# ANARCHISTS—and Proud of it!

ANARCHIST! The very word conjures up visions of dangerous men in black cloaks with bombs. wild acts of uncontrolled violence, bloody insurrection, rape, looting and the complete breakdown of imposed law and order; causing the mass of the people to shy away as though it were some incurable disease. Yet how wrong is the popular image. It is true that acts of violence have been committed in the name of Anarchism, it is true that wild statements have been issued. Yet who are the greatest perpetrators of crimes against humanity on untold scales? It is governments who drop tons of explosives on defenceless people, it is governments who have been responsible for the massacres of countless millions throughout history. Governments who supposedly represent law and order and all that is supposed to be Anarchism. peace loving in society.

majority of anarchists, though cer- the whole economy is geared to moment. To them, the success of tainly not pacifists, are neither in- profit-making. Everything that is such a movement would mean, in clined to violence, mostly being peace-loving persons who want no more than to live in a truly free society, where men treat men with respect for human dignity. Why does the popular image remain so vivid? Its basic cause lies in the fact that the anarchist movement is dedicated to the overthrow of government in any form, thus promoting the idea that it is a philosophy based on lawlessness. Nothing could

be further from the truth. Anarch- of life that a very small minority ism is possibly the most highly organised form of society in that, in such a society, every man would live in mutual harmony with his fellow man in a situation where individuals would live in voluntary co-operation with other individuals. It holds to the self-evident truth that, until man is responsible for and in control of his own life, then society's ills will not be cured. It is the only political movement that has this idea to offer. All other political movements are based on the assumption that a government must exist to organise society, thus anarchists are opposed to any form of political grouping that has the formation of another government as its basic aim. The abolition of the state and all its apparatus is one of the basic and original tenets of

In our present society and that of Contrary to popular belief, the the communist world, we find that produced must make a profit whether that item is necessary or not. Thus the quality of life is immediately reduced to the level of sordid materialism. The anarchist wants a society in which production is geared to need and not to profit. A society in which the population itself controls its own means of production. For centuries the working man has been robbed of the reward of his own labour. It is a hard fact to provide huge profits for land

control the destiny of the majority purely through economic dominance. Yet the very ones who produce that wealth are the ones who are exploited. Thus the capitalist. whether state or private, joins with the government in a hatred of the anarchist movement.

#### POPULAR MYTHS

The popular image of the bombthrowing anarchist is that which is perpetuated by the National Press. Every outrage that cannot be explained is immediately tagged with the label 'Anarchy'. Every breakdown of law and order threatens to produce Anarchy and thus the myth goes on. Yet these very papers which do so much to spread antianarchist propaganda are themselves owned by those who have a vested interest in keeping society as it is. Little wonder that they attack and libel Anarchism at every possible real terms, a loss of the privileges which they do so much to retain.

Our democratic society is supposedly based on equality, yet thousands live in conditions which would bring down the wralk of the RSPCA if applied in a zoo. Many are homeless. Yet huge office blocks stand empty for lack of prospective tenants due to high rents; empty blocks whose value increases daily

speculators. Many people actually owning more than one house, government ministers, and often socialist at that, not least amongst them. The anarchist wants an end to this situation, he wants to see all property held in common through such means as Tenants' Associations or perhaps Federated Estates, where all the housing belongs to all those within the Federation and not any individual or council. A society in which everyone is well housed in conditions amenable to human dignity, where people no longer have to squat to find a roof, where exorbitant rents, or indeed any rents are non-existent, where a man can tend his garden and watch his children grow up in health and happiness in conditions fit for all human beings, and not the privileged

#### EDUCATION?

One of the chief propagators of our myth of democracy is the educawhole system is repressive and is used as a cog in the machinery of conformity, where children are moulded through various nefarious exploitation. An obnoxious examination system creams off those of academic achievement to serve as the management of society, whilst the remainder are left as fodder to serve them. A system controlled by discipline and competition designed to repress the individual into a conforming mass. Obviously an education system which exists for the enlightenment of the individual cannot be allowed to prosper, as any form of government just cannot afford to allow a society of free thinking, enlightened individuals to grow, as such a society would spell doom for that government. Anarchism insists that education, particularly higher education, is a right of all and not a privilege for those

of the monied classes or for those with establishment-backed qualifications. The educational system should be used to propagate the ideals of freedom and self-reliance which in turn would lead to and reinforce a free society. But no government in existence would allow this to happen as it would imply self-extinction because people would soon realise that government was completely unnecessary.

How is it that governments can continue to blithely mislead the people they govern so easily? The governments effectively control all the means of communication and media from newspapers, as already mentioned, to TV and radio. The people are only told what the government wishes them to know. Our so-called free press and communications system is only free in that it must comply within certain insidious boundaries. The only alternative is the free presses of the anarchist movement and the like tional system which is founded on and their propagation. But proseclass distinctions and prejudice. The cution on some pretext or another, will always lie just around the corner if ever these alternative news media become too much of a menace to the established way of methods, into accepting a society or things. It is not for nothing that the government exercises a strict monopoly of the radio and TV service.

> The government has a strong ally in the support of a large middleclass which aspires to attain a status of sober respectability, where falso values are inflated beyond all reason and a philosophy of trying to be somebody is all-important. Anarchism to the vast proportion of these people is complete anathema, creating visions of everything they fear. They are too afraid of losing what little they have, not realising that their small loss is everybody's greater gain, and gaily supporting a corrupt system that gives them little handouts to keep them satisfied.

Continued on page 3

## THE FIRES OF FARRINGDON

THE TWIN-BELFRY TOWERS of Holy Cross monastery dominate the skyline of the upper reaches of the Ardoyne district of Belfast. A few yards uphill on the opposite side stretches a row of shops and behind these eight long rows of terrace-houses sweep downhill until arrested by an identical row of houses lying at right-angles to them. A long ribbon of concrete roadway bisects the estate and even the many well-tended gardens fail to relieve the barrack-like atmosphere of the place.

It was in 1935, another year of riots in Belfast, that Catholic refugees occupied the half-completed houses, glad to sleep on the bare floorboards and grateful for the help provided by the people of the adjacent Catholic district of Old Ardoyne. Under these conditions what was almost an entirely Catholic ghetto was created. Almost but not quite; because on the site of the new estate there still stood a tramway depot and the few streets of ancient and decaying houses which comprised Ardoyne village, the miserable homes of Protestant mill-workers. When the village was demolished three streets were built where it had once stood: Cranbrook Gardens, Farringdon Gardens and Velsheda Park. They were occupied mainly by Protestants and for over 35 years they and their Catholic neighbours lived together in peace.

August 1969 changed all that Catholic homes in Old Ardoyne were burned out by Protestant extremists and the Catholics. Next night a regular gun-battle took prepared to fight. Women and children on the other side in the hands of the and pavements, people closed their doors had returned home to salvage some of RUC. B-Specials and UVF. Fortunately for the Catholics their makeshift defences were never put to the test as the British Army arrived in the nick of time and an uneasy peace settled over the area. For some months afterwards both sides worked together and Catholic and

Protestant vigilantes patrolled the streets at night in a joint effort to keep the peace but many of the older, more moderate, Protestant families began to leave the estate. Those who took their place were militant Protestants and once their numbers began to increase they began a campaign of intimidation against Catholic residents in the streets. Catholics in Farringdon Gardens began to receive threatening letters signed by the UVF, one Catholic woman awoke to find that the windows of her house had been painted overnight with the letters UVF and by the time of the July 12 Orange celebrations this year, the streets were bedecked with Ulster flags. Tension was in the air and when the violence did break out in early August no one was surprised.

