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## NOTES.

## The Commune and the Children. -

It is often asked: "Who would care for the children in a free or Anarchist commune? What would you' do with those mothers who are callous or indifferent about their babies?" It must be admitted that such women exist, but of all the follies under the sun the worst would be to try to compel a woman to be "lowing and devoted" to children-her own or others. Such a thing is not possible. If it were; the result for the children would be disastrous. Fortunately, the world has always had people with hearts big enough to care for the liftle ones, even while Governments: (as was the case in England a hundred years ago) were sacrificing them, body and soul, to the greed of the capitalist with a barbarity unparalleled. But to come back to the present day. One answer to the above comes from Wakefield, where an organisation known as the "Babies' Welcome" has been doing-quite voluntarily-splendid work for the children. It has been initiated by Miss Boilean, who had the good sense to understand that the feeding of the mother both betore and after childbirth had momentous results for the child's chance of living and growing strong. This little society of voluntary workers has very limited means, so it works on co-operative linestather than philanthropic: And what has it been able to achieve? Welle in 1900 infantile mortality in Wakefeld stood at 200 per $1,00 \%$; for the last five years it has been reduced to 119.6 per 1,000 . And it is publicly admitted that this voluntary organisation has had the greatest share in bringing about this result. All this, too, in the midst of a vicious capitalist system. What could not be done when that system is removed, and human energy is free to work for the common good?

## A Magistrate on Marriage.

The people who said that marriage was inclissoluble were both pious and cruel, and he did not want to have anything-to do with them. Human nature could not stand it and would not stand it, and laws ought to be made to suit human nature as' it was and not as wétwanted it to be." Not bad for a magistrate! Mr. Cecil.Chapman spoke thus to the Women's Freedom League. at Caxton Hall, and we hope the ladies thought the matter over. It would-be more , useful exercise than fighting for tie vote. But what sounds so very odd in Mr. Ghapman's method of reasoning is the idea that laws are to be made to "suit human nature." If a law could possibly' be made to suit human nature, it would be unnecessary, because human nature as it is only needs to be free to be a'"law unto itself," and the matter is settled. Law-that is to say, statute law-is, however, something quite different. It is a thing made by some people to be forced upon others, Hence the trouble in the world.

## "Civilised" Barbarians.

There are many stupendous contradictions in the present day that "need investigating," so probably the following incident may not arouse more than the average amount of astonishment. The facts were given in the Westminster Gazctte of June 18, and are to the effect that in Mrississippi a "coloured" man named Curl having sent an insulting note to a white woman, one Miller went at the head of a posse to arrest him, and was shot by Curl. Thereupon the friends and neighbours of Miller arrested the negro and asked the brother of the dead man to state his wishes with regard to his punishment. The brother expressed himself as follows: "I told them I did not believe in brutality; nor did I approve of the mutilation of bodies by torture or slow burning, but I'd like to have the privilege accorded me of making the first pull on the rope after the negro was ready for hanging to a tree." The good man was permitted this act of grace, and he wrote to various Southern newspapers to say: "I wish you would 'please state that the lynching of Elmo Curl, at Mastodon,

Mississippi, was a most orderly affair, conducted by the 'bankers, lawyers, farmers, and merchants of that county. The best people of the county-as good as the best anywhere-simply met and hanged Curl without a sign of rowdyism." Observe that the bankers, lawyers, and mercliants were the ringleaders in this "lawless" act of lyncling, and they must be constantly prosecuting and punishing people for "breaking the law." And they were surely Cbristians: they always are. Moreover, they seem to have followed the gospel according to Roosevelt ; and in spite of their "larlessness," he will no doubt regard thembeing middle-class people, and not Trade Unionists-as creyg desirable citizens. But what is it all leading to? Is Rooseveltism, now so popular, going to turn the whole world into an armed camp?

