the only sure manner of knowing that an economic crisis existed was to go along to the Stock Exchange and watch the excited spivs throng the pavement shouting and screaming in the frenzied rush to cash in what at least they believed were the country's economic difficulties. The police were naturally powerless to prevent the obstruction caused, since this was not a crowd of barrow-boys but gentlemen in striped trousers and black coats; and although resplendent in its new collars-and-ties the Metropolitan police was busily looking for check-suited bookmakers who took bets on the street corners, even a bets on the street corners, even a divisional superintendent could differen-tiate between the gamblers who dealt in shortages and their resultant misery.

As the speculators piled up fortunes and went off to their clubs to complain about the growing greed of the railwaymen who were accused of high treason because of their decision actually to work to the rules arbitrarily laid down by their employers, the infringement of which would lead to legal action in the event of an accident being caused, it was time for the man-in-the-street to ponder over the meaning of devaluation of the pound sterling.

The absurdity of this four-card-trick, The absurdity of this four-card-trick, which seeks to improve the economic standard by juggling with figures, is patent to the most uninformed and illiterate member of the public. Unfortunately, simplicity does not seem to be an asset in the world of to-day. Whilst the manin-the-street took in the position at his first guess, he did not trust himself, and preferred to listen to the experts. They always sound convincing. The pundits explained the position in terms of economics. But economics is not a science, it is an agreed swindle. What does all this bosh about earning dollars and the dollar gap mean in reality? We do not eat, drink, smoke, wear, ride or live in dollars; under the present economic set-up they are powerful as a means of exchange, but alter the set-up and dollars will be valuable only as curiosities of the past. Our needs and luxuries come from material advantages in the land (which being inanimate and part of the earth's surface, belong to no man or nation by any right save that of violence and conquest) allied to the efforts of man's labour. He is robbed of the fruits of his work by the economic arrangement that has built up a system of exploitation out of what was originally merely a convenient method of replacing sale-by-barter—the monetary system.

The economic pundits' explanations of the world's shortages are so patently ridiculous that clothed in the appropriate jargon they are very often believed by the readers of the daily press. Once one begins to believe in the economics of the modern State one can cheerfully accept such statements as that it is necessary to work harder in order to send all that we produce abroad, in order to raise our own standard of life; or that the less money the people who go to make a nation receive as individuals, the richer the nation will be. On may even fight to the death to defend one system of economics against another.

The Marxist declares that power sh The Marxist declares that power should be invested in the bureaucrat and the Capitalist declares that it should be invested in himself and the Social-Democrats prove by their very existence that in the modern state both these

with religion as one of the banes of man simagination.

In the Middle Ages men were also destroyed by the thousand for trivial differences. To-day it seems hardly credible that Europe could have swum in blood on such issues as whether to sprinkle a child's head or plunge it into the water; that the world could have been rent asunder for disputes about an admittedly empty tomb; that men could have adored the statue of a lady whose compatriots they burned, while they themselves were liable to be burned by others who adored the same lady's son but broke up her images. But then, and even to-day, the pseudo-science of theology was seriously taught, and people could discuss how many angels danced on the point of a needle just as the London School of Economics ponders over problems which merely postulate the continuance of the wage system for all time. When we read exhortations based on economic crisies, or arguments between the exponents of rival systems of exploitation whose different interpretation of economics is largely based upon the means by which they personally can be-become the masters over those who actually perform the work of production, means by which they personally can be-become the masters over those who actually perform the work of production, we are inclined to recall the disputes of religion—Voltaire tells us a fable of a great dispute that arose between two Mohammedan sects as to whether the prophet wrote the Koran with a pen plucked from Gabriel's wing or if Gabriel had made his a present of it. When a certain freethinker insinuated that first of all it would be proper to examine whether the Koran was really written with a pen taken from the wings of Gabriel, he was stoned.

Does the parallel need underlining?— Should we be exploited at all?

## Power

[The following letter from Dr. S. V. Pearson raises the problem of taxation as a weapon of the State. For reasons of space, we have slightly shortened it.—

Eps.]
Your pages reflect a desire for approach' in order to attract fre herents to the sensible ten herents to the sensible ten

EDS.]
Your pages reflect a desire for a 'new approach' in order to attract fresh adherents to the sensible tenets of anarchism. I have no desire to enter into the fray to discuss the relative place of such all-important subjects as sex and education; but there is one question, the neglect of which has struck me constantly, and that is this:

I wonder why so few anarchists inveigh against taxation seeing that the power of governments is founded on taxes. Where would any government, which after all is only an agent, be if it had no funds to play with? And how does it use them? Always to control, frustrate, restrict and interfere, to dispense 'social services' for ameliorating the results of poverty which its actions produce, and above all to bamboozle people into supporting war. Perhaps a constant emphasis on such points is considered of secondary importance. Yet, surely, what is of primary importance is to expose the power which enables governments to cramp freedom and home rule.