I was walking home along the long roadway that divides the estate into its upper and lower parts one Saturday night in early August when I heard the first shots. One whizzed harmlessly overhead and I ran quickly into the cover of a nearby alleyway. From here I was able to locate their source. They were coming from Farringdon Gardens and its neighbour Cranbrook Gardens; single shots interspersed with short bursts of automatic fire, most of it flying aimlessly overhead and none of it coming my way so I was able to return home and listen in comparative safety until it finally petered out a few hours afterwards. place. Many more weapons were being were evacuated and buses hijacked to used and us the bullets whined along allowed to go about their work at form barricades but all of the guns were the streets and ricocheted off the roads the other end. One Protestant woman and doused their lights leaving the gunmen in command of the dangerous streets. The battle raged all night long yet incredibly not one word about it appeared in the press next morning nor was it mentioned on the BBC. The events of that Monday night were to hit

the headlines of newspapers and magazines throughout the world.

That Monday, August 9, 1971, saw the introduction of intermment and as families settled around their TV sets after tea-time the sound of sniper fire, so familiar to the people of Ardoyne, was drowned by a much louder noise. At first it seemed that dozens of machineguns were firing but the clouds of smoke rising above the roofteps were accompanied by the pop and crackle of burning timber and quickly the news spread. The Protestants were burning their own homes. Many Protestants returning from work were greeted by streams of women and children running away from the scene and forries laden down with furniture speeding out of the estate. These lorries manned by gangs of youths had appeared in streets offering to carry furniture to safety. Many of the people who trustingly handed over TV sets and other items were never to see them again. as many of the Union Jack waving youths were simply criminals taking mean advantage of a tragic situation, but they were usually able to find new homes in a corporation estate being built at Glencuirn. The events of 1935 were in

some respects being reversed. The army were to add a touch of farce to the tragedy. They had ordered the fire brigade not to enter the area and when Catholics tried to put the lires out they too were ordered away but at the same time as this was going on at one end of the street the fire-raisers were her belongings and as she rummaged in a back room a soldier entered the house. He later claimed that he had heard a noise in the rear and fired when there was no response to his challenge. The old lady was shot dead.

Gradually the fire took its grip and

## State Murder!

PINELLI MURDERED . . .

VALPREDA INNOCENT . . .

Demonstration outside Italian Embassy on Sunday, January 16. March from Speakers' Corner at 2 p.m. Help needed for Leafletting, Fly-Posting, Stickering. Contact ORA, 68 Chingford Road, Walthamstow, E.17.

salvage operations became impossible. Rafters collapsed and slates cascaded into front gardens and back yards or fell into the burning shells of houses; window panes exploded and showers of sparks and red-hot embers drifted skywards. The next day revealed the full extent of the damage. 192 homes had been ravaged by fire and it looked like a battle zone. Armed soldiers stood on guard as people searched the debrisstrewn streets and peered into the smouldering shells. Some were lucky child's toy. A breeze lifted some papers and pinned them, fluttering, to the hedgerows at the bottom of Farringdon Gardens. I looked at them. They were certificates of membership of the Loyal Orange Lodge.

The story of Farringdon and the other streets is not yet finished. It is now firmly established that Protestant ex-

tremists caused the fires because, they claim, they were threatened by the IRA. So they burned the homes in order to prevent Catholics occupying them afterwards. But, finally, when the men of violence have done their work someone, sometime has to think of rebuilding and before winter sets in in earnest a voluntary labour force including a couple of priests has already started to re-roof the houses. As work progresses they will be joined in their task by Protestant workers. In a city where there is already and recovered a bird-cage, a pram or a a chronic housing shortage the government bureaucrats have refused the relief committee any financial aid even though they admit that the houses are structurally sound and could be made habitable once again. Self-help, co-operation and mutual aid, virtues that we libertarians have always emphasised, are today being put into practice on the rooftops of Farringdon.

# Carry on up the National Health

COME YEARS BACK when I was a student I had a job as a porter in a general hospital and it all came back to me a month ago when I went to see Pete Nichols' play-entertainment. The National Health, at The Old Vic. Now I've a soft spot for the nationalelf, being a child of it as it were—all that lovely milk we used to get and, in an odd way, it wasn't an unenjoyable job at The Hospital. Fortunately I've never been in hospital as a case, only as a worker, but one thing I do know is that most people in hospital are frightened-if not all the time-at least when they first go in. And that fear, from my observations. is rarely acknowledged by nurses and even less by doctors. The most tactful care and help always came from the most despised and unskilled(?) workers when I was there, and I guess it ain't changed much. The Italian domestics and the porters it was who tried to reassure and comfort-who in real terms put out a hand or dried a tear.

Oh we all know the problems of understaffing and 'you have to harden yourself to get through the day arguments, but I'm not sure. They remind me of my tutor at Teacher Training College who warned me against 'becoming too involved with your students'. As it was, the porters and domestics were overworked too, but somehow they managed to keep their humanity intact.

I suspect that doctors and nurses are so imbued with the idea that it is enough 'to serve' that they forget that healing involves the mind as well as the body. When I first began to work in the casualty ward there were two of us on instead of three-so it was turn and turn about on a 12-hour shift. When a road accident case came in, if a nurse wasn't handy you were called up, and that was that-I assisted in first aid to a man from a mental hospital who sat on the bog one morning and slit his throat with a razor (they stitched him up and sent him back), with a spastic child road casualty (she died), with a baby who swallowed a bottle of aspirin (she lived), and a labourer who put a pick through his foot (he howled), and the only first aid I knew was me 2nd class in the scouts. The only people who bothered or had time to care about the anxious relatives and bereaved parents were the porters, and over and over again I witnessed spontaneous acts of courtesy, inct and near miraculous understanding from those most despised underlings. The 'famous surgeon' in the hospital always referred to anyone not wearing a white coat as 'You' and his ill-mannered boorishness was, I think, a true indication of where his heart lay. As a porter then I was getting about £12 for a 72-hour week, and when I started. I was so squeamish that I had a con-

timious battle against fainting every time a bloody case came in

Oh there were good doctors, good nurses, good administrators right enough, but overall, despite real efficiency, it was kindness that was lacking-lack of spontaneity-ridiculous deference to matrons, doctors and surgeons-and that curious feeling that used to sneak in sometimes, that patients were somehow a nuisance who got in the way of routine.

Still. To the play. All this so well conveyed in Nichols' 'revue' that you can smell the carbolic, dread the heavy step of the matron. It works as a series of set pieces contained within one ward. interwoven into a spoof nurse/doctor romance drama hotted up by having a West Indian nurse and one of them granitechinned Scottish doctor hero, strong silent man Romeo. Know what I mean? It follows the fortunes of seven male patients suffering variously from ulcers, cancer, heart trouble, drink, despair and the erratic attentions of nurses and doctors. Three of 'em die, one becomes cretinous, and the others struggle on. Jim Dale is magnificent as a randy, treacherous male nurse without a shred of compassion-but who, paradoxically, helps the patients through by his humour and his knavery-whatever else. he is not a regulation-ridden automaton. Nichols has given him some lines so heavily laden with innuendo that they

are often embarrassing and disgusting. but somehow he wins you over. He's like a younger bouncy Frankle Howerd -but ruder. 'Still you have to laugh doncher!' he says-and he's right, you do. Dill Frazer is magnificent as a sort of Doctor Cameron character; all that dreadful old dignity and fumblebluster is irresisible to me; and the confrontation with his son and the West Indian nurse is a joy. David Ryall who plays Ash, a faintly queer failed schoolmaster, creates a real person who, despite his considerable faults, has a real talent and no chance to use it, and Gerald James. who plays the senile old Welsh doctor (a patient) is briefly magnificent.