## A Forlorn Hope

Our old comrade Domela Nientivenhuis writes. to us from Amsterdam in reference to the strike of masons nud builders in that city. When the strike had lasted twenty-five weeks, and it was felt that-some financial help would turn the scales in the strikers' fayour, a letter was sent by the leaders to Mr. John Burns asking for help now that his salary had reached $\mathfrak{f j}, 000$ per annum, and reminding hinit of his old-time sympathy with strike movements. (ffeoutse, our friends in Amsterdam were only joking with Burns, and never expected any result-in which they were not disappointed, since no answer was received. It seems really beside the mark to attack Burns over his salary especially on the part of those here in England who would gladly follow in his steps. No, the evil of the whole business does not lie in the fact that he absorbs so much money from the State, but that he should have deserted the revolutionary cause for the political lie which inevitably means the betrayal of the workers. It is the first step that costs; all else follows quite logically. At the same time we may recall what Plimsoll said years ago of the rich helping the poor $\because$ "Riches, seem in so many cases to smother the manliness of their possessors, and their sympathies locome, not so much narrowed as-so to speak-stratified." Ies, stratified, then fossilised, and then the class distinction is complete,

## The Worker and his "Duty.

Is the wage system just? Does the worker only give that amount of labour force which is accounted for in pounds, shillings, and pence? Ridiculous! He is always giving more -even his life. Henry Rowden, aged 18, messenger at (Queen Street Station; Exeter,' was recently so terribly injured by some trucks that he died a few hours after. When he was picked up in a dying condition, he could only say: "Look after that message, it's very important." We are not told what his wages were, but probably even an Anti-Socialist would admit that he "earned his money."

## Anarchist Newspaper Offices Destroyed.

The Temps Soureavi: for June 25 gives the latest details of the fighting that has taken place in Buenos Ayres during the celebration of the centenary of the Argentine Republic. It was begun by the sons of the capitalist and middle classes, aided by students and police. They attacked and burned the offices of the Protesta, the Batalla, and the Fanguardia. All presses and machines were destroyed, and the pamphlets and papers burned, except those saved by some young people, even children, who distributed them amongst the crowd with cries of "Long live Anarchy!" Some groups of workers soon arrived armed with revolvers, and many skirmishes took place, ending in the flight of the well-to-do rowdies, who lost some killed and many wounded. After this a general'strike was declared; 95 per cent. of the workers coming out. In spite of 30,000 soldiers, everything is at a standstill ; and the authorities in their lage are passing all sorts of ridiculous laws which will do nothing to end the trouble. The I?rotesta is now being printed at Montevideo.

## MODERN SCIENCE AND ANARCHISM.

By' Peter Kroporkin.

## THE ANARCHIST IDEAL AND THE PRECEDTNG REYOLUTIONS.

Anarehism, as we have, allready said, arises from the course taken by practical life.

Godwin, contemporary of the Great Revolution of $17 \times 9-93$, had seen with his own cyes how the authority of the Government, created during the Revolution and by the Revolution itself, had in its turn become an obstacle to the development of the revolutionary movement. He was also awge of what went on in Fugland under cover of Purliament: the pillage of wommunal lands, the sale of advantageous posts, the hunting of the children of the poor and their removal from workhouses, by agents who travelled ail over Figland for the purpose, to the factories of Lancashire, where niasses of them suon perished. And (fodwin soon understood that a Government, were it even that of the Jacobin "One and Indivisible Republic," would never be alle to accomplish thệ̉ inecessary Social, Communistic Revolution; that a Revolutionary Government, by virtue' of its being a guardiani of the State, and of the privileges overy State has to defend; soon becomes a hindrance to the Revolution. He understood and openly proclamed the idea that for the triumph of the Revolution men must first get rid of their faith in Law, Authority, Unity, Order, Property, and other institutions inherited from past times when thicir forefathers were slaves.

