

There is one essential point wherein a political liar differs from others of the faculty, that he ought to have but a short memory.'

JONATHAN SWIFT

In this Issue:

DUTY, DIVORCE & THE BISHOPS LIFE & RESPONSIBILITY OUT OF THIS WORLD CORRESPONDENCE

THE ANARCHIST WEEKLY - 4d.

DECEMBER 1 1962 Vol 23 No 37

It seems at the moment as if Fords are winning in their bid to smash the shop stewards and the militant rank and From the very beginning, they sacked a shop steward for holding a 15 min, meeting during the lunch break on the firm's premises. Fords have been awaiting their chance. After all, what can be wrong in holding a meeting for the purpose of reporting back to the men the result of certain negotiations with the management? This is a common enough occurrence in factories and on building sites, but Fords were de-

this as an excuse to call the tune. The immediate reaction to the sacking was a call for strike action from the other stewards, with the result that the was brought practically to a standstill. The management replied that they were standing firm and would not re-instate the sacked steward, pointing out with their usual patriotic fervour, the cost of this strike financially, to the country and themselves.

termined to have a showdown and used

The fact that the Motor Show was on at this time added fuel to the fire as they could see the prospect of fat profits from overseas orders receding into the distance in the face of the possibility of being unable to comply with the delivery dates. The prestige of the country was at stake, and so with these thoughts in mind, the whole mass media turned against the strikers who, after nine days, went back after a re-commendation from their unions.

After the resumption of work, Fords announced that they would not take back seventy men whom they considered to be trouble makers, and so the unions in reply called for an official

ers could not do anything else but call a strike and with over a week's notice. giving the mangement plenty of time for How many times have we negotiations. heard the call for strike action only to have it withdrawn and some face-saving explanation given, followed by further negotiations? This was no exception. The papers were full of statements from Fords management complaining of

the endless upset and damage these trouble-makers had caused, not to mention the cost. Giving thmselves a mental pat on the back, they told the Press how they were facing up to this vital issue was affecting the whole nation and the prosperity of every one of us. This, of course, is the normal diplomatic way of saying that they are worried about a possible drop in their profits.

In the long run it is perhaps better from their point of view to have a showdown now to settle the question of these seventy men. The openhearted generosity of Fords' management has seen fit to pay them 30/- per day while on suspension, after exploiting them in an inhuman manner at the assembly lines

negotiations were going on between unions and managements over the proposed official strike, anti-strike meetings were being held in various places and people were asked to sign

Sell-out all round

petitions. It was reported in a Dagenham local paper that a man organising a petition against the strike, had claimed that Communist shop stewards were sabotaging his efforts by destroying his signature sheets. This petition stated that the strike was "ill-timed" and "illconceived" and asked the T.U.C. if there could be a secret ballot among the Ford Workers.

The following week, Mr. Edward Martell of the People's League for the Defence of Freedom wrote to the T.U.C. General Secretary, Mr. Woodcock, offering to finance a secret ballot of Ford workers. A spokesman commenting on his offer said that the T.U.C. had no power to organise such a ballot, Mr. Martell is well known for his antiunion views and his organisation is always ready to help break a strike. A fleet of buses is kept in readiness for use in the event of a bus strike and the People's League air transport which is available for national emergencies was used to fly in paper supplies from abroad during a printing strike.

The Ford wives were the next to organise anti-strike activities. They held an extremely stormy meeting which was widely covered by the national press. Apparently, the organisers didn't have it all their own way, and the speakers from the platform got plenty heckling from the wives who were in favour of the strike. The meeting arrangement of a secret An interesting postscript to this meeting was the revelation that the

husbands of two of the chief organisers are not working at Dagenham, but at Woolwich which is not involved in this strike.

The next scene in this drama of antivictimisation came a few days later, when the strike was called off by the Union Leaders, left winger (whatever that means) unilateralist Cousins being one of the prime movers of this. The suspension of another shop steward earier in the day, the reason being given of slack work, made no difference whatsoever to their decision, in spite of the

there must be no victimisation by the company

The following week, the Ford National Joint negotiating Committee met the Management to continue the negotiations. The management representatives announced that they had reduced their Black list to 40 men, after 12 had found other jobs and the other 18 had been re-employed. The company would not in future guarantee the re-employment of unofficial strikers and any that were taken back would pro-

bably lose their merit money, an average of 4d. per hour.

The question of the 40 men left without jobs was to be resolved by discussions between the local officials of the men's individual unions and Fords. further carrot for co-operation was the increase from £7 10s, to £11 per week for these men while their cases were under consideration.

These discussions no doubt will be long drawn out affairs and meanwhile these 40 men await the outcome. As for William Francis, the sacked shop steward. Fords have refused point blank to re-employ him, all because he held a 15 min, meeting during a lunch break.

The issue at stake is not only the future of these 40 men, but also the future relationship between employer and employee. If these men are not re-instated, Fords will have established themselves in a position where they can sack men, whom they consider are not co-operating. In this way, the manage-ment will be able to get rid of all militant workers making it easy to introduce new and faster methods of production. Not a week goes by without us reading that industry must be more competitive, a state which is achieved by a number of inhuman methods. They call it rationalization of production, and involves amongst other things time checks and the speeding up of the conveyor belts.

We have just embarked on a National Productivity Year, and workers are being assailed on all sides by calls for higher productivity. from people who never seem to produce very much themselves. The Duke of Edinburgh, who officially opened it, exhorted everyone to do their utmost to further productivity and then cleared off to Australia to watch the Commonwealth Games.

As it is, conditions in a modern car

Continued on page 3



KAUNDA'S ABOUT-FACE

HAVE only just seen the astonishing reference to Kenneth Kaunda by J.W.—your Nov. 10th issue (Kaunda's About-Face). Why does he assume Kaunda is slowly graduating into a rodent simply because he has been seeking an alliance with Nkumbula? It is true Nkumbula is a stooge politician the Tshombe stamp, but consider Kaunda's position. He has been seeking independence for a number of years in atmosyhere of rapidly increasing African consciousness of the oppressiveness of white rule. This has been matched in turn by a growing determination by the whites to maintain their grip by force if necessary (t(o quote Sir Roy Welensky).

The Africans are completely unarmed, the whites possess guns, tanks and air-craft galore. In this explosive situation

with an ever-increasing risk of a mass slaughter of Africans, Kaunda sought to persuade Nbumbula to make common with him against Welensky's
Had he succeeded, the way would have been open now for a peace-ful transfer of power to African majority rule and this long dangerous period of tension would have been ended.

It may be right. Nkumbula being the sort of man he is, to describe Kaunda's move as unrealistic, but to impute the morality of exploring this faint hope as something on a level of rodent behaviour is surely no less unrealistic.

If J.W. had been able to show that Kaunda was prepared to sacrifice any principles in order to secure this compact, and J.W. hasn't and Kaunda didn't, the headline of the article "Kaunda's About-Face" would have still required some justifying. As subsequent events have shown (i.e. Nkumbula has refused the overture and is secretly plotting with Welensky whilst maintaining a public facade of non-alignment with either side) it is simply not true.

J.W. may dislike as much as I do the

role of authority implicit in the structure of mass parties. But that is another argument altogether, where the issues can be clarified better if we exercise charity and avoid extreme language, especially towards people bearing a bur-den of responsibility for the lives of many others of which we are free.

I have no doubt there is an alternative mass parties and the problems of leadership they pose, but so far neither J.W. nor I have put forward one that is practicable. JOHN PAPWORTH, (World Peace Brigade).