The National Health lashes out seemingly indiscriminately-with no taste,

little sensibility, and with faint regard for stamina-it ran for three hours when I saw it. It disgusted me, appalled me, and convulsed me by turns-but above all it had the undeniable whill of reality about it. A friend of mine, an art therapist at a mental hospital, couldn't take it. He hooed loudly and stomped out disgusted by what he construed as its easy humour at the expense of pity. I guess many of you will feel the same way if you go, but for myself I found it disturbingly real, and I think valuable. This is how it is, says Nichols, and from my limited experience of it, I agree. If you can stand the awful artificiality of 'the theatre' and the unspeakableness of the Hampstead arty farties who seem to frequent The Old Vic, scuttle on down there and be entertained and outraged all in one go. It's a pity we are lumbered with theatres-if we had viewing galleries in the wards then something might REALLY happen.

JEFF CLOVES

## International Socialism or National Capitalism

T A SPECIAL CONFERENCE of 'International Socialists' in Birmingham recently it was decided that the merger between IS and a small group called Workers' Fight, which had been consummated in 1968, be dissolved, as it had not been a success. Apparently Workers' Fight had maintained a 'permanent tendency' within IS, yet had argued that the International Socialists were 'centrists'-that is, in Trotskyist jargon, not really revolutionary, internationalist, socialists at all.

I have no time for political sects like Workers' Fight, but at least in this instance they are one hundred per cent right. IS should change their initials to NC-

National Capitalists! It is obvious from their so-called principles ('What We Stand For') that the 'International Socialists' see themselves as an embryonic political party, whose aim is the conquest of State power. Despite their talk of abolishing capitalism and its replacement by a classless society with production for use, this is merely a sop or, at best, an ultimate aim for the dim, distant future. For the present, like their bed-fellows in the Communist Party, IS is concerned only with a reformed capitalism. They are nationalistically-minded reformers who occasion-

ally use pseudo-socialist phraseology. Here is the evidence from their own

principles'.

IS envisages a 'socialist state', where the land, banks and major industries have been nationalised; they advocate the reactionary slogan of the 'minimum wage' of 'at least £25 a week', and are in favour of 'all genuine national liberation movements'. What 'national liberation' has got to do with internationalism they do not say. But from a really internationalist viewpoint it can only mean the creation of yet another nation-state, complete with bureaucracy and apparatus of repression. It hardly needs mentioning

'socialist' state, nationalisation (that is state ownership of the means of production) and national 'liberation' has nothing in common with genuine socialism, and is the complete antithesis of what libertarians stand for-a real, international, classless, moneyless, governmentless and stateless society. IS also claim to desire democratic, workers' control, but do not seem to understand that such democratic, or workers' control, is impossible under nationalisation (with or without compensation) and within a 'socialist' state. Nationalisation is merely national capitalism. As even Engels (of whom IS has probably never heard) remarked: the modern state, whatever its form, is essentially a capitalist machine: it is the ideal collective body of all captitalists. The more productive forces it takes over as property, the more citizens it exploits. The workers remain wage-workers, wage-slaves, proletarians. The capitalist relationship is not abolished, but rather pushed to an extreme. In Engels' view, state ownership of the means of production (i.e. nationalisation) is not the solution to the conflicts of capitalism, although it contains within itself the key to the solution. Kropotkin saw state ownership, as advocated by the state 'socialists'the IS of his day-merely as state capitalism, a form of society anarchists in Soviet Russia saw being built and developed by Lenin and Trotsky.

in this paper that the advocacy of a

Despite their 'revolutionary' posturings, the so-called International Socialists are no more than an ultra-left, reformist alternative to the now crisis-ridden British Communist Party, an organisation they hope to ultimately destroy and replace. They are, moreover, equally authoritarian. IS has no attractions for anarchists or libertarian socialists. We stand for real internationalism and Social Revolution-NOW! P.E.N.

### TROTSKYIST SCHOOL OF FALSIFICATION ONE OCCASIONALLY GETS the because within a matter of months is also given in Brinton's footnote:

better, or at least that some so-called Trotskyist groups are better than others. Thus it is difficult not to feel that the International Marxist Group is preferable to the Socialist Labour League, or that International Socialism is preferable to either. Every now and then, for example, the paper International Socialism contains something really good—usually by Peter Sedgwick, who has written by far the best articles in the left-wing (or any other) press on Victor Serge, George Orwell, and Isaac Deutscher. It was in the latter (No. 31, Winter 1967-68) that one came across a startling footnote referring approvingly to the Solidarity edition of Ida Mett's The Kronstadt Commune and briefly but efficiently destroying the Trotskyist myth of Kronstadt. But Peter Sedgwick is not characteristic of International Socialism; far more typical is Chris Harman, and a good example of his style is a review in the current issue of International Socialism (No. 49, Autumn 1971) of Maurice Brinton's The Bolsheviks and Workers' Control and Paul Avrich's Kronstadt 1921.

The title of the review, Hue and Cry', aptly echoes a well-known lying article of 1938 by Trotsky himself (the subject of Emma Goldman's pamphlet, Trotsky Protests Too Much), and the text is a stereotyped repetition of the old Leninist-Trotskyist story about the necessity for the Bolsheviks to destroy the Russian workingclass movement after 1917 and to suppress the Kronstadt rising in 1921 in order to save 'the revolution'-i.e. the Bolsheviks. But there are some remarkable things in it, especially in the discussion of Brinton's book. Harman claims that 'the debate as to how the workers were to control industry' after the Bolshevik revolution 'was to be largely academic'

impression that 'Trotskyism' is getting neither the working class nor the 'Disappeared during the 1936-38 purges.' factories were still to exist'; so that, when the Bolsheviks were building up their regime, 'unfortunately, without workers, this could not be based on direct workers' initiative'. This seems a quite extraordinary attempt to argue millions of people out of existence in the process of fitting them into a meaningless class analysis in the worst Marxist tradition-extraordinary even by Trotskyist standards.

> Then there is a classic case of the big lie technique-in which you attack someone by making a point which is not just a distortion of the truth but the complete opposite of the truth. Knowing that hundreds of IS members will read Brinton's book but not knowing what to do about the huge mass of hard facts in it, Harman alleges that Brinton not only 'distorts the meanings of discussions' but actually 'conceals facts'; Harman continues:

For example, one small instance which typifies his whole approach: Brinton quotes as a critic of the Bolshevik line on workers' control the anarchist Shatov; however, his account deliberately omits to mention that Shatov later joined the Bolsheviks, accepting their discipline as necessary to defend the revolution.

Yet on the very same page of Brinton's book as the reference to Shatov's criticism there is a biographical footnote which relates that Shatov later became member of Petrograd Military Revolutionary Committee and an officer of the 10th Red Army. In 1919 played important role in defence of Petrograd against Yudenich. In 1920 became Minister of Transport in the Far Eastern Soviet Republic. Moreover, Harman's account deliberately omits to mention the final stage of Shatov's career, which

Who conceals facts? Incidentally, while Shatov certainly supported the Bolshevik regime, he does not seem to have actually 'joined the Bolsheviks': in 1920 he assured Emma Goldman that 'he had not joined the Communist Party and never would'; Krasnoshchekov, the head of the Far Eastern government, was a very unorthodox Bolshevik; and Victor Serge's story that 'in 1929 or so Shatov became one of the builders of the Turkestan-Siberia fallway suggests that he was soon out of favour.