The second Anarchist theorist, Proudhon, who came after (fodwin, lived through the Rerolution of 184, He was able to see with his owni eyes the crimes committed by the Republican Coverninent, and at the same time convince himself of the impotence of Lunis Hlanc's State Socialism. Vnder the recent impression of what he, had seen during the Revolution of 1848 , he wrote his powerful work; "General Idea on the 'Revolution," in which he boidly proclaimed Anarchism and the abolition of

And lastly, in the International Working Men's Association the Ariarchist conception also asserted itself after a Revolutionthat is, after the Paris Commune of 1s71. The complete revolutionary impotence of the Coungil of the Commune, although it contained, in a very just prapoittion, representatives of all the revolitionary parties of that time: Jacobius, Blanquists, and Internetionalists : and the incapacity of the General Council of the International Workings Men's Association, which was sitting in London, and its silly, harmful pretensions to govern the Parisian movement hy 'orders issued from Eigland ; both these lessons opeined the eyes of a great number. They led several Federations of the International, and several of its prominent inembers, including Takunin, to meditate on the harmfulness of every kind of authority, even when it:is elected with as nuch treedoin as that of the Commune or that of the Workers' International.

Some months later, the decision taken by the General Council of the International at a private meeting convened in London in 1871 , instead of an anmal Congress, made the dangers of a Goverment in the Internationalisstill more cvident. Dy this 'baneful reolution"the forces of the Association, which up till then gatheied together for an economic, revolutionary struggle, for the dhrect action of the Labour Unions against the Capitalism of emplovers, were to engage in an clectoral, political, and Parliamentary movement, which could but waste and destroy their real forces.

This resolution brought abnut ojen rebelifion among the Latin Federations of the Association - - panish, Italian, Jurassic, and partly Belgian-against the General Council; and irom this ychellion dates the Anarchist, movement which we see going on.

We thus see that the Anarchist movement was renewed each time it received an impression from some great practical lesson : it derived its origin from the teachings of life itself. But no sooner had it sprung up than it began to work put a general expression of its principles, and the theoretical and scientific basis of its teachings. Scientific-not in the sense of adopting an incomprehensible slang; or clinging to ancient metaphysics, but in the sense of finding a basis for its principles in the natural sciences of the time, and of hecom ng one of their departments.

## ***

At the same time it worked out its own ideal.
No struggle can be successful if it is unconscious, if it has.no definite and concrete, aim. No destruction of existing things is possible if men have not already settled for themselves during the struggles that lend to the destanction, and during the period of destruction itsclf, what is going to take the place of that which is to be destroyed. Even a theoretical criticism of "what exists is not possible without one picturing to oneself a more or less exact inage of that which he desires to see in its place. Consciously or unconsciously, the 'ideal, the conception of some.
thing better, always grows in the mind of wheever criticises existing institutions.

It is the more so with men of action. To tell men: "Let us first destioy: Capitalism and Autocracy, and then we shall see what we shall put in their stead," is but to deceive oneself and to deccive otheris. Never has a real force been created by deception: In fact, even the one who deprecates ideals and sneers at them always has, nevertheless; some conceptionit what he would like to see in lieu of what he is attacking. For example, while working to destroy Autocracy, some imagine an Finglish on on "German Constitution in the near future;' others dream of a Repullic subject- perhaps to a' powerful dictatorship of their party, of of a Momarchical Repullic as in Prance, or a Federative Republic as in the United States; while there is now a, third party which concei es a still greater linitation of State power, a still greater liberty for the cities, for the Communes, for the workers' Unions, and for all sorts of groups united anong themselves by free, teniporary federation; than can be obtained in any Republic.

And when people attack Capitalism, they always have a certain concoption, is vague or definite iclea, of what they hope to see in the place of Crpitalism : State Capitalism, or some sort of Ntate Communism; or a federation of free Communist associations for the production, the exchange and the consumption of commodities.