I suppose a majority accept taxation, at all events tacity, as reasonable and inevitable. That is because a majority are ignorant of the distinction between private and public property and put up with the direct or subtly indirect thieving of the products of their own labour which taxation involves. Even the surtaxes and high death-duties result in curtailing pro-

of the products of their own labour which taxation involves. Even the surtaxes and high death-duties result in curtailing production in a variety of ways and are passed on to workers. It is not all bunk' when the members of an aristocratic family say they have been crippled by successive duties. It is a diversion of funds which they might, and often did spend on productive activities which, when handed over to the State, is spent on armaments, officials and doles. Think of the disintegrated five-barred gates and half-ruined old barns and sheds which are to be found on the farm estates of certain agricultural landlords and the many repairs of buildings which go undone on the town estates of title-holders. Their tenants will not or cannot carry out such repairs. They too, may be hit by taxes. Workers and general production out such repairs. They too, may be hit by taxes. Workers and general production suffer. Wages sink even though many are drafted, for a time and generally unwillingly, into 'The Services' and into the offices of the controllers. Man comes to be divided by these processes into two groups, the regulators (and the would-be regulators seeking 'security') and the rebels. That which a worker adds to production, which should reach him in full, should be his: but our present regime steals much of it from him by such roundabout ways. Meanwhile, ignorance of what is public property leads to the public's true revenue being diverted by Frinted by out such repairs. They too, may be not by taxes. Workers and general production

into the private pockets of the few.

frequently given to taxation is because some have contracted a habit of believing

frequently given to taxation is because some have contracted a habit of believing that taxes can disappear when a scheme for 'abolishing money' has been successful. That seems to me to be inept. Money, howsoever defined (and this is seldom done in such discussions) is a useful invention, and because in our present set-up it can be, and is abused, is no more reason for trying to abolish it than it would be sensible to go to a lot of shepherds in the East and tell them they must give up their shepherd's staffs because they have been known to use them to belabour one another in a quarrel. The evil effects of taxation can with difficulty be disentangled from that which is perforce coupled with it, namely the failure to collect for public services the community's own revenue, which should primarily be collected and administered locally. This failure to take for the community what is its own property coupled with the other permitted robbery, namely the taxes which deprive a producer of a part of his wages, explains how it is that both individuals and the public coffers are poor. It is no wonder that an autocratic State has arisen, one of whose duties is to look after everyone's misfortunes, ostensibly to lighten them. that an autocratic State has arisen, one of whose duties is to look after everyone's misfortunes, ostensibly to lighten them. This socialism is aimed at the welfare of the masses. But it is fundamentally a mistaken policy, founded on ignorance: and it misleads people because it mollifies some of the hardships which would otherwise be more glaring and numerous. Unfortunately, it also turns attention from the root causes of the hardships and bolsters up faith in the power of governments and their benevolence.

There are many other evils which

bolsters up faith in the power of governments and their benevolence.

There are many other evils which arise from shutting one's eyes to the tremendous power of taxes. Just to mention one: the effect of subsidies paid out of the tax-payers' pockets. Take shipping subsidies for example, started more than a generation ago by Germans, Italians, Americans and followed by many other nations, including our own. Recent news reveals a plan for building yet another luxury liner, a monstrous floating palace again not a ship, in America to cost 67 million dollars, and the acceptance of the tender was subject to the U.S. Treasury subsidising the job to the tune of 40 million dollars. This has been granted, though the taxpayers' sanction was never asked. Even a great business magnate, Mr. F. A. Bates of the Cunard Steamship Company points out: "Raw argument and cold temper between nations is taking the place of flowing commerce between free men." The injury to international commerce together with the intrusion of government bulk buying st taking the place of flowing commerce between free men." The injury to international commerce together with the intrusion of government bulk buying augment international friction, and this is but one, and perhaps not the greatest of the evils produced.

Big subsidies such as this naturally have more influence than smaller ones.

Through Taxes What a lot could be said about the Overseas Food Corporation which had over £20,000,000 advanced to it up to March 15th last for the Ground Nut Scheme! Yet evils result even when the public purse is raided for small amounts. Sir Thomas Beecham made some apt remarks when talking at a luncheon on his 79th birthday, on the subject of "sponging on the taxpayers". Ernest Newman put Beecham's views pithily in the Sunday Times (8th May, 1949) thus: "Our public music making is bad as it is, not in spite of all this subsidy, but largely because of it." Similar remarks might be made about the taxpayers' support of Medical Research, Education, etc.

> "The people cannot afford to be enslaved for the sake of being insured." Benjamin R. TUCKER

#### Special Appeal

Sept. 11th to Sept. 24th: London: Anon 2/6; Gosport: A-J.M.\* S Duluth: J.M. 6/-; York: H.A.A.\* II Stirling: R.A.B.\* 10/-; San Francisco: T 3/6; London: R.H. £1; London: I.A.\* I London: W.E.C. 1/6; London: N.P.\* S London: D.C.M. 9d.; London: E.P. 2 Douglas: MC. 2/6; London: C.W.\* 5/-

Total ... £3 16 0
Previously Acknowledged ... £223 8 11

1949 TOTAL TO DATE ... £227 4 11

\*After initials indicates contributors to the 5/- a month scheme proposed by a London reader.

#### FREEDOM Anarchist Fortnightly Price 3d.

Price 3d.

Postal Subscription Rates
6 months 4/6 (U.S.A. \$1).
12 months 8/6 (U.S.A. \$2).
Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies
6 months 7/6 (\$1.50).
12 months 15/- (\$3).
Cheques, P.O.'s and Money Orders should be made out to FREEDOM PRESS, crossed a/c Payee, and addressed to the publishers.
FREEDOM PRESS
27 Red Lion Street
London, W.C.I England
Tel.: Chancery 8364.

Printed by Express Printers, London, E.1, Published by Freedom Press, 27 Red Lion Street, London, W.C.