Dar Es Salaam, Nov. 19

by the time they are concluded (a) they are a watered down version of the original intentions (b) their trusted leaders have learned all the tricks of the politicians' trade which they then put to good use in ruling their own people (c) the people have lost their militancy and are divided among themselves because the government job-hunters in their midst have been preparing the way for the day of "liberation" We do not deny that the revolutionary choice we have outlined would probably have set back the date for N. Rhodesian

tions. These are so long drawn-out that

independence; and it is probable that Mr. Kaunda would now be packing his bags for a spell of preventive arrest (assuming that his people had not learned how to hide him from his would-be persecutors) instead of waiting for the day when he will be summoned to the plush armchairs of Lancaster House, or wherever it is that the transference of power over the heads of the African people is currently negotiated (has friend Papworth read the sickly reports of the most recent sell-out, with Dr. Banda licking everybody's boots and having his licked in return. We heard on the Radio the Doctor praise Butler et alia as well as his own "boys" who,

Continued on page 2

presents a <u> Conversation</u> between MARX & BAKUNIN and other features

ANARCHY is Published by Freedom Press at 1/6 on the last Saturday of every month.

and the role of authority

TOHN PAPWORTH, whose letter is J published on another page, should not allow his personal regard for Mr. Kaunda to obscure his political judgment. the Guardian pointed out when it was reported that he might be meeting Tshombe in company with Nkumbula "some of Mr. Kaunda's supporters have taken the meeting amiss . . . [but] are learning that with power comes politics".

Our correspondent "dislikes the role of authority implicit in the structure of mass parties" but declares it to be "another argument altogether". But on the contrary it is very much part of the argument. Kaunda is engaged in "high level" discussions and bargaining, and Papworth can refer to him having to bear a burden of responsibility for the lives of many others of which we are

Mr. Kaunda's own creation. The "atmosphere of rapidly increasing African consciousness of the oppressiveness of white During the past two years Kaunda has rule" has invariably been exploited by gone a long way, as a politician. As a small number of African politicians, generally educated in Europe, and already corrupted by the politics of the West, for their own ends. In Mr. Kaunda's case this was not so, and the fact that he expressed strong views on non-violence must have given many of his supporters the hope that independence in N. Rhodesia would be achieved by different means.

It is no argument to say that circumstances were stronger than Mr. Kaunda's good intentions. He had the choice of staying with his people and using his education and political understanding to develop their "consciousness of the oppressiveness of white rule" and make

them aware of the fact that unless they learned how to manage their own lives all independence would mean for them would be a prolongation of oppressiveness but under white-black or just black rule—or of using the confidence they had in him to "negotiate" with the white rulers, thereby using the ferment among his people as the bargaining counter for what Papworth calls a "peaceful transfer of power to African majority rule" and thus end "this long dangerous period of

In other words, the former solution would have sought to develop the rebellious mood of a people and give them a direction which could lead them from white oppression to freedom; whereas the latter tends to extinguish the rebelliousness and militancy of the people by leaving the initiative to a handful of trusted leaders who engage in negotiaThis Year's

Anarchist Ball

will be held next year. On January 25th at Fulham Town Hall

with Mick Mulligan & his Band and George Melly

Guest Artists will include Sidney Carter, Bob Davenport, Red Nerk, Redd Sullivan, Wally Whyton.

Price 6/-, Refreshments available.

TICKETS AVAILABLE SOON

Duty, Divorce & the Bishop

Weston-super-Mare he stated (according to the Bristol Evening Post for Novembe 17): "Between five and seven of every 100 marriages in the country ended in the divorce court. Last year 26,360 decrees were made absolute, the highest number for six years." He also said that the "people of this country do not decrees were made absolute, the highest number for six years." He also said that the "people of this country do not want easier divorce. They look over the ocean and see a situation which exists in America and they recoil. There are 42,000 marriages and 39,000 divorces a year in California."

Which people in this country do not

Which people in this country do not want easier divorse, the bishop did not say. Presumably they do not include those who are tied to a bated mate because neither has the courage to commit an appropriate "offence" with which to convince a divorce court. Nor those who, while separated, are stopped by conventional humbug from forming a new alliance. The bishop was equally vague as to who were the "sociologists and others" who rejected divorce by consent. One would like to think that it was because such supporters of mari-tal misery preferred to remain anony-

Few words need be wasted on the bishop's troubles. Were legal restrictions less heavy, or the economic position of women better, then he might have even more cause to worry about the divorce rate. Who knows how many unions are kept together because of lack of money, the monstrosities of the law, or simply fear of "the neighbours"? The wonder is not that there are so many divorces, but that there are so few. Sex. like other human activities, usually thrives on variety and when confined to the cage of monogamy soon tends to wither and die.

BOOKS P

We can supply

ANY book in print.

Also out-of-print books searched for—and frequently found! This includes paper-backs, children's books and text books. (Please supply publisher's namif possible).

NEW BOOKS

NEW BOOKS
The Conservative Enemy
C. A. R. Crossland 30/The Private Life of Joseph Stalin
J. Fishman & J. B. Hutton 21/London: City of any Dream
Colin MacInnes 50/Dostoievsky David Magarshak 50/To Kalanga and Back
Conor Cruise O'Brien 35/Selected Poems Gregory Corso 12/6
The Illusion of Immortality
Corliss Lamont 25/Profiles of the Future

Corliss Lamont 25/Profiles of the Future
Arthur C. Clarke 21/The Fraternal Society
R. & H. Hauser 21/A Rational Animal G. Ryle 5/-

REPRINTS AND CHEAP EDITIONS
Meditations Marcus Aurelius 7/6
Complete Poems Hart Crane 7/6
Intertions and Other Writings
Oscar Wilde 7/6
French Liberal Thought in the
Eighteenth Century
Kingsley Martin 25/Take a Girl Like You
Kingsley Amis 3/6
Selected Poems George Barker 2/6
Exile and the Kingdom
Albert Camus 2/6
Nightmares of Eminent Persons
Bertrand Russell 2/6
Alarms and Diversions
James Thurber 6/The Victorian Chaise-Longue
Marghanita Laski 2/6
Family and Kinship in East London
Michael Young & P. Willmott
Walden and Other Writings
H. D. Thoreau 6/-REPRINTS AND CHEAP EDITIONS

SECOND-HAND

Freedom Bookshop

17a MAXWELL ROAD FULHAM SW6 Tel: REN 3736 objection to certain "young people" who "greedily and selfishly... forget their duty and what they owe to the community to which they belong." Here is a moral spook which has been used to terrorise millions of wretches who have succumbed to its blandishments—Duty and Debt to the Community. It will be worthwhile taking a brief look at this spook, since even some anarchists seem to be still under its influence.

we do not choose to come into this world. We are thrust into it by an act of our parents. When we arrive we find that we have to conform to a pattern of life in whose shaping we have no voice. Willy-nilly, we are supposed to fulfil the obligations it imposes upon us, whether or not these are to our tastes or interests. How, then, can it be argued that we owe a debt to the be argued that we owe a debt to the "community"? We have made no pact "community"? We have made no pac nor concluded any agreement—by wha right can our obedience be demanded?