Each party has thus its own conception of the future-its ideal which enables it to pronounce its own judgment on all facts occurring in the political and economic life of nations, and inspires it in its search fir suitable means of action, in order the better to march towards its aim. It is, therefore, natural that Athrochism, iathough it has originated in every-day strugg'es, "has also worked to claborate its ideal. And this ideal, this aim,
these plans, soon scparated the Anarchists, in their means of these plans, soon separated the Anarchists, in their mieans of action, $f_{1}$ om all political parties, as also, in a very great measure, from the Socialist parties which have thought it possible to keep
the ancient Roman and Canonical idea of the State and to the ancient Roman and Canonical idea of the state and to
transport it into the future society of their dres transport it into the future society of their dreams.
(T'o be continued.)

## Pages of Socialist History.

By W. TCIERKEsoff.

## CONCENTRATMON OF CAPTTAL <br> TIT. (Continuction).

We repeat that the huge fortunes and profits of our time are not made by "the killing of small capitalists," but by cheapening the commodities and public services to such an extent that they come within the reach of everybody:
"Exploit each individual as little as possible, but increase their number as much as possible," is the notto of modern production and consumption.

It is especially in the revenues of the railway and tramway companies that we see the action of this tcudency to the exploitation of the great masses.

In 1837 there were in England 3,000 miles of railway which carried $20,000,000$ passengers ; in 906 there were 73,063 miles of railway carrying $1,286,883,3+1$ passengers. The gross receeipts from the passenger traftic in 1906 were $£ 49, \times \mathbb{2}, 776$, and the net profit was $£ 18,955,426$, which theans that the average profit on each passenger was $3 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~d}$. Tn order to have made the same profit in 1837,'the average net profit on eath passenger ought to have been 17s. 6d.

The tramwa s particularly demonstrate that a public service accessible to everybody requires an infinitely small amount from each passenger above the working expenses of the service in order to make a huge total profit. In 1906 there were in the Vnited Kingdom 2,240 miles of trumway lines, with a gross receipt of $£ 10,643,000$ and a net profit of $£ 3,807,425$. The numbur of passengers was $2,236,012,777$, which means that cach, passenger paid only 1.63 farthings alove the a tual cont of his travel. Pennies and farthings from each of the huge mass of passengers create the millions of pounds profit distributed among a few thousands of capitalists.

We see the same phenomenon in dvery hranch of modern industry. Above all, the inventions in typography, which have given fabulous development to the daily press and to the book trade, give us evidence that the concentration, "the expropriation of the greatci number of capitalists by the few," has inot taken place in real life. Everybody knows that the number of book ${ }^{2}$ sellers is increasing, that the great newspapers ane enriching their proprictors ; but very few people understand thie true reason of these things.: The journals existing were in-

|  | England. | Cnited Statos. | France. | fermany. | Entire Wor'd. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1840 \ldots \ldots$ | 4,39 | 1,210 | 776 | 305 | 4,016 |
| $1891 \ldots \ldots$ | 1,840 | 15,392 | 4,100 | 5,500 | 38,036 |

These 38036 journals had an incredible circulation :- -
England issued monthly:........... 150 millions,
United State
France
Gemany
Germen

The progress which the press has made since niay be judged from the figures for the luited states, which are the only ones to hand. In 1905 the newspapers and periodicils numbered 21,400 compared with $15,39.2$ in 1891; the monthly issue of those journals has increased from 230 million to $6+7$ million copies.