The discussion of Avrich's book is based on the assumption that Kronstadt was dominated by peasants, that the rising was therefore a peasants' revolt, that it was therefore unpolitical, and that it therefore need not be taken seriously. (Peasants don't count as workers in Marxist class analysis, which makes everything much simpler.) The rest of the review is on the same level of argument. Harman says a great deal about peasant discontent, but nothing about the industrial unrest which actually started the rising. He states that 'the Bolshevik leaders understood only too well the harsh logic of the Kronstadt revolt', but does not mention their consistent campaign to blacken-or rather. Whiten—the rebels as counter-revolutionaries. He claims that 'attempts were made to discuss a peaceful solution' and refers to the single attempt, the proposal of the Petrograd Soviet to send a delegation of its members to Kronstadt which was refused by the rebels, but does not mention that this was the day after Trotsky had issued a 'final' demand for the unconditional surrender of the rebels and Zinoviev had, backed this with the threat to shoot them 'like partridges', or that an anarchist proposal to mediate and the rebels' invitation of a genuine nonparty delegation were both refused by the Bolsheviks. He states that many of the rebels were 'imprisoned or executed as an example to discourage further mutinies or peasant revolts', but does not mention that thirteen (none of them leaders) were secretly tried and shot, hundreds were just secretly shot, and that. as Avrich puts it, 'none of the captured rebals received a public hearing'.

Finally, Harman alleges that, despite the rebels' 'talk about the need for real soviet democracy', they 'were unable to produce a programme that could have bridged the gap between the workers and the peasants', but does not seem to have read the fundamental Petropavlovsk resolution adopted by the rebels on February 28 which called for the emancipation of both workers and peasants and, above all, the abolition of the dictatorship of the Communist Party. But this, of course, is what even the most libertarian Trotskyists find it hard to understand, let alone accept; and if they can't learn from Trotsky's own life they can't learn anything. Needless to say, there is no discussion of his part in the events of 1917-1921 in the entire

review.

## Will Richard Burton save Yugoslavia?

T IS VERY RARELY that contemporary political leaders find themselves portrayed on the screen. Most Western politicians must wait for death to elevate them to the statesmanship of the silver screen. Omar Sharif played Che Guevara and Jack Pallance, Castro, but this was in the main, hostile and Che was the posthumous 'hero'. Films were made about Franklin D. Roosevelt, and John F. Kennedy's torpedo-boat exploits. but they were not officially sponsored and they died the death before hitting the circuits. The only example that comes to mind is J. V. Stalin, who was seen presiding at the fall of Berlin and planning the Russian revolution. along with V. Lenin, the other wellknown screen actor.

Following Stalin's example, his archopponent Tito, the ruler of Yugoslavia. has sponsored the making of a film about his war-time partisan exploit, and for his double (Erroll Flynn unfortunately passed on, or out) he has booked none other than that proletarian people's artiste and diamond collector Richard (Villain) Burton. According to Ink, the last pro-Tito propaganda film cost plenty

and didn't make its cost.

Why is it necessary for this refurbishing of the Tito image, this revamping of the Tito legend? The resistance movement, like the myth of the cowboy, has almost furnished more screen-time than it occupied in history. If one is to believe Hollywood and other studio productions it is impossible to imagine how the Germans managed to occupy Europe at all with the numbers of patriots in the resistance.

Tito was not in fact given solo billing in the resistance movement to start with. He was Joe Stalin's boy, a Moscow-trained communist. Britain was backing Mihailovich, also operating in the mountains. Fortunately (for Tito) Mihailovich was exposed as a German agent and tried and executed. (One remembers a similar trial for the Britishsponsored exile Polish government who were arrested en bloc when they answered a summons to Moscow, which had set up its own Polish-Lublin-government.) Tito's side, and his sponsors, won and Tito became ruler of Yugoslavia.

Largely because of his quarrel with Stalin (for Stalin, like Tito, could not tolerate resistance movements which were not under his control). Tito became the blue-eyed boy of anti-Stalinism, and blossomed forth as the father of workers' Continued on page 4

Secretary: Peter Le Mare, 5 Hannafore Road, Kotton Park, Birmingham 16 ANARCHIST **FEDERATION** of BRITAIN

APBIB-To all Groups.

Next issue of AFBIB will be run off in Birmingham. Send material to Secretary. Peter Le Mare. Also needs offers of help from Groups to bring out further

The Contact Column is 'Freedom' is also available for orgent information. Groups should send latest addresses to Birstingham. New inquirers should write direct to them or to the AFB information office in Birmingham.

AFR REGIONAL CROUPS

There are now anarchist groups in almost every part of the country. To find your nearest group

N.E. England: Mick Renwick, 34 Durham Road, Gateshead, Co. Durham.

Esset & E. Herte.: P. Newell, 'Aspena', Spring
Lane, Eight Ash Orces, Colchester. (QM, PL.)

Surrey: O. Wright, 47 College Reed, Epson.

Yorkshire: Martin Watkins, Plat D, 90 Carcadon

Road, Lesds, LS2 9L. Manchesters Mat Conningham, 9 Briar Hill Avenue, Little Huiton, Worsely, Lance, Seatlands Secretary, Mike Malet, I Lynnewood Place, Maryfield, Dundoe.
Water c/o P. L. Mare (address above).
N. Irrimols c/o Presdom Press.
The American Valentiles of American P. D.

The American Federation of American P.O. Box 9883, Minnespolis, Minnespolis, Minnespolis, Minnespolis, 2.

8. Irolands 20 College Lane, Dublin, 2.

University and Stadent Groupes e/o P. L. Mars.

N.W.

# Anger is not enough

WHATEVER MAY or may not be said about the Angry Brigade, and much has been said already, they probably can qualify for an example of 'propaganda by the deed'. Whoever they are, indeed if they are consistently or even basically the same persons, they give distinctly non-anarchist vibrations, for they have singularly failed to convey an unmistakable impression of their stupidity. aims, beliefs and philosophy.

It would be hypocrisy and futility to repudiate the anarchist tradition of the violent 'propaganda by the deed'. Nevertheless most anarchist terrorists when put on trial, freely admitted their guilt and explained their motives at their trials. Explanations from the Angry Brigade are lacking.

Firstly: the name 'Brigade' conveys a distinctly non-anarchist connotation, secondly the choice of 'victims' is confined to the lower and, if one may say so, definitely replaceable echelons of government. In its choice of those who follow what may broadly be called a Tory line of political action, responsibility for unemployment, repression, etc., it is a rather limited 'leftist' political approach conveying the impression that if certain personalities were removed or terrorised out of office the situation would be improved. This may be an impression mistakenly conveyed; if so, it is nevertheless a failure of propaganda;

if not, it is an incorrect assumption. One gets the impression that there is definite care taken to ensure that no fatalities result from these attentats. If this is the intention, why not say so? Another failure of propaganda? If one believes that by terror one can persuade politicians and authorities to change complete life-styles (at this stage in history), one is completely mistaken. It is true that the Tsar's tyranny could be described as 'despotism tempered by dynamite' and one may indeed feel that modern tyrannies such as those of Franco, in Greece, in South Africa, could, and have been similarly chastened by terror. It is undoubtedly true that in a revolutionary situation such attentals have their use, although one may argue that clear judgement is not always present as to what is, or is not a revolutionary situation: as witness Northern Ireland or America for example. But to mistake the present situation in England as

romanticism. To believe also that a man, because he is a political opponent. is lacking in courage and will change his policy because of fear is also a failure of psychology. Courage is in any case only a minor virtue; it can encompass pride, exhibitionism, ignorance, stubbornness and even downright

The 'propaganda' seems to have been lacking, and probably misdirected. But is 'the deed' correct? Robert Hunter quotes Peter Kropotkin in Paroles d'un Révolté, writing: 'A single deed makes more propaganda in a few days than a thousand pamphlets. The government defends itself, it rages pitilessly; but by this it only causes further deeds to be committed by one or more persons and drives the insurgents to heroism. One deed brings forth another; opponents join the mutiny; the government splits into factions; harshness intensifies the conflict; concessions come too late; the revolution breaks out.' Allowing for the time (1885) and the place (France) Kropotkin's optimism is justifiable.