The reply may be that the community provides us with its services, with food, shelter and work, and that this puts us in its debt. This in no way follows. It a man is sold into slavery to a plantation owner who gives him food and shelter and puts him to work, is that man under any obligation to stay with his "owner" if an opportunity for escape occurs? Even the Bishop of Bath and Wells would probably say that he was not, particularly since this form of not, particularly since this form of slavery is now almost universally con-demned. Since the man was forced into slavery, since he was denied any free choice in the matter, he is considered to be under no obligation to remain

Is the position of the individual in resent society fundamentally different? He has been given no choice and canot live his own life, except at the lisk of imprisonment, or even death, except he is hemmed in by laws thich make him an object for domination and exploitation life is explicit. tion and exploitation. It is as ridicu-lous to pretend that he has any more "duty" towards this "community" than the slave had towards his countilulity than the slave had towards his owner, or the sailor of two hundred years ago had towards the press-gang that conscripted him. To argue the opposite, is to sub-ordinate the individual to the collective and logically leads to his complete absorption into the total state.

to utter empty phrases about duty and sacrifice. Were he to do otherwise, he would soon cease to be a priest. But to anyone wanting to be an autonomous individual, the concept of duty as a sacrosanct entity, a mystical something which must be done whatever one's personal wishes, is a piece of mumbo-jumbo to be thrown into the dustbin along with with all the other metaphysical rubbish used to prop up authority.

S. E. PARKER.

Editorial Footnote

[Unless Comrade Parker can discover way whereby we can come into this world not willy-nilly but by choice; unless he can also discover a way whereby the newly born babe could dispense with the services (including the he is capable of providing for himself, he must either accept these limitations on individual freedom with good grace or register his protest at being brought into the world against his will by committing suicide!

love) of those who provide for him until

Supposing Comrade Parker had been born into an anarchist world. Does he imagine that he would find no "pattern(s) of life" even if there were no laws, no bosses, no money system, no exploitation? It is true that in such a country to the world he free to cort out of society, and plough his lonely furrow—which in existing society it is virtually impossible for the individual to do. But in an anarchist world, would Comrade Parker demand as a right "the services, the food and shelter that the community provides" or at least those which he provides or at least those which he cannot produce by his own labour and which he needs? If he has no debt to the community, what debt has the community to him other than recognising his equal right to the means of production? Obviously an anarchist society would take such rare birds as Comrade Parker in its stride and would waste no time arguing about "pacts" and "agreements" or of pointing out that he was exploiting their labour when he used the roads, or borrowed books from the pub-lic libraries and switched on the light to read them, etc. . . .

Our criticism of Comrade Parker's individualism can be summarised in a paragraph from the pamphlet he has just individualism published containing three essays

paragraph from the pamphlet he has just published containing three essays by Emil Armand:—

"But our kind of individualist is not only mind, spirit, thought. He is neither dry, nor niggardly of heart. If exclusively a rationalist, he would feel himself incomplete, so it is a necessity for him to be both sensible and 'sentimental'. This explains his plan for freeing "his world" of useless and avoidable suffering. He knows that this is possible when one speaks and understands "the language of the heart" when one prefers agreement to struggle, abstention to the unlatching of actions dictated by bitterness, animosity or spite."*

To our minds Comrade Parker's "autonomous individual" is, in Armand's words all "mind", "dry" and "niggardly of heart". Why doesn't he make him occasionally "speak and understand the language of the heart"? Then he might also have a better opinion of his fellow beings.—Editors.

fellow beings .- EDITORS].

*E. Armand "Anarchism & Individual-ism" (1s. 3d. post free from Freedom Bookshop).

On the same shelf?

liar with the selections of articles that have been published yearly since 1951. These have offered a range of subjects and opinions that no other weekly journal can rival, and have shown a courageous uninhibitedness about topics that even the most extreme of party' weeklies somehow refuse to face. As the political parties become more similar the harder they try to establish their differences, and freedom of speech and thought becomes increasingly regarded as heresy, journals such as Tribune and the N.S.&N. have The 'line' must be followed and sancti-

In this stifling circle of 'topical' journals, the Specistor has from time to time offered a effreshing independence of thought. Or to be more exact, they have offered space to writers and columnists who have been prepared to voice the kind of individualistic views that would have little chance of appearing in more 'rigid' papers. The period 1956-61 was particularly rich in this kind of comment, and though recently the of comment, and though recently the Spectator has lost much of its fire, it is

to its credit that a selection has no appeared that might well be popped on the same bookshelf as those of same bookshelf FREEDOM.

The literary qualities of E. M. Forster, F. R. Leavis, Robert Graves and Hesketh Pearson blend readily with the brisk journalism of Katherine Whitethe sharp darts of Taper, Trog and others. The following is a revelation, by Henry Fairlie, on the workings of the Establishment:

the Establishment:

Let me begin by taking a hypothetical example of how the Establishment might act. If I wished to forward the project for creating a University of Brighton I would not conduct a public campaign. I would not even seek out politicians or men of wealth. I would merely try to engage the active support of four people: the Aarchbishop of Canterbury, the Warden of All Souls, the Editor of The Times and Lady Violet Bonham Carter.

The Aarchbishop of Canterbury would not only get the project discussed in the House of Lords, where he would also support it, but he might even persond where he would also support it, but he might even persuade the Lord Mayor of London to interest the City at yet another Mansion House dinner; the Warden of All Souls, besides taking care of the academic world, would turn his Common Room into an intelligence

"POINTS OF VIEW" (A selection from the "Spectator"), Longmans

headquarters for the whole operation; the Editor of *The Times* would open his correspondence column to the idea, probably starting with a column-length letter from Lord Radcliffe, and would then round the correspondence off with a leading article which managed to give the impression that the whole idea had arisen quite spontaneously . .; and Lady Violent Bonham Carter would, I hope, be on the telephone. Humour has always been one of the qualities of the *Spectator*. Writing of beds, Katherine Whitehorn remarks: "There have been beds that haul you

"There have been beds that haul you up to the ceiling to escape the ants and beds that drop you down into the cellar to be murdered by the innkeeper; traveling beds on wheels, beds with plumbing, beds for receiving several polite callers (with silk hangings) or one friend (with mirrors)."

mirrors)."
And the following does not come from the pages of FREEDOM but from a commentary by Taper:

mentary by Taper:

"The gradual petrification of the party attitudes has spread well up fine the defence regions of the body politic; from Mr. Bevan's attacks on German rearmament (Mr. Gaitskell folded his arms and gazed on Nirvana) to Mr. Lloyd's accusation that the Opposition were unpatriotic in dividing the house, the attitudes were as predictable as they were silly, and almost more irrelevant than either."

C.H.

KAUNDA'S ABOUT-FACE

Continued from page 1 he added "I keep under pretty strict control"—followed by loud laughter from the plush armchairs). Banda is one of them!). Unlike the nationalists, anarchists do not believe that indepen-dence of white rule must be achieved at any cost as a first step and as quickly

If it could be shown that the kind of endence" which is negotiated black-and-white politicians leads immediate solution of the nagging problem of hunger, this writer, at least, could not argue for long-term revolutionary solutions which would perhaps prolong the psysical suffering of millions against immediate solutions which at least would guarantee that every human against immediate solutions which at least would guarantee that every human being had the necessities to maintain life. It is one thing to talk of making material sacrifices for freedom and human values to the over-fed, overgadgeted people of the "affluent nations" and quite another to the starving millions of the rest of the world! But let us face up to the facts of life which are: (1) that the standards of living in the Western countries are rising; (2) colonialism has virtually been ended, in the sense at least that India, or Algeria, or Ghana are no longer ruled by a metropolitan power; (3) in 1961 the world's population increased by 52,000,000; (4) food production, according to the FAO, did not increase at all in 1961.

Since the number of people still under colonial rule today probably does not exceed 100 million and the world's population exceeds 2,000 million, and since the standards of living of the West and Russia and satellites are increasing is it unreasonable to conclude that the standards of living of the people in the rest of the world, including those that have achieved "independence", have remained stationary or have even gone down?