The proprietors are almost ten times more numerous, the writers receive higher remuneration, the compositors and all employees receive better wages, the price of the journds has diminished, and yot we know many journals which hing more profit than gold mines. A clever publisher, a fashionable writer, an artist, a popular musician, thanks to the number of copies of a cheap edition, becomes rich. For instance, in 1893 an English paper, the Daily News, said that the young composer Mascagni was already twice a millionaire. Sixty years ago the great Beethoven was dying of hunger in a garret. To-day millions ot people play the melodies of "Cavalleria? Pusticana", while the sonatas and symphonies of that great musical gemius, Beethoven, were, during his lifetime; bought only by a few thousand comoisseurs who loved those divine pares:

What takes place in commeree and in the publie services, in the book trade and in the daily pess, takes phace also in finance and in industry . If capita's are associated in somo undertaking, it is not to deprive the caipitalists of their capital, nor is it 'in order that "one capitalist should kill off many others." On the contrary, they unite to exploit the produ ers loetter, to reatise at larger share of profit for exch partiopant. We have seen absi that the number of proprietors, of parasitical annuitants, has increased, and even tripled, in the last fitty years

As regards finance and banking, I will confine myself to citing the statisties for the United ritates. My reason for this is that as everybody knows, with the Americans banking and financial enterprises start up and disamear like mushroums: besides, private fortunes, difficult to-ejalculate, atte created there more rapidly than in Europe. $\because$ It the su-called "inevitalle" law of the "expropriation of the greater number of capitalists by the few" were to show its effects, the United Stares would present the most farourable conditions. Yet the number of banks and the amount of their capitals are growing without cessation.

Heteare the figures; they are eloquent:-


The metaphysicians will tell you that this increase is due to European innigration. Certainly the immigration was enormous but the greater part of the immigrants were poor derils of workers. This immense accumulation of capital is due, as in all branches of cantemporary sacial activity, to the progress of the inductive sciences, to their discoveries and marvellous inventions, in no way to Capitalism. The latter confines itself to appropriating then, just as they are scized upon a so by Militarism;' by Clericalisn, and by all the privileged who group themse ves round the State-supreme instrument for the oppression and exploitation of the people.

It is not, correct, as stated by one of my critics, that thest banks concentrate "the smallest sarings." The economies of the poor people are accumulated, not in ordinary banks, but in savings banks. Their number and their capitals also increase as rapidly"ats those of the financial banks.

In the savings banks of all the Europeay states the increase in round figures, was:-

| Year. | Deposits <br> in Pounds Sterling. | Numbir <br> of Depositors. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1850 | $52,700,000$ | $2,748,000$ |
| 1870 | $127,100,000$ | $10,428,000$ |
| 1889 | $648,800,000$ | $19,875,000$ |
| 1906 | $1,514,250,000$ | $33,284,000^{*}$ |

## * Postal savings banks only.

This sum of $£ 1,514,250,000$ represents the accumulated savings, or say rather the privations, of the producing casses. In order to have some small resources for the evil days of illness
and old age-those nightmate in a workrs life they and their families are obliged to live in a continuous state of privation, which in the end results in the degencration of the race.

## 11

In order to tinish with this fatalistic and fundamental law of the Marxian creod, let us see if this concentration does not show itself in the princinal industry of humainty -agricilture. 1 must say that Max never omphasie ed the application ot this formula to the land "question, except in his shderess to the International. The loctrine of the expropriation of the small landowners and theaconcentration of the-lenth in the hands of a few large propictors, belongs to Frederick Engels and othor follow r's of Marx

Aecording to Engels and his school, not onls should conerntation, "the expropriation of the egreater number" by the few," take place in the possession of the soil; but until the peasents lose their laud and become proletarians, socialism could not develop itself in any country. Huring the last forty yours this doctrine was preached, with adow and become a conmonplace in the speeches and perss of social Democracy all over the word, especially in Germany and liussia. But if one inquires on what facts Engels based his doctrine, we find only one indication, viz., the modern history of England. But if theser would-be scientists were even superticially acquainted with the la story of Fingland, they would know that it wan not by any law of concentration but by violanee and frated that the land was stolen from the people by the linullords, wo afterwards legalised their robsery by Acts of Parliament.