After two world wars, hundreds of minor wars, earth-shaking revolutions which changed one tyranny for another, anti-colonial revolts which put new ruling classes into power, belief in the liberating power of violence has wanted somewhat. It is significant that, apart from Spain, the last recorded anarchist assassination was in the nineteen-twenties of Petlura, a White Russian pogromist, and even this was a 'revenge' killing.

The individual act of assassination was lost in the forest of death from 1914 to the present day. The anarchist propaganda could be confused with the nationalist propaganda assassination which has been the commonplace in

On re-reading the Kropotkin quote it is equally possible that the 'deed' could be non-violent just as easily as violent. Before 1939 the events that seized public imagination in this country were The General Strike, The Jarrow March and the unemployed demonstrations of 1938-39-all basically non-violent. For many years the highly controversial figure of Mahatma Gandhi personified the propagands of the non-violent deed. We may well debate his usefulness and echo 'whatever happened to Mahatma Gandhi?'

revolutionary even in potential is gross -but we cannot deny him his place in history and his effect on the British imperialists.

> The post-1950 history of CND and the Committee of 100 are too recent to need recounting. The growth of squatting and the work-ins at UCS and Plessey have given further evidence of the value of 'the propaganda of the deed' with the absence of planned violence.

> Anger has its uses in impelling to action. But if the action is incorrect it is useless, and counter-productive. Anger leads to impatience and violence is thought of as a short-cut, whereas it is often a detour.

Criticism has been made of the actions of the Angry Brigade as 'bad propaganda, alienating rather than swelling any revolutionary constituency'. This was written by Richard Neville in his Evening

dent, and the housewife'. This too, comes ill from a group which supported the Labour Party at the last election.

JACK ROBINSON.

Standard article on the Purdie-Prescott GGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG trial. We must suppose that the reference to a 'revolutionary constituency' is a minor joke but Richard Neville has referred (in a recent interview in 7 Days) to the necessity for a new political, revolutionary party so it is not entirely a joke. Such political confusion is common to Neville and his Marxistanarchist friends (rather like chickeneating vegetarians). This demand for a new revolutionary party is urged by David Widgery (of 15) in Ink. He, too, deplores the violence of the Angry Brigade and states that 'To my mind it [the way out] requires a party, both democratic and revolutionary, capable of spanning the experience and struggle of the shop steward, the claimant, the stu-

The Angry Brigade is bad propaganda, not because it is direct action and alienates support which should be directed into political channels, but because it is the wrong deed at the wrong

### ISRAEL - Draft Resisters

boys and one girl, about to be conscripted into the army, wrote an open letter to the press stating:

become oppressors."

people what has been inflicted upon our parents and grandparents.'

Two of the four broke down under the tremendous emotional pressures exerted on them by their friends, parents, teachers. The third, Giyora Neuman, was jailed on November 18. He is about to serve a long prison stretch.

Noam Chomsky, together with others. made the following statement on this

found respect and hope, a growing tendency throughout the world today: The exercise of critical and independent political judgement by citizens of many nation-states, and the determination of personal and political commitments on most invariably these criticisms and commitments relate to the issues of the critics own society. They are directed in the first instance towards the power live and obviously they are first felt as a threat to the welfare of their fellow citizens. However, this exercise of inmitment seems essential if injustices are munal dignity are to be respected. It is also essential in encouraging reciprocal

**ANARCHISTS** 

Meanwhile the anarchist revo-

lution continues. Each year the

movement finds more adherents.

Revolution takes place not only on

the streets but also in the mind.

although it may well be on the

streets where the actual revolution

is decided. To become a conscious

anarchist is in itself a revolution

in thought and philosophy, it is a

philosophy that once grasped makes

nonsense of any other political

thought. Anarchism is varied, each

anarchist is an individual and they

often differ on many aspects of the

philosophy, but all are agreed on

the important and basic issues, chief

amongst them that the state is evil

and has to be dismantled. As more

people become more individually

conscious, it is reasonable that more

people will join the movement. The

spread of its literature over recent

years has brought the movement to

more people. Recent events, such as

blocking motorways, have brought

authorities than at previous times.

It is true that many of these people

are not anarchists, but the actions

they have taken are the direct action

methods advocated by anarchists,

which in itself is a step forward.

Once people reject leaders, then

leaders will cease to exist. The de-

cline in figures at the polling booths

at recent elections seems to indicate

that more people have had more

THE STATE IS EVIL

Continued from page !

From this perspective we, the undersigned, fully support the four Israeli draft resisters in their joint public demonstration of resistance to the Israeli army. Their resistance is motivated, amongst others, by their opposition to the policies pursued by the Zionist establishment in general, and the policies pursued in the occupied territories in particular, e.g. administrative detentions, confiscation of Arab-owned land, demolition of houses, mass expulsions of the Palestinian population, torture, undersubsistence wages in Gaza, and the denial of full and equal political and civil rights to all.

The draft resisters step is courageous and essential to radical and social change and the achievement of full social justice equally for both Israeli Iewish and Palestinian Arab peoples as well as the Middle-East peoples in general.

'It is our sincere hope that equally amongst the Israeli Iews and the Palestinian Arabs, and other Arab people as well, all those whose conscience and political awareness prompts them to resist wrong and oppressive policies of their respective governments, will do so,

CHOMSKY AND OTHERS. Boston 16.8.71

Letters of support can be sent to Giyora Neuman, 219 Bnei-Efraim Street, Tel-Aviv, Israel. If you wish to help in other ways you can contact Dr. M. Machover, 8 Honiton Road, London, N.W.6.

### N AUGUST 2, 1971, three Israeli responses elsewhere.

We were not born free in order to

We refuse to inflict upon another

'We, the undersigned, note with prothe basis of individual conscience. Alestablishment within which the dissenters dividual conscience and collective comto be rectified and individual and com-

> than enough of politicians, a trend which if it increases could have

None of us know what the future anarchist society will be like, we can only point to various aspects of it that we would like to see. There has never been an actual anarchist society to use as a pointer, apart from areas of Spain during the Spanish Civil War where much was achieved in a very short time. One thing is certain. In an anarchist society everyone will be anarchists. Anarchists first and carpenters, builders, poets, etc., second. It will be a society where people do the work they like best and are best fitted for. Work will be pleasure, not a labour of toil for some unspecified reason other than to make a meagre living to continue what one is doing for another week, and to produce profit for some privileged group. Work is the stuff of life, but work of a creative nature, not more people into conflict with the employment which is what passes for work in our repressive society. It will be a society in which everyone is useful to themselves and others. It will be based on harmony, voluntary co-operation, peace. happiness and freedom of the individual both politically and economically, social sciences which will cease to exist.

GEORGE CAIRNCROSS.