WE advocate the revolutionary solu-tions then, because on the evidence it seems that the people, as distinct from

WHEN PRIVILEGE IS ENDED ...

the new privileged class, do not enjoy immediate material advantage from independence achieved from above, and the immediate effect is simply to replace one set of rulers and exploiters by another. At most, all that has changed is the colour of their skin. In such circumstances an intelligent as well as a conscientious revolutionary would resist the temptation of clutching at the constitutional solutions which offer him and his friends status and power so long as he is prepared to treat the people as he is prepared to treat the people he alleges to represent, as pawns in the electoral circus. Indeed, only as revo-lutionaries could Kaunda and his friends expected to recognise the equal rights of a white minority which is outnumbered by the blacks in the ratio of about eighty to one! Instead they accept the solution which gives the whites equal representation as the blacks! If it follows, by implication, that we suggest Kaunda should have held out for proportional representation we would portional representation, we would hasten to express our opposition to any solution which is based on rule by minorities or majorities or such fantastic criteria as the colour of a man's skin! For a revolutionary the criterion is not between black and white but between exploiter and explointed whatever the colour of their skins.

WE do not profess to know anything about local politics in N. Rhodesia and apologize in advance to most of our readers for the fact that their stinginess precludes us from attempting to give them our version of on-the-spot opinions! But even from this distance we are prepared to surmise that Mr. Nkumbula, who is financed by Tshombe in Katanga, who is a pal of Welensky, looks for his votes among the explointed but better paid workers in the copperbett, whereas Mr. Kaunda enjoys the support of the exploited but badly paid workers in the tobacco, coffee, wheat—in the agricultural and cattle-rearing areas of the country. N. Rhode-

sia, it would seem, presents the same financial and social problems as the Congo, viz: that whereas relatively small areas produce large quantities of copper, zinc, gold, silver and cobalt which give the companies exploiting them handsome profits (we have before us as we write the Company Reports of the Rhokana Corporation which made a profit of £9.62m, on sales amounting to £23.56 and Barcoff Mines which made 2.2 for profits on sales amounting to £23.56 and Bancroft Mines which made £2.1m. profits on sales of 51,434 tons of blister copper. How many other companies live off the sweat and misery of African miners?) and they can "afford" to make concessions to the workers' demands (Rhokana attribute a loss of £0.28m. to strikes and Bancroft Mines [60.45m. to strikes and Bancroft Mines [60.45m.] strikes and Bancrott Mines 20:15hii., a pittance considering that the strike lasted for three weeks)—we were saying that by contrast with the workers in the Copper half those engaged in producing the strikes and Bancroft Mines £0.15m of contast with the workers in the Cop-per belt those engaged in producing the food they consumed were in a position of inferiority and though an electoral majority, dependent on the minority vote of the mining areas for Kaunda's work-

John Papworth seeks refuge from offering alternative solutions when he

I have no doubt there is an alterna-ve to mass parties and the ploblem of adership they pose, but so far neither W. nor I have put forward one that practicable.

J.W. nor I have put forward one that is practicable.

Apart from the anarchist arguments we have already advanced, we would put the following questions to John Papworth and other members of the World Peace Brigade who, even if denied entry, are in closer contact with the problems of N. Rhodesia than we can hope to be:

(1) Is the arable land available sufficient if explointed in the light of technological and scientific knowledge for the needs of the people?

of the people?

(2) What measures have been taken against the tsetse fly in the large areas of potential arable land in the Kasempa area? (It may well be that this is power.) area? (It may well be that this is now a flourishing area; we openly confess

our ignorance on the subject, and wel-

come enlightenment).
(3) What propaganda has been made among the miners of the Copper belt to identify themselves with the land work-

his friends taken to politically educate the people of N. Rhodesia to take over the management of their affairs them-selves as opposed to the acceptance of a transference of power from one set of rulers to another? (5) As to his friend Mr. Kaunda's inten-

(3) As to his friend Mr. Raunda's intentions when he does get his majority:
(a) does he propose to expropriate the mining corporations? (b) if not, what solutions does he propose which will free the African miners from their servitude and will result in the equitable distribution among all the people of N. Rhodesia of the benefits derived from the natural resources? (c) in view of his its natural resources? (c) in view of his repeated appeals to his people on the subject of non-violence as a principle,

its natural resources? (c) in view of his repeated appeals to his people on the subject of non-violence as a principle, how does he propose to govern without laws; and without law courts, without police and the magistrates if he introduce laws; and without the threat of force ((hence an army) if he distinguishes between "his people" and those beyond the artificial frontiers?

Perhaps the ideological points we have raised and the direct questions we have raised and the direct questions we have asked may appear irrelevant in the context of our own present political impotence in the West. So far as its people are concerned they have everything to gain and very little to lose if only the politicians white or black can be prevented from stifling their generous and impulsive rebelliousness against authority. If the function of the World Peace Brigade is to ease the tensions between rulers and the ruled, we would have no hesitation in denouncing them as reactionaries and stooges of the ruling class! We welcome these tensions as a healthy manifestation of the militancy of the underprivileged. The "period of tension" can only be ended when we find solutions which not only end white privilege but all privilege of man overman.

FREEDOM

December 1 1962 Vol 23 No 37

This whole debate about thalidomide babies is an unreal one, for the obvious reason that we cannot know in advance how a newly-born human being is going to feel about its deformities when it reaches adulthood. Some will choose to go on living, others to die. Since this is the case, it is probably better to err on the side of life, but the dilemma

always a tragic one. Whatever FREE-OM may say, Mrs. Vandeput hasn't DOM may say, Mrs. Vande solved anything for anybody

You also say that a mother has the right of life or death over her baby. You have gone very Roman all of a sudden. Personally, I can think of nothing more authoritarian than murder.

Yours faithfully, 16 Geoffrey Minish London, Nov 16

LIFE AND RESPONSIBILITY

OUR correspondent declares that the debate about deformed babies is "unreal" for what he con-siders the "obvious reason that we cannot know in advance how a newly-born human being is going to feel about its deformities when it reaches adulthood. Some will choose to go on living, others to die". And he concludes that it is "probably better to err on the side of life".

We would like to support this view for the obvious reason that in a free society such a choice should rest with the individual concerned. On the other hand, we cannot be satisfied that more than a few adults would have the courage and the rationality to take such a decision and execute it. Our correspondent even seems to overlook the that in the case of seriously deformed persons their only way out would be by self imposed starvation; and at what a price for the loved ones, who for years had devoted their lives and perhaps material security to provide not only love but all that science can offer to compensate for the imperfections of Nature, the ignorance of science or for what "God

The baby that, in our opinion was mercifully, lovingly, spared by its mother the agony of living to become aware of its "enormous handicaps"—assuming that what follows can be summed up in two words—was described by the Belgian Attorney General in these

The child, which had no arms, shoulder-bone structure but with bryonic fingers attached to the trunk and no anus, was starting life with an enormous handicap, though nothing permitted one to say it would not have lived. He hoped, moreover that no

No, when we think of monsters we think of the Verwoerds, the Hitlers and the Francos and not a helpless babe rendered more help less by the quirks of nature, the ignorance of scientific man, or for those who believe in him "the will of God", and who will remain help-less when he should normally be expected to fend for himself and live and grow as a human personality. Think of the problems of an otherwise normal, limbless human being at the age of puberty. Think of the intimate day to day functions and the daily unhappiness they and their loved ones must endure; think of the localiness and the frustration. of the loneliness and the frustration of the being who can neither touch nor approach an object of interest without the arms and legs of an-other human being constantly in attendance. We believe that a

human being so deformed, that has been allowed to live, not only has the right to hate those who have allowed him to live but also the right to demand that they should be in constant attendance for his every wish. Society, or at least Society, the Church and the law, demand that the individuals who have the misfortune to bring into this world a grossly deformed babe shall be threatened with a murder charge if they have the imagination to destroy it and be accused of being in-human monsters if they do not sacrifice their future to it

When we wrote (FREEDOM, Nov. 17) "This writer has no hesitation in declaring that no society can claim, as of right, even less to being free, that women should not decide the fate or the future of the babes they bear" we were attempting to summarise in one sentence not only the right of a mother to destroy her creation, but what is equally, or more, important our belief that noone better than the mother knows what is best for the future of her babe. And this applies in its negative as well as its positive aspects. ative as well as its positive aspects. To seek to persuade an "accidental" mother who rejects her babe that it is her duty to care for it by applying moral pressures is against the interests of the child; it is much better that it should be adopted by people who want children but are unable to have their own. To demand that the mother of a grossly deformed child has a duty to society or to God to keep it alive and to sacrifice herself to it presupposes that she believes in God and that society has over-riding rights as to the fate of her deformed babe.