Macanday, in his'" History of Englant, rol. I., chap, in, suts: "The number of Encloswe Acts passed sinte (heorge the Feeond came to the throne exeeds fon theusand. The area enelosed under the authority of these Acts exceeds on a moderate calionttion, ten thousand square milis."
"Betwe 1710 and 1760 , for instance, 304954 aures were enclosed ; and betwen 1760 and 1843 the number rosi to
 England,"p. 116.)

Towards the second part of last century puldic opinion legan to be alamed with this legalised land-grabling, and a sories of Acts of Parliament, the last of which was passed in 1903 (the Act aganist Thlawful Enclosure), tried to put an end to this shameful practice. Timid and insignifieant as these Acts were nevertheless before the end of the century the number of smal! landholders in England began to increase; as may be seen from the following tal) :-

| Ara of Póssessions. | Number of Passessors. <br> 1885. Incruast: <br> 1889. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From 1 to 1 acre . .: | 23,512 | 2S, 65 | -1,14) |
| , 1." 5 acrosm | 135,736 | $11+4,185$ | - 4 4! |
| " $), 20$ | 148,806 | 1\%1,3\% | 0.566 |
| $\because 20 \% 50$ | , 84,149 | (5, 210 | 1,061 |
| Total | 392, 003 | +109, $4 \times 2$ | 17,210 |

## ("Statesman's Vear Book," 1F9n, pp. 69-71.)

Unfortunatoly, reliabre figures for later years aro not olstainable.

In helind particularly we see the increase in the number of landotners. In conformity with diferent Land Acts from 1869 till $1903, \pm 45,000,000$ was advanced to 134,311 tenants for land purchase, and the number of landowners rose from $5: 4,000$ in 1890 to 597,000 rian 1906. ("Statesima's Year Book," 1908 , p. 75.) As is seen'from these figures, England and Ireland still give a solemn contradiction to the scientific basis.
(To be continuell.)

FOR PEACEFUL REFORNERS.
It would be interesting to calculate-as we arrive at the bettei only through the bad-if the evils of a sudden radical and sanguinary revolution would be greater than the evils perpetuated in a slow evolution. It would ber useful to ask ourselves if it would not be better to act as quickly as possible; if, after all, the silent suffering of those who are waiting in injustice is not greater than that which the privileged of the 'present will have to bear during some weeks or months. It is so willingly forgotten that the executioners of misery and poverty are less noisy, less showy, but infinitely more numerous, more cruel, and more active than those of the most ferocious revolution. -Maurice Maeterlinck ("The Intelligence of Flowers").
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## CHANGING PLACES.

It must have often oucurred to the masses of makind who have to endure the hardships and dangers involved in the struggle for bread under present conditions, that those who enjoy the wealth that they create, who make the laws for them to obey, would be the first to protest and revolt if they had to suffer, even for a brief while, the lot of the wage-worker. And yet the majority of persons who do the governiug of mankind know simply nothing of the life-conditions they propose $\overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{O}$ "reform"; and even if they are sincere-as sometimes happenstheir lack of first-hand experience, combined with the stone-wall officialism that surrounds thero, renders it a matter of inpossibility for them to understand, still less to grapple with, the social problems they are al ways expecting to solve.

The upshot of it. all is failare, discontent, repression. ${ }^{\text {F }}$ For if these people cannot, by the fact of their own position in life, enter into the life of the worker, with all its miseries and uncertainties, still lesis can they understand the fierce outbreaks of irevolt that, in one place and another, are constantly giving the lie to their own platitudes about "all being done that is possible," and "steps, have been taken to remedy," etc., etc.- the sort of official cant that solaces the bureaucratic mind. "So
the inevitable happens, and the forces of the State are used to the inevitable happens, and the forces of the State are used to
shed the blond of the ingyates, who, far from appreciating the efforts of this or that Minister, who is (of course) devoted to their cause, find their hopes of reform once more dashed to the ground, and rise in anger and discontent against the authorities who enforce oppressive conditions.