**විබව**වවවට වෙවලට වෙවලට වෙවලට වෙවලට ව

Any book not in stock, but in print can be promptly supplied. Book Tokens accepted.

Please add postage & cash with order helps.

Ni Dieu ni Maitre

Daniel Guerin (4 vols.) £2.00 (10p) Belfast, August 1971-A case to be answered ILP £0.30 (5p) The Amerchists Ed. Horowitz £0.15 (5p)

The Political Theory of April Carter £1.50 (10p) Anarchism Oorlog en Vrede in grafiek

(War and Peace in Graphics) Linocuts, with an English translation of the text

Jan Michels £1.00 (10p) The Little Red School Book

Jesper Jensen & Søren Homer £0.30 (21p) (2nd revised edition) The Bokhevik Revolution

1917-1923 E. H. Carr (3 vols.) £1.60 (15p)

The Interregnum 1923-1924 E. II. Carr £0.50 (71p)

The Collected Essays, Journalism & Letters of George Orwell

(ed.) Sonia Orwell & Ian Angus (4 vols.) £2.00 (24p) The Spanish Cockpit

Franz Borkenau £2.10 (10p)



publish FREEDOM weekly and distribute ANARCHY monthly

846 Whitechapel High Street 01-247 9249 Loudon El Entrance Angel Alley, Whitechapel Art Gallery exit, Aldgate East Underground Stn. SPECIMEN COPIES ON REQUEST

### Bookshop

Open Afternoons Fuesday to Friday 2 p.m. to 6 p.m. Open Evenings Thursday

SUBSCRIPTION

RATES, 1972

close at 8.30 p.m. 10 a.m. to 4 p.m Saturday

### serious connotations for any govern-

### FREEDOM

Inland, and Surface Mull abroad £3.00 (\$7.50) for one year six months £1.50 (\$3.75) three months £0.80 (\$2.00) Airmail Europe & l year £4.00 Middle East 6 months £2.00 The Americas \$12.50 l year 6 months \$6.25 India, Africa, &c. £4.50 l year £2.25 6 months £5.00 Australasia & l year Far East 6 months £2.50

### ANARCHY

Inland, and Swince Mail abroad 12 issues £2.25 (\$6.00) 6 issues £1.13 (\$3.00) HamniA USA & Canada 12 issues \$11.00 6 issues \$6.00 12 issues £4.50 Australasia & 6 issues £2.25 Far East

Overseas payments

USA and Canada personal cheques are acceptable. Please add 20 cents for conversion charges.

All other currencies please pay by International Money Order if possible (or Postal Orders from Australia and New Zealand).

If making payment through a bank, please make adequate allowance for all bank charges.

## **CUBA**—Progress by Stalinist Methods

Cuba since the Castrists attained power in the island.

In 1958, almost three-quarters of a million people were unemployed out of a total population of a little over six million. Except for the sugar industry (controlled by American Big Business). Cuba was largely undeveloped. Havana was a centre of American tourism, with its nightclubs, gaming saloons, prostitution-and beggars. There was considerable poverty, illiteracy and racial discrimination.

Since then, the Castrist régime has instituted agrarian reform, converted unemployment into a labour shortage, expropriated the foreign capitalists and the local bourgeoisie and petit-bourgeoisie, nationalised the land and means of production, made domestic and foreign trade a State monopoly and centralised the planning of the national economybut all from above. Illiteracy has now largely disappeared; and racism is, at least officially, forbidden. The number of schools, particularly in the remote country areas, have been increased. New hospitals and clinics have been built.

### STATE CAPITALISM

The Castrists call all this 'socialism' or 'communism', but Bakunin or Kropotkin or Zapata-or even Marx and Engels-would not recognise such a monolithic, one-Party State as socialist or communist. Surely, bureaucratic State Capitalism is a better description of the kind of society being imposed upon the Cuban masses by Fidel Castro and his henchmen.

In 1958, less than 32 per cent of the total population between the ages of 17 and 55 were engaged, as wage-workers. in production. The proportion is now higher; but according to the Castrists, the immediate task of the Cuban 'revolution' (that is the bureaucratic, State-Capitalist, revolution) is to increase the

NO ONE WOULD DENY that there number of wage-labourers, and increase production absolutely by increasing the productivity of labour. The main instrument for accomplishing these tasks is the Cuban Confederation of Labour (the CTC).

The CTC has instituted 'emulation' campaigns similar to the Stakhanovite movement in Stalinist Russia, during that country's period of the 'primitive accumulation' of capital. This has been particularly noticeable with regard to the cutting of the sugar crop.

### INDISCIPLINE

One of the régime's main problems has been increasing labour indiscipline. The Cuban working-class has increased numerically due to a number of factors. But, according to the government, there are still nearly one million women not employed 'who should and can enter production'. Previously, very few women in Cuba worked as wage-labourers. Now, the régime intends to build canteens and nurseries for these 'lucky' women. They are now to become equal-equal as productive wage-slaves with their menfolk!

Recently, peasants, young people, former petit-bourgeois elements and other self-employed people-who were not used to factory discipline, organisation and fixed hours of work-have become employees. Many of these; say the Castrists, are irresponsible and lack 'revolutionary discipline'. The government and the CTC have, therefore. started a mass 'educational' campaign against vagrancy and absenteeism, and for greater labour discipline.

Materially better off the Cuban masses may be; but they are becoming increasingly shackled by an all-powerful State, which masquerades under the name of 'socialist', or 'communist'. They, like workers the world over, will have to overthrow their (State) masters one day. The sooner the better!

Jose.

# News from Germany

THE METAL WORKERS in Baden-Wurttemberg which is the southwest corner of West Germany went on strike. The employers had offered a wage increase of 41.57, which was refused by the IG Metall (the metal workers' union) as the costs of living went up about 7% in 1971. This strike was answered by a lock-out of some 100,000 workers in factories not directly concerned by the strike. According to German law these workers are not entitled to receive any wages or financial support by the authorities, such as unemployment compensation. The trade union was friendly enough only to choose a limited number of factories for the strike but not all of them. This gave the employers the opportunity for the lock-out.

Another funny thing is the procedure which is necessary to start an 'official' strike. First of all the local union representatives have to ask the workers whether they agree to strike. If they do, the local union must approach the managing committee, or whatever you may call it, of the whole union-organisation for their consent. Put simply, an 'official' strike is not the choice of the workers but of the union officials. Workers in industries not directly affected by the strike and by the lock-out gave the only possible answer to the union and to the employers: They staged a sympathetic strike in favour of their comrades and against the employers' lock-out without caring about the opinion of the union officials.

The strike is likely to spread over into other industries and into other parts of our 'social constitutional state'. The background of all these events is to see them in connection with the failure of our 'social-democratic' government to keep the prices down. I remember our

distinguished members of the present government promising a reduction of the taxes during the last election campaign Well, it is certainly superfluous to mention that taxes are going up and up and up. Neither the representatives of the industry not the 'representatives' of the people have been capable of acting in accordance with the needs of the people. The only answer must be: Let's take things into our own hands. I also remember a guy of the 'young tories' saying at Speakers' Corner in Hyde Park The first thing we would do, if you would give us the power, is reduce taxes. Well, you know the result of the promise, it is the same over here. We as anarchists know the alternative. Let us propagate this alternative, let us forget our narrow-minded disputes. I am living in a working-class district and I therefore know that many workers are disillusioned. They do not trust the parties any longer. Let us encourage them to take things into their own hands.