MR. MINISH suggests that apart from going "Roman all of a sudden" we are putting forward views that are authoritarian and if put into effect can only be described as murder.

Having for the first time been charged with advocating murder we turned to our dictionary, and discovered that this terrible word is defined as "unlawful killing of human being with malice aforethought" (Oxford Concise). Is Mr. thought" (Oxford Concise). Minish prepared to maintain that this writer, or a whole host of correspondents in the press, notably the Times, who welcomed the Liege verdict are motivated by "malice verdict are motivated by "malice aforethought" and that this writer in going one step further and de-claring that all mothers should have the right to decide the "fate or future" of their babes is an authoritarian because an advocate murder?

And the Deficit Fund. Have you

THE THREAT of an outbreak of pea hung over the world, the spectacle the threat of an outsteak of peace hung over the world, the spectacle of Mr. Krushchev and Mao Tse-tung "going limp" as it were, startled the world into fantastic political specula-

AN ATTEMPTED inquest on Civil Defence preparations for the last crisis but one (Cuba) was fobbed off by the Home Secretary. Topic magazine revealed that our C.D. plans were not ready, Hampstead residents found that C.D. officials were not at "action stations" during the Cuban crisis Cuban crisis. . . .

GENERAL NORSTAD is reported to have said: "Nato troops are prepared to use battlefield atomic weapons, if necessary, to defend Western Europe. The selective use of limited atomic firepower will not necessarily result in total war, although it may heighten the degree of risk of total war. risk of total war.

Mr. Minish raises problems in his Mr. Minish raises problems in his criticisms which anarchists cannot dismiss lightly. If the mother has not the power of life and death over her progeny who has? If the State or society by what right? If noone what moral duty has the mother to suckle her babe? Again, should society have the right to oblige women to bear? If not what moral right has it to legislate how the mother should dispose of it when she has it?

she has it?

The Church says that "God gives life and it is for God to take it away" (half the under-25s gave this answer to a *Daily Herald* question on "Mercy Killing" following the Liège trial). The weakness of this argument, and which the law does not accept for obvious reasons is argument, and which the law does not accept for obvious reasons is that if God has the power of life and death, then just as when we give birth it is the will of "God" so when we take life we are only acting by God's will!

Surely in a life cociety where

surely in a free society where there is no imposed laws, every in dividual would have the power of life and death over his fellows. Does anybody seally think that people will go about trying to kill each other? Will there be the wholesale slaughter of wars which are sale slaughter of wars which are characteristics of our present society with all its morality and its laws against killing? How many of those under-twenty-fives who solemnly declared that "life is God's gift", that "life is something too sacred" would refuse to bear arms if called upon by the State? By removing constraints the individual invariably assumes responsibility. To our minds no one has a greater sense of responsibility for a babe than its mother for reasons which seem to obvious to repeat. And we think, contrary to what our correspondent maintains, that the Liege trial verdict was tremendously dict was tremendously important for the future happiness of millions of children.

of children.

The TIMES published the following letter from Barbara Wootton which brilliantly exposes the doube-think of the "will-of-God" moralists.

Sir,—In his letter published today Mr.

"will-of-Goa morans."
Sir,—In his letter published today Mr.
St. John-Stevas writes of the fundamental moral principle that "innocent life should never be taken". A few lines further down he adds a second principle that "immediate self-defence apart, the taking of life should be confined to the state".

taking of life should be confined to the state".

Presumably we must draw the cynical inference that the moral law is not binding on those who act in the name of the state—a proposition with which, to judge from their actions, a number of statesmen would appear to agree.

If the sanctity of life is an inviolable moral principle (and this is indeed a noble doctrine) will someone please explain why it does not operate on the grand as well as on the small scale? Mr. St. John-Stevas has himself written an admirable book upholding the sanctity of life. So has Dr. Glanville Williams. Yet neither of these authors thought it necessary even to discuss the moral justification of the slaughter of millions in warfare.

the moral justification of the slaughter of millions in warfare.

By what logic do we invoke against the Vandeputs (whatever the rights or wrongs of their action) an inviolable moral principle from the operation of which we tacitly exempt those who perpetrate the hombing of undeformed enemy babies?

Yours faithfully.

remy babies?
Yours faithfully,
WOOTTON OF ABINGER.
House of Lords, Nov. 13.

MR. JAMES H. MEREDITH, a law student at Mississippi University, Oxmord (Miss.) wrote a letter to a reporter on the Bhandeis University student weekly in which he said, "I want to give my thoughts on the question of political power. By its very nature 'political power' is deleted from the list of given has alterns. A person or proup of person or person or proup of person or person or proup of person or person or proup of person or proup of person or proup of person or power. By its very nature 'political power' is deleted from the list of giveable items. A person or proup of persons cannot give to another person or persons political power. I believe that political power is always earned by the benefitting parties. As proof of this fact, I cite history. Nowhere in my study have I found any instance of one party giving to another effective political power. Consequently, the efforts presently being made by the different groups to increase the Negro vote by eliminating discrimination in registration, etc., will not in my opinon result in any substantial political power for the Negro. However it may, hopefully awaken the Negro to the task of securing these privileges and rights for himself. But as for legislative acts, court orders or even police coercion as a orders or even police coercion as a method of securing for a people the elements of political power ((voting in America) it is in my opinion to no avail." This sublime expression of a avail." This sublime expression of a somewhat anarchistic case is not maintained, since Mr. Meredith latterly points out that "there are only four Negro lawyers in the State [of Mississippi] and lawyers in the State [of Mississippi] and they all live in Jackson. (This is important because I feel that persons trained in law and government are essential to political progress)," and further, "I believe that the greatest need of the Mississippi Negro is for trained professionals other than teachers and clergymen. In the political sphere, the need is for lawyers and political scientists as well as other professional social scientists. My aim is to see a Negro lawyer in every county. Of course, I want to see doctors, nurses, and other professionals in every county, too".... every county, too"...