At the present mowient these things are happening both in Egypt and in India. The outburst of Roose veltism at the Guildhall-which, if it had fallen from the lips of an Anarchist, would-have been regarded as an incitement to nutrage and murder-called forth a well-timed rebule from Bernard Shans. In the Now York. American he points out that even Roosevelt's term of Presidency has not enlightened him in the matter of democratic ideals, still less of a people's political and economic condition. He does not understand even the moral of the "Denshawi horror," as Shaw rightly calls it, any more than does Morley; the "'intellectual,"," surrounded by his fine books
and his new political "honours," and his new political "honours."

Nothing would ever put' understanding in to these people's minds but the light of hard experience. If it were possible for Morley to suffer the life of the Indian ryot, for Roosevelt the existence of the Egyptian fellaheen, we should soon hear an outcry, against injustice and despotism-if they had the same courage in adversity that they boast of in their power and affluence. But as such a change of places is out of the question, there is nothing for "it but the brutal blundering of Ministers and officials, tempered by such revolt of public opinion and awakening of the people as is possible in these times of a corrupt
and servile Press.

The same would hold good of all those "palliatives" the Labour Party are trying to obtain. If the workers will revolt against dangerous conditions, something may be done; and the advantage of this is that they may then learn to revolt against the whole capitalist system later on. If the impossible could happen, if the mineowners oould be induced to take their "shift" with the men, with all its dangers; if rail way directors
could be turned into' shunters could be turned into' shunters or platelayers, with the average chance of being cut to pieces, we should soon have the reforms that political action fails to secure. And perbaps, after all, this is not more impossible than a beneficent Act of Parliament. Only recently Lord Lonsdale, the owner of the mine, went
down the shaft at Whitehaven-after the fire liad bien bricked up. It would be an excellent thing if all mineowhers would do the same. They might experience something that would enlighten them. And this reminds us of the young parson who wrote a pamphlet and said to Douglas Jerrold," "Have you seen my 'Descent in to Hell' ?" "No," he replied, "but I should very
much like to." We should very much. like to see the exploiters much like to.". We should very much like to see the exploiters descend for a while into that hell they have created for the workers.

## INFERNATIONAL NOTES.

## Australia.

I am sending a brief report of May Day in Melbourne. As usual, the priest-ridden slaves came thorougbly organised to attack and break up our Anarchist meeting, but wo held the fort and iept the Red Flag of A narchy flying for two hours. I spoke for an hotur and obtained a good hearing. A lady comrade, Mrs. Barnes, followed. She made a strong attack on property and authority, which ought to have called forth loud cheers and applause; but she was speaking to superstitious Catholic slaves, not free men, so it had the opposite effect.. By this time there wer'e about 7,000 people round our platform, and a rish was made to upset it ;'but I had reckoned on that, and made the platform as firm as the Rock of Gibraltar. When they failed in their attempt, the stones commenced to Hy, und two ladies climbed on the platform. The police, seeing the danger, interfered. Then these godly Popish serfs, feeling they were helpless, contented themselves by singing and howling like hyenas. An old comrade, Montague Miller, who is severty-six years old, gave a revolutionary speech, making a strong attack on religion ind superstition, But the fat little priest had sent his Pope's lambs, or wolves rather, to rend us if possible. They howled and groaned and shook their fists like lunatics. I told then I pitied them, but not the black slugs of priests who had made them their dupes. By this time a number of our comrades had formed a cordon round the platform to keep off any who attempted to interfere with us, so, we continued until five o'clock, when three mounted troopers came and made way for us and escorted us through the principal streets, followed by a-flock of maniacs anxious to have the scalps of two helpless women and myself. This is what the priests are doing for Australia, they are trying to make it another Spain.