#### OCCUPATION FAILS

some months ago about the Voigilander factory in Brunswick, where the workers tried to avoid the shutdown by taking the management into their own hands. Well, it failed. The ruling class certainly could not allow such a thing to go on. and other companies offered their assistance to the Voigtlander management. Although they still have negotiations and the whole business is not fixed yet, I am sorry to say that another chance for workers' control has been lost.

#### WILL NOT HELP US

Most members of the so-called Baader-Meinhoff group are still free in spite of the efforts made by our cops. Recently a book written by this group was issued

by a publisher in Berlin (and immediately confiscated). They want to establish some kind of guerilla warfare in West Europe. In my opinion violence used against not only the authorities but also against the population will not help us very much on our way to anarchism The other day it came to my knowledge that they strictly refuse to be labelled as anarchists. Our papers still consider them to belong to our movement. They really cause a lot of harm to us in Germany.

#### SELF-STYLED 'ANARCHISTS'

I accept all the various directions within the anarchist movement, as there are individualists, mutualists, libertarian communists and others. What I cannot accept are those self-styled 'anarchists'. who originate from the student movement. Some of these people try to work out a synthesis between Marx and Bakunin. Just a couple of days ago somebody wanted to make me believe that Che Guevara was an anarchist. You can find those 'comrades' especially Perhaps you remember my article amongst the pupils of grammar schools. Their main activity is to quarrel with other 'left-wingers' such as Maoists or the SDAJ (youth organisation of the communist party). When they have left their schools and are entering a profession, to hell with the former 'underground' activity. The 'group-leader' mentioned above actually explained to me. he would interfere with the mental behaviour of his group in order to form a 'strong and active group'. Anarchists unite, yes, but under these circumstances?

#### JUST SHOUTING SLOGANS

As most of the demonstrations over here are silly performances of pupils. mainly sons of the upper classes and without any knowledge of the needs of

the working class, just shouting the slogans of Mao, Uncle Ho or the communist party. I abstained from the parti cipation in such demonstrations during the past months. It was but 3 or 4 weeks ago when somebody persuaded me to help these 'comrades' to prevent a meeting of the NPD (our fascist party) Although we were highly successful in spite of the most embarrassing behaviour of the police, I afterwards realised my hig mistake in participating. When some of us tried to come into a discussion with the visitors of the meeting (no Nazis, only interested people), we were given to understand by our 'leaders' not to get in contact with these 'collaborators of the fascists', as they do not understand us anyway. These so-called collaborators were ordinary people, many of them with a critical opinion about the Nazis themselves. So we prevented some 200 people from seeing the fascist bullyboys in action, but would it not have been better to inform them of the reason for our demonstration? Now they were left with a certain feeling of frustration and even hostility to us.

### NO COMMENT

May I end with a short notice, which recently appeared in one of our papers. There is no further comment necessary: The Bavarian minister of justice, Mr. Held, regards the fact, that 90.8 % of the defendants in Bavaria are sentenced, as a proof for the high quality of the Bavarian public prosecution office. With this quota Bavaria is second to Baden-Württemberg with 91.7%

#### LATEST NEWS

The workers not directly concerned with the strike but working in factories. which had to close because of the strike. now receive unemployment compensation. This decision of our government is under strong pressure by the employers.

A member of the so-called Baader-Meinhoff group has been shot in Berlin by the police.

J. LOHSTÖTER.

## The Immoral Re-Armers

MRS. MARY WHITEHOUSE has, on numbers of occasions, been accused of being associated with Buchmanism or, to give the organisation its correct name, the Oxford Group-Moral Re-Armament. As far as I know, she has never denied it. Who, then, are the Buchmanites? What is Moral Re-Armament? And what are their methods?

The movement was started by an American Lutheran minister from Pennsylvania, Frank Buchman, who was born in Pennsburg on June 4, 1878. In 1908, he visited Keswick in the Lake District; and whilst listening to a woman preacher in a little chapel he, like so many others of his kind, had a vision of Christ on the Cross-'An actual vision', he said. During 1921 and 1922, he spent two terms at the Presbyterian theological college at Cambridge. Later, he went on to Oxford. There, he discovered that 'God had a plan for every man and nation, which he (God) can and will communicate to anyone who listens, providing he is willing to obey'. He then started his Oxford Group, mainly from the University. Buchman spent the next 40 years running MRA; and as he lay dving on August 7, 1961, he said: 'I want to see the world governed by men who are governed by God'. After his death, Peter Howard was appointed leader.

MRA has no official membership, but it is officially registered as the Oxford Group. It is an international movement with centres throughout the world. Its main European centre is at Caux, in Switzerland, where it owns Mountain House and four other large and luxurious hotels. The main British centre is in Berkeley Square, with more offices in Hays Mews, Mayfair, and the Westminster Theatre just off Buckingham Palace Road. Despite its alleged 'poverty' (MRA accounts may be inspected at the Companies' Registration Office), it does not believe in running its affairs from offices in, say, Whitechapel. It is Mayfair and Westminster or nothing! Say MRA: God will provide. So far this has always worked out.' It has thousands of full-time workers. None are paid. Most are well-dressed. All are well-fed. None smoke. At receptions and cocktail parties, they usually drink a fruit mixture 'with a bite'. Although supposed advocates of 'love' (not to be confused with sex, of course!), Moral Re-Armers are not pacifists. Indeed, the movement is extremely proud of the fact that MRA people have won every decoration from the VC downwards' during the last World War. They are, of course, militantly anticommunist.

### INFLUENCE

MRA boasts of influencing statesmen and politicians. Many seem to agree with their claims.

The former West German Ambassador to London, Baron von Etzdorf, was reported to have said in 1960: 'The most significant development in Europe, since World War II, is the new accord and understanding between Germany and France. For this the work of Moral Re-Armament is largely responsible." Adenauer and Schuman, who have both attended MRA gatherings, concurred.

Communist support in both Germany and France is said to have declined with the arrival of MRA workers in these countries. Indeed, one French Trade Union leader, Maurice Mercier, secretary-general of the Federation of Textile Workers (Force Ouvrière) said: Since the visit to Caux of delegations from 80 textile enterprises, a climate of confidence was created,' which led to the agreement of June 9, 1953. These results,' he continued, 'were obtained without a cry of hatred, or a single drop of blood spilt, or an hour of work lost. That is the revolution of Moral Re-Armament which calls workers and employers alike."

MRA is particularly interested in getting workers and employers together 'in a spirit of brotherly love'. Besides statesmen and politicians, MRA works with considerable persistence on Trade Unionists, and particularly on those Trade Unionists who hold key positions in their Unions, have influence among the rank-and-file themselves and, most important, may succumb to MRA propaganda. Their methods are somewhat similar to those of the Communists, many of whom in numbers of countries they have converted. Persistence is, however, the key to MRA success. It does not, however, always work. I write from personal experience.

### MRA TACTICS

I was, for a number of years, an active and fairly well-known member of the Union of Post Office Workers. I was a branch-committee member of one of the larger branches of the Union. a delegate to the London District Council. a regular contributor to The Post, the official fortnightly journal of the UPW, and a contributor to other Post Office workers' journals in many parts of the world. Before joining the Post Office, I had been a Shop Steward, and had been involved in industrial action. I was also known to be openly hostile to the Communist Party. I was an obvious 'target' for MRA.

One day in 1963, I received through

the post a copy of a paper called the Waterfront and Industrial Pioneer, together with a letter from a Mr. Frank Abbott, who was responsible for circulation. Mr. Abbott wrote:-

'You may be interested in the enclosed paper as a source of information and opinion. It has now been for two years and has a solid paid circulation reaching workers and labour leaders in docks. mines and factories. Our policy is to present the facts on the industrial and Trade Union situation, and where possible to point the way to solutions. We have correspondents with inside knowledge in the principal industrial centres."