wife of Luton Girls' Choir conductor was shocked by Carousal song-line "Our hearts are warm, our bellies are full", which she changed for a Royal performance to "Our hearts are warm, and we are full"; Sir George Eddy on the advisory council to Kidderminster playhouse was shocked by the healthy language in Weskter's Roots. A man who shocked a berth-master by telling him "You are trying to be bloody awkward", was unsupported by a magistrate's clerk who said, "We have only heard a Shakespearian adjective", and the case was dismissed. Scots Guards shocked by Nuderama Club doorman's wearing an overcoat of the Scots Guards regiment as he stood on duty, had the insult wiped out in a £5 fine for causing a breach of the Queen's peace, and seven guineas costs plus two pounds for wearing a uniform without the Queen's permission, since Soho strip clubs, though equally a tourist-trap with the Changing of the Guard, are not places of grace and favour. The people of the State of New York were apparently shocked enough to issue an indictment against Henry Miller, Grove Press and Others. In it the District Attorney of the County of Kings indicted them all on 15th October (unsuccessfully) for "selling, lending, distributing, giving away, showing and transmuting a certain obscene, lewd, lascivious, filthy, indecent, sadistic, masochistic and disgusting book entitled Tropic of Cancer. Henry Miller, a Black Cat Book (95c.)" which the indictment goes on "depicts and represents acts and scenes wherein the sexual organs of both male persons and female persons are portrayed and described in manners connoting sex degeneracy and sex perversion . . of such a pornographic character as to tend to excite fecherous thoughts and desires". A painter in Johannesburg was charged with wrongfully and unlawfully and publicly slandering Jesus Christ and/or God by a painting entitled "My Jesus" which portrayed Christ naked with the head of an animal or monster and bearing the words, "I forgive You, O Lord, you know not what you do". WIFE OF Luton Girls' Choir conductor

you know not what you do", Ar I LAST six Africans were shot dead in Cape Province after a riot in which Africans killed a 17-year-old schoolgirl and a 22-year-old man. Thirty Africans were arrested. Mr. Brian Bunting, a journalist on the New Age, a Cape Town newspaper was placed under house arrest, together with his wife. Two African warders, one European, one Coloured were sent for trial in Capetown charged with culpable homicide of an African convict. assaulted after escaping from prison, died of brain haemorrhage, crushed lungs, burst liver, bruised heart, eight broken ribs and many other bruises and cuts. A London solicitor visiting Aden jail witnessed a "flogging" (it was only actually a caning") and said "I saw only the first of three—then I left because it was so unpleasant. The men were stripped



completely and tied hand and foot to a rack with muslin across their buttocks. They screamed terribly as they were given twelve strokes of a cane. I was told that the marks will be on their backs for four weeks". The "caning" was given for hunger-striking in sympathy with a fellow trade-unionist who was given four days penal diet for insubordination. The Minister of State, Foreign Office, explained in the House of Lords that a mistake had been committed, twenty-four hours' notice should be given before such 'canings' and they could only be given for mutiny, repeated assaults, and attacks on an officer, but not for insubordination. An African was sentenced to six months' jail in Southern Rhodesia for singing the anthem of the Zimbabwe African People's Union. A woman was sentenced to mine months in Bulawayo for possessing an offensive weapon, viz a stone, which she said she picked up to defend herself against a police-dog which had bitten her. An actor-producer escaped from house arrest in South Africa and took refuge in Southern Rhodesia. 28 Africans and Coloured students arrived in Bechuanaland after fleeing from South Africa. Some of them had tried to reach Tanganyika but were stopped and turned back to Bechuanaland. . . .

HEMEL HEMPSTEAD British Legion protested at CND Remembrance Day vigil with a banner which said, "They died to let us live. Please let us live". The father of the CND group's secretary was an RAF navigator who was killed on the way back from a raid. Exmouth British Legion was accused of snobbery. Lydbrook Parish Council was informed by a Government auditor that it was illegal to spend public money on Remembrance Day wreaths, so the parish council had a personal whipround for this year's. An ex-German paratrooper preaching at Lincoln on Remembrance Day said, "We ought to remind ourselves this day that if it had not been for the sacrifice of the ordinary man, freedom might not be ours today." HEMEL HEMPSTEAD British Legion pro-

Sell-out all round

Continued from page I factory are of an inhuman, soul-destroying nature. Men perform repetitive tasks all day long and the division of labour is carried to the Nth degree. The company crest should read "All for the Gods of Higher Production and Bigger Profile"

Gods of Higher Production and Bigger Profits".

The rank and file workers are pushed around like pawns to suit the purpose of those who profess to know what is best for them, the political parties, union leaders. Mr. Martell, Catholic Action and Moral Re-armament. In most strikes these know-alls are out for their own ends. The struggle between the Communist and Catholic factions is a familiar pattern in the unions. The M.R.A. crowd are well known for their visits to militant workers and their talk of mutual interests between employer and employee.

All these have played their reactionary part in the sell-out at Fords. Workers have been used by these organisations, a state of affairs which will continue until they form their own rank and file movements and run them for their own interests.

These movements under workers' control, set up in each factory, must be linked in some way with one another. If then a dispute arises at any one factory, it is not a fight alone, but is backed up by the support of all the others. In this way it may be possible to avoid the usual pattern of strikes and the victimisation and sell out, which invariably follows.

Gradually as the strength of this industrial movement grows, the opportunity must arise to obtain more control over production. until eventually the running of the factory lies solely in the hands of the workers. Only then will the exploitation cease and the inhuman methods and conditions of work today come to an end.

P.T. The rank and file workers are pushed

Santa Baby ...

WE NEED MORE READERS!!

There is only one month left to find the hundreds of new readers we need not only to balance our budget but to increase the influence of our paper. Are you doing your bit? What about a 3-month gift subscription to a friend? What about copies of ANARCHY complete

with envelopes if requested instead of Xmas cards (we can supply all back numbers except Nos. 6 and 13 of which stocks are so low that we have kept them for orders of com-plete sets)

contributed your share this year? If not, there's still time to give Freedom Press an Xmas box!

May I reply to your "Editorial Comeback" (Nov. 10) on my letter about productivity (Oct. 20)?

You say my statement that factory workers live better now, on the whole, than kings did in the past "does not bear examination". But if you examsuch matters as diet, sanitation, medical care, and personal cleanliness and comfort, I think you would find that many a factory worker today is better off than many an English king before the industrial revolution. According to "A History of the English People" by Mitchell and Leys (1950) "it was not until about the fifteenth century that any degree of bodily comfort was deemed desirable" even in royal households (p. 37). Even as late as 1844 no fewer than 53 cess-pits, all overflowing and infectious, were found beneath Windsor Castle (p. 487)!

As for my statement that working people's leisure has been increased, you call this "a popular view not borne out by facts, unless of course one is talking of the very distant past". I was talking of the period from the start of the industrial revolution to the present day. Facts about this period are to be found in pp. 59-60 of George Soule's book What Automation Does to Human Beings (1956). In the United States, since the early days of the industrial revolution, the average working week has been reduced from 60 or even 72 hours to 40 or less, according to Soule. Other changes are: advances in the average school leaving age, the introduction of paid vacations, retirement with pensions at about age 65, and the removal of "fully half" the housework formerly done by women. Soule calculates that these gains, in time no longer required to be spent at occupations com-monly called "work", easily amount to 100 billion hours a year-"25 or 30 times greater than the estimated age (in years) of the planet Earth"!

It is ironical that I, who know these facts, nevertheless object to raising productivity in principle, whereas you, who evidently do not, support it! Let us now get down to this difference of prin-In an anarchist society, according to you, "productivity would be to everybody's advantage in that the

FREEDOM PRESS **PUBLICATIONS**

SELECTIONS FROM 'FREEDOM' Vol Mankind is One 1952 Postscript to Posterity 1953: Colonialism on Trial 1954: Living on a Volcano 5 1955: The Immoral Moralists 6 1956: Oil and Troubled Waters 1957: Year One-Sputnik Era 8 1958: Socialism in a Wheelchair 9 1959: Print, Press & Public

Vol 10 1960: The Tragedy of Africa Each volume: paper 7/6 cloth 10/6 The paper edition of the Selections is available to readers of FREEDOM at 5/6 post free.

Anarchism (Seven Exponents of the Anarchist Philosophy) cloth 21/-CHARLES MARTIN

Towards a Free Society 2/6 RUDOLF ROCKER

PAUL ELTZBACHER

Nationalism and Culture cloth 21/-

JOHN HEWETSON Ill-Health, Poverty and the State cloth 2/6 paper 1/-VOLINE

Nineteen-Seventeon (The Russian Revolution Betrayed) cloth 12/6 The Unknown Revolution (Kronstadt 1921, Ukraine 1918-21) cloth 12/6

Poetry and Anarchism cloth 5/-

TONY GIBSON Youth for Freedom 2/-Who will do the Dirty Work? 2d. Food Production & Population 6d.