The Labour politicians, flushed with their victory, withdrew from the May Day demonstration the night before, their excuse being that the May Day Committee had withdrawn the compulsory arbitration clause from the platform. But the true reason was that the cowards were afraid of being connected with antimilitarism. The election was decided on what the Labour Party calls "New Protection." They received the support of the Melbourne Age, which is a strong Protectionist paper. This, coupled with the help of the priests, gave them their jobs in Parliament. . The workers appear jubilant because they do not reason, but their joy will shortly tarn to deep, regret, and experience will teach them that to rely on Parliament is courting failure every time. I am advocating Direct Action, the General Strike and the Social Revolution, and will continue doing. so as long as possible. One hopeful sign is the increasing number of :ladies who are commencing to take up Anarchism in Australia. We have been trying to obtain a hall for Sunday night lectures, but the managers are afraid to let us one. However, we shall continue to work for Anarchy and the Revolution.
J. W. Fleming.

To the above interesting letter we add the following, headed "Labour Party and Respectability," takei from the Melbourne Age for
"The Labour Party again came in for scathing denuriciation at a meeting of Socialists held in the Gaiety Theatie, Bourke Street, in the evening. There was a large assembly, and the business of the evening consisted of consideration of the same resplutionş as had been passed at the Yarra Bank in the afternoon. Mr. Ross, secretary of the Socialist Party, was. in the chair.-Mr. E. J. Price said that one question he would wish to ask was whether the Labour Party which formed the majority in Parliament really stood in the interests of the working classes. He had recently received a letter from one of the imprisoned workers who took part in the Red Flag demonstration to the effect that he could get out of gaol in August if he gave a surety for future good conduct. He would"not give it. Labour, the speaker continued, did not understand true Socialism. They claimed that they would represent all classes. They were going to represent equally the large colliery proprietor and Peter Bowling, the miners' imprisoned, leader, He appealed to the workers not to be led astray. The Labour Party had taken up the attitude of being too respectable:-Mr. J. W. Eleming followed in similar strain, and denounced the Labour Party because it intended to carry out a defence policy. Did they ever know the military on the side of the workers? (Voices: Never.) Or on the side of the strikers? (Never.). Or on the side of the unemployed? (Never.) The workers saw the first act of treachery that very day by the Members of the new Parliament. They had not taken part in the May Day celebration."

In all countries-in France by Millerand and his friends, in England by some Labour men - a belief in the blessings of compulisory arbitration is often shown. Attempts are even made to obtain this "reform" in those countries where the workers prefer to settle their
differences with the employers themselves. A ustralia gives us, amongst other lessons, this one also, that compulsory arbitration has become a powerfal weapon in the hands of the capitalists. In the Internutional Sociellist of Sydney list is given of the sentences on militant Socialists who refused to bow before the decisions of the Arbityation Boards. Peter Bowling was sentenced to two and a halt years' hard labour for having organised a strike against the award of the arbitrators.' Eight workers were condemned to eighteen months' hard labouf. Further in the list come sentences of, eight months' híd labour, and recently one of two years, after the miners' strike. This is the reform which was to benefit society and save "the workers from the "barburous system of strikes."

## Germany.

In Halle a Congress of the Anarchist Federation of Germany was held from May 15 to 17 . Twenty-three towns were represented by forty-five delegates. On the second day a private meeting was held to discuss the pripate affairs of the Federation, but nevertheless a dozen policemen penetrated into the hall and declared that they intended to stay or to prohibit the meeting allogether. Amongst the subjects on the agenda wele" Anarchism and.Organisation "and "The Attitude of the Anarchist Federation towards the Pree Syndichist Organisations." The subject "The Press and Propaganda" led to an animated discussion. It was stated that during the past year the $l$ reie 1 fheiter was confiscated nine times, and four trials took place, which ended in three cases with the eondemation of the editor and collaborator. The trial of two comdades for high treason ended in their acguittal after they had spent thirteen weeks in prison. "The sentences against the froie Arbeiter comrades weie two years, eight months, and six months' imprisonment. The raiding of the homes of comrades and their compulsory photographing were frequent. As far as is known, thirty-seven "objectionable foreigners