Mr. Abbott did not say what the paper's policy was; nor did the paper. I took out a subscription, and shortly afterwards Mr. Abbott wrote again, informing me that the editor of the Waterfront and Industrial Pioneer, had given him my name and address, stating that he was 'glad to see that Ron Smith is taking a hand in the affairs of BOAC'. asking me to contribute an article for the paper on the dispute in which I was involved with the Post Office, and hoping we could meet some time. A few days later, he called at my house, but I was out. He then wrote again saying: 'Sorry to have missed you. The Pioneer editorial board hoped to have had an article from you on the Post Office situation. Would it be possible to see you on Saturday morning about 11 a.m.?' I wrote to him, giving him as polite a 'brush-off' as I could, telling him that I could not give him any 'inside' information on the dispute.

He wrote again, saying that 'Any information you care to give us will be honoured and your name will be kept out of it'. I decided to ignore any further correspondence from Mr. Abbott or the Waterfront and Industrial Pioneer. 1 decided to investigate the paper. However, a few days later, Mr. Abbott and a friend of his called again at my house, but I was out on Union business. He wrote asking for an article. 'We would like to write an article for the next edition and promise not to implicate you in any way.' But by that time, Ethel Chipchase of the Organisation Department of the TUC, whom I knew, had been doing some investigating. She told me that, according to the TUC Press Department, the editor of the Pioncer, a Mr. Hancock, did not represent any organisation, but that the paper 'does appear to have a definite Moral Re-Armament bias'.

Mr. Abbott tried once again. He wrote one more letter, in which he -nt last!-came out into the open. He wrote: 'Several hundred Trade Unionists from all parts of the country are attending a MRA Easter Conference at Caxton Hall and the Westminster Theatre. Hope you may take the opportunity of seeing The Diplomats (a MRA play-PEN). Apart from the

entertainment value of these plays, they do present ideas from which both in-

dustry and Government can benefit." Soon after that, I attacked one of the MRA plays (about William Wilberforce) at the Westminster Theatre, in my fortnightly column in The Post. One Moral Re-Armer sent an indignant letter to the editor. And that was the last I heard from Moral Re-Armament! So, dear readers, if any of Mrs. Whitehouse's MRA friends attempt any of their highly immoral, dishonest, persistent pressurising, you know what to do. You can either ignore them-or tell them to 'get stuffed'!

PETER E. NEWELL.

### Yugoslavia

Continued from page 2

control in a multi-national federation. For this anti-Stalin position, Tito and the Yugoslav people endured much, but with the death of Stalin and the decline of the old Stalinism, there was insufficient patriotic cement to keep the federation together. Memories of the partisans were fading and the great part played by Tito in freeing the country from the Germans and the Russians was in danger of being forgotten.

According to Review - Yugoslav monthly magazine-Burton said, 'I have played various historic figures: Henry VIII. Becket, Mark Anthony. . . . But this is something different: President Tito is not only a legendary resistance leader of the Second World War, but also one of the greatest statesmen of our times." Burton said, according to Review, that he had known President Tito for a long time. He explained, 'Ever since those sombre war days when Tito became a symbol of liberty and when his name was whispered with awe and admiration in my country and throughout the whole of Europe.' . . . And later, 'I'm quite overwhelmed by the tremendous responsibility involved in bringing so great a man, hero and statesman to life on screen, and presenting him to cinemagoers throughout the world. This cannot be achieved by external effects, only by profound feeling."

This breathless news comes at the end of a week when President Tito has clamped down on Croatian students and politicians, who have been protesting about Yugoslavian centralist tendencies and exploitation of Croatia's resources. Several leading Croatian politicians have been purged, so far there is no talk of trials but several students have been arrested after demonstrations in Zagreb.

Croatia claims that it is responsible for 28%, of Yugoslavia's export earnings, 72 %, of tourist revenue and 34%, of

Published by Freedom Press, London, E.I.



Help Fold and Despatch 'Freedom', Thursdays from 2 pm

Great Brain Robbery, 68 pp litho slam on orthodox education, discusses alternatives and strategy for making society educative. Basic price 20p. Bulk orders: over 10 copies at 17p. over 20 at 15p. Keith Paton. 102 Newcastle Street, Silverdale, Newcastle-u-Lyme, Staffs., ST5 6PL.

Would W.G.G., Horsham (Press Fund) please contact Brighton group, c/o Flat 3, 26 Clifton Road, Brighton.

Julia Darling. Please phone Chelmsford 71354, Fabian Tompsett.

N. and E. London ORA meets regularly (weekly). Please contact via 68 Chingford Road, Walthamstow, E.17. Proposed Group: Celia & Laurens Otter,

13 Albert Road, Wellington Telford. Revolutionary Workers Forum meets at 170a Deptford High Street, S.E.S. 7.30 p.m. every Monday.

Anarchist and Nihilist Group is being formed in Derby and anyone interested should contact: Gavin P. Lawrence, 63 Uttoxeter New Road, Derby, DE3 3NP.

Any Spare Furniture? Falls Road family squatting in Burrell House, London, E., now have electric stove and some bedding but still need items of furniture. Please write George Foulser. c/o Freedom Press.

Centre International de Recherches sur l'Anarchisme. CIRA membership covers use of lending library in Lausanne and biannual bulletin (annual subscription £1). First CIRA publication in English: Michael Bakunin's The Paris Commune and the Idea of the State' (15p post free, £1 for 10 copies). British representative: Nicolas Walter, 134 Northumberland Road. North Harrow. Middlesex, HA2 7RG (telephone: 01-866 9777).

Help! Stoke Newington 6 Fund. Comrades now on remand in Brixton and Holloway need financial aid urgently for meals, fruit, papers, books (which must be new) and cigarettes. Please send donations to the Stoke Newington 6 Fund, c/o Compendium Books, 240 Camden High Street, London, N.W.I. a.s.a.p.

'And this may just be heaven' (poems and collage) by jeff cloves. 32 pp. Litho prints, card covers. 20p inrluding postage from 5 Manor Road. St. Albans, Herts.

Proposed Group: Celia & Laurens Ottor. 13 Albert Road, Wellington Telford, Meet first and third Tuesday of the month, at other times phone Wellington 54728

Proposed Group-Exeter Area. John and Jill Driver, 21 Dukes Orchard, Bradninch. Exeter. EX5 4RA

Anyone interested in forming a Cambridge Anarchist Group contact John Jenkins, 75 York Street, Cambridge.

the money sent home by emigre workers (a great source of income for this 'communist' country). But Croatia claims that it does not get the benefit of this income from the central banks in

Belgrade. The usual accusations have been made by Tito and others that this movement is right-wing and 'chauvinist'. But Jonathan Steele of the Guardian says, The reality is more complex, an interweaving of class and national disputes. Although the old Right-wing Ustashi movement has joined in the recent upsurge of Croatian self-expression, it has equally strong, and probably stronger Left-wing credentials. The recent student strike, in which it is now admitted that at least two factories joined, was led by Drazen Budisa, undoubtedly a product of Yugoslavin's "new left" who is now under arrest.'

With this attack on Tito from further left and the disenchantment of Croatia with the federation, makes the presence of Richard Burton necessary to remind the peoples of Yugoslavia of the past glories of Tito.

Maybe under the circumstances, Elizabeth Taylor could be written into the script as a Croatian partisan complete with jewellery.

JACK ROBINSON.

Printed by Express Printers, London, E.1