The Expanding Environment (illustrated) boards 8/6

PETER KROPOTKIN Revolutionary Government 3d.
Organised Vengeance Called Justice 2d.

Marie-Louise Berneri Memorial Committee publications: Marie-Louise Berneri, 1918-1949: A tribute Journey Through Utopia cloth 16/- paper 7/6 Neither East Nor West paper 7/6



necessities of life would be produced with the minimum of effort thus freeing people to live their lives". And you 'cannot see what it [productivity] has to do with all the evils of materialism" mentioned in my letter.

Well, may I refer again to the Taoist story which I quoted? Interestingly enough last January, in a broadcast talk on "Faust's Damnation". Erich Heller mentioned it in the following passage:-

"Goethe would have found much to love in the story, written 2,500 years ago, of a Chinese sage who once met simple man, his better in wisdom. The sage, seeing how he watered his field in a very primitive manner, asked him: 'Don't you know that there is a contraption called a draw-well, a kind of machine that would enable you to water a hundred such little fields in And he received this reply: 'I have heard my teacher say: 'He who uses machines will soon have the heart of a machine. He who has the heart of a machine has lost all certainties of the spirit. He who has lost the certainties of the spirit, must needs sin against the meaning of life. Yes, I do know such machines as you speak of, but I also know why I shall not use them'." (The Listener, 25/1/62, p. 170).

The story is an attack, not only on machines, but on all attempts to raise productivity. After his encounter with the simple peasant, the sage felt so ill at ease that he had to run for ten miles before he recovered. When one of his disciples asked him what had upset him. he replied (in Lin Yutang's translation): "I heard from my master that one should try to do things and accomplish things, and that one should try to achieve the greatest results with greatest economy of labour . . . But now it seems I am all wrong complishments, utility and cunning will cause one to lose one's heart." Wisdom of Laoise, p. 250).

The difference between your view, Sirs, and mine is easy to state. You see nothing wrong per se in raising productivity. The evils of centralisation, regimentation, de-humanisation, neurosis and so forth are due, in your opinion, to the authoritarian structure of society or to something else. I, on the other hand, believe that the evils of society, including authoritarianism, are due to a loss of "the certainties of the spirit" And that loss, I believe, is largely due to man's attempt "to achieve the greatest results with the greatest economy of labour"-and to raise productivity generally as high as he can.

Yours faithfully, Bristol. Nov. 19. FRANCIS ELLINGHAM. Ilf friend Ellingham would forget about what Chinese peasants told Chinese sages 2,500 years ago and take a holiday in Southern Italy or Spain among the peasants he would see people who work all the hours of daylight (and often spend a further few hours in the dark walking to and from the strip of land from which they barely get enough to keep alive). He will probably be convinced that though they are saved from the dangers of "mechanical hearts" they are people with broken hearts and broken spirits.—EDS.]

Make better use of them

DEAR SIRS

I find the Benpamin-Ellingham discussion fascinating!

It is true that human societies are made by human beings and their attitudes of mind are what is important (which incidentally is the flaw in the Marxist "Labour Theory of Value"). mass-produced articles were not bought they would not be produced. In my own lifetime I have seen the virtual disappearance of the very cheap and nasty mass-produced article which was not only breakable but also practically useless I have also given thought to the idea of, for instance, a sturdy and lasting car or washing machine with easily fitted replaceable parts for those most likely to wear and must say that the idea of a country full of unchanging sturdy, veterans, does not appeal to me I prefer to see subtle changes in design and a variety from which to choose and

consider that fairly easily disposable articles does make for change. This change has so far been for the better. It may be argued that the activities of "our hidden persuaders"—the advertisers-complicates matters in that it can for a time cause people to buy on some other basis than sound judgment of the value of the article bought by the use of triggers connected with snob bery, sex-appeal, etc. Against this we have the emergence of consumer resistance and consumer guides like Which. But ultimately learning about buying can only come from buying. As people lose self-expression in their jobs they may find it in the choice of their purchases, the decoration of their homes and the general embellishment of their

In any case the idea that all craftsmen in cottage industry were Benvenutos is absurd. A man turning out fences or Windsor kitchen chairs would duplicate the same basic designs which were often traditional and not the fruit of his creative spirit. Nor is lifting potatoes by hand more satisfying to the soul than using some ingenious machine. The amount of satisfying creative thinking one can do about potatoes is limited. It is common knowledge that persons whose misfortune it is to do work which is drudgery, housewives, various types of labourer, etc., have their taste and intelligence thereby blunted to the extent of the proportion of their time devoted to such tasks. The Buddhist and other thinkers are supported by the slave populations on which they are parasitical.

I consider any competent economist could dispose of the argument that poverty is caused by the amount of the total wealth used or wasted by the few very wealthy people.

It is not the possession of a Hi-fi which is important but the selection of recordings one chooses and how and in what spirit one listens I would agree that the right music is more important than the excellence of the reproduction.

Those who have followed me so far will realize that I have little patience with the back to the goat and spinningwheel Anarchist who would, in our crowded world, wish to throw out the products of two thousand years of human ingenuity. Since I sometimes think myself an Anarchist I hope more will agree that we must preserve the tools and aim simply to make better use of them, support those trends which are for us, and opiose those which are London, Nov. 21. TOM BARNES.

We have the choice

COMRADES,

Francis Ellingham continues to confuse common symptoms with cause and effect.

The 'cult of productivity' is not new, though the phrase may be, and it does not 'foster the grasping mentality'. It might, on the contrary, be argued that the grasping mentality, among 'hard-headed businessmen', favours produc-

MONEY PLEASE!

FINANCIAL STATEMENT AT NOVEMBER 24, 1962 Weeks 46-47 EXPENSES: 47 weeks at £70 INCOME: Sales & Sub. Renewals £ 1,486 Weeks 1-45 Weeks 46, 47 31 1,516 New Subscriptions: Weeks 1—45 (363) Weeks 46, 47 (17) 410

DEFICIT £1,364

DEFICIT FUND

DEFICIT FUND

Baltimore: M.M. £1/18/-; Leeds: B.D. 4/-;
Oxford: Anon.* 5/- Alberta: W.G.* 7/-;
Seattle: W.C.K. 10/6: Hounslow: L.* 3/-;
E. Rutherford: A.S.* 10/6: Slough: E.C.
10/- Trinidad: V.R. 10/-; Cheltenham:
L.G.W.* £4. Southend: P.O.* 5/-; Wolverhampton:
J.K.W.* 2/-; London: FLS. £1/8/- Bromley:
G.W.T. 11/-; Shoreham: M. & D.* 2/6:
Pacific Grove: F.H.C. £1/15/-; Ipswich:
W.D. 2/6: Buxton: A.B.A. 10/6: Sheffield:
Anon. £1/1/-; E. Rutherford: A.S.* 9/9:
London: W.K.S. 5/6 Colma, Calif.: R.H.
14/-: Glesgow: J.H.* 3/6: Hounslow: L.*
2/6: Selsdon: E.D. 5/-; Wolverhampton:
J.K.W.* 2/-; Wolverhampton: J.L.* 2/6: Wolverhampton: J.L. 2/-J.K.W* 2/: Wolverhampton: J.L. 20. Weybridge: G.B S. 2/6 Leeds: G.L. 3/-: London: S.B. El Shorehem: M. & D.* 2/6: Cheltenham, Vict.: C.C.W. 8/- Belfast: "Liem" 5/: Tooting: C.S. 5/-: Glasgow: Ack. 1/- London: J.S. £1: Surrey: F.B.* 10/-

TOTAL Previously schnowledged 1,061 18 11

1962 TOTAL TO DATE £1,082 18 8

*Denotes reguler contributors.

tivity; but this is irrelevant to an assess ment of the potential social value of productivity. Throughout history men have sought to lighten their burden of to gain the leisure and freedom to tackle higher things—or just other things. Now that they have achieved the means to do this, the fact that they have not developed the social order to make full and proper use of those means is no condemnation of the means as such, but only of the purposes for which they are now used.

I may be wrong, but Comrade Ellinghant seems to infer that he imagines that Comrado Benjamin 'sees beauty in all mass-produced articles'. I am sure this was not her contention; but it is equally prejudiced to deny that massproduction, if geared to that end, is incapable of producing beautiful, superbly finished articles, far beyond the capacity of hand-craftsmen, in its own idiom. Again, it is a tool at present generally used for the wrong ends. To judge by present typical achievement is utterly

All this is not to imply worship of material things. But to argue against it is to reject the strivings of all the craftsmen, artists and inventors in history. The leisure which mass-production and automation could provide could release people to indulge in actions which they value for themselves. Rejection of technology, if it were possible, would condemn people, of necessity, for ever to strive for 'the (mere?) fruits of action'.

That 'automation is impossible in many industries', if this is a fact (Note: I do not thereby imply that I think it is applicable to all industries, or to all aspects of any given industry)-does not mean that it should not be applied where it is possible. Sociologists may have demonstrated a correlation between neurosis and degree of technological development, but this does not prove a causal relationship; both are symptoms, one bad and one good-pardon the value-judgment-of a common cause, which is not the only possible cause of either; finance-capitalism.

I see no imperative, moral or other, implied in Jackie's statement that machines 'are here . . . and it is up to us to use them to our own best advantage'. 'It is up to us' implies, for me, 'We have the choice', perhaps with the addition, 'and we would be foolish not to'.

Man is an organic part of his environment, certainly; but he is not a vegetable. Living in harmony with nature does not mean declining to manipulate it, it means manipulating it with the fullest possible awareness of the purpose and effects of that manipulation. can nature's bounty be increased. It is the short-sighted, perverted manipulation for capitalist, instead of for human ends which is so destructive.

Alright, so Francis doesn't like Jackie's picture of one possible mode of life in a leisure-society. She would not, I am sure, wish to deny him the right to engage in whatever type of activity he favours, provided only that it is not anti-social; but he would appear to grudge her, or anyone else who so chose, the right to live in the way she illustrates. It would seem that he is guilty of propounding 'moral imperatives'.

His final paragraph beautifully sums up his confusion between productivity and the at present co-existent evils of society. All too many people today, and continuously since the Luddites, are reacting against labour-saving devices in industry, instead of against the system which results in the absurdity that their application acts to the disadvantage of the workers they displace. Wake up. Comrade Ellingham! London, Nov. 17 BRIAN LESLIE.

Anarchism-how?

DEAR EDITORS.

"Anarchism is not something which might have been possible in the past, it is something which has only become possible now, because we at last have the means to produce for the needs of I quote from the last paragraph of Jacquetta Benjamin's letter (Novem ber 3rd) as it really does say something. I agree that the possibility of an anarchist society has been tremendously advanced by progress in technology and science. What is certain is that Taoism and similar mystic philosophies will get us no nearer in a million years.

Francis Ellingham has made an Aunt Sally of capitalist high production, wherein the motive is profit and power and confused this with the efficiency of production it is reasonable to expect with a rational form of Society where the only motive is to satisfy the needs of the people. He can have all the "spiritual needs" he wishes after the material needs of Society are satisfied, and that means common ownership.

Yours sincerely. Surrey, Nov. 19.

LONDON FEDERATION OF ANARCHISTS CENTRAL MEETINGS

meetings to be held at The Two Brewers, 40 Monmouth Street, WC2 (Leicester Square Tube) Sundays at 7.30 p.m.

DEC 2 Philip Holgate Some Notes on Anarchism DEC 9 Arthur Uloth:

The Origin of Christmas DEC 16 Max Patrick: The Far East Situation DEC 23 An Anarchist Anthology DEC 30 Sid Parker:

JAN 6 Oonagh Lahr: Is Non-Violence Against Human Nature?

Emile Armand

Hyde Park Meetings

Sundays at 4 p.m. onwards (Anarchist time) (Weather permitting)

OFF-CENTRE DISCUSSION MEETINGS

Ist Thursday of each month at 8 p.m. at Jack and Mary Stevenson's, 6 Stainton Road, Enfield, Middx.

1st Wednesday of each month at 8 p.m. at Colin Ward's, 33 Ellerby Street, Fulham, S.W.6.

3rd Tuesday at Brian and Doris Lelie's, 242 Amesbury Avenue, S.W.2 (Streatham Hill, Nr. Station).

Third Wednesday of the month, at 8 p.m. at Albert Portch's, 11 Courcy Road (off Wood Green High Road), N.8.

Last Wednesday of each month at 8 p.m. Tom Barnes', Albion Cottage, Fortis Green, N.2. (3rd door past Tudor Hotel). 3rd Friday of each month at 8 p.m. at Donald & Irene Rooum's, 148a Fellows Road, Swiss Cottage, N.W.3.

Please note that the meetings at Fellows Road, N.W.3 are now on the third Friday, not the third Wednesday as hitherto.

Last Thursday of each month at 8 p.m. at George Hayes', 174 Mcleod Road, Abbey Wood, S.E.2.

Notting Hill Anarchist Group (Discussion Group)

Last Friday of the month, at Brian and Margaret Hart's, 57 Ladbroke Road, (near Notting Hill Station), W.11.

Cambridge Anarchist Group

Meetings on Wednesdays at 8.30 p.m., 13 New Court, St. John's College. DEC 5 Mr. Harrison: Individualism

OXFORD ANARCHIST DISCUSSION GROUP (gown, town and district) Meets Wednesdays, 5.30 4 Old Library, Oxford (term-time).

ANARCHY Nos 1-21 Still Available 1/9 Post Free

Freedom

The Anarchist Weekly FREEDOM is published 40 times

the last in each month.

ANARCHY (1/9 or 25 cents post free),
a 32-page journal of anarchist ideas,
is published 12 times a year on the 1st of each month.

Postal Subscription Rates to FREEDOM

and ANAKCHY
12 months 32/- (U.S. & Canada \$5.00)
6 months 16/- (2.50)
3 months 8/6 (\$1.25)
Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies
12 months 8/7/- (U.S. & Canada \$7.50)

12 months 47/- (U.S. & Canada \$7.50) 6 months 23/6 (\$3.75)

AIR MAIL Subscription Rates (FREEDOM by Air Mail, ANARCHY by Surface Mail) 12 months 52/- (U.S. & Canada \$8.00)

Postal Subscription Rates to FREEDOM

I year (40 issues) 20/- (U.S. & Cenada \$3) 4 months (20 issues) 10/- (\$1.50) 3 months (10 issues) 5/- (\$0.75)

Air Mail Subscription Rates to FREEDOM only
1. year (40 Issues) 40/- (\$6.00)

Cheques, P.O.s and Money Orders should be made out to FREEDOM PRESS crossed a/c Payee, and addressed to the publishers:

FREEDOM PRESS

17a MAXWELL ROAD LONDON, S.W.6, ENGLAND Tel: RENOWN 3736.

Printed by Express Printers, London, E.I. Published by Preedum Fress, 17a, Maxwell Read, Landon, S.W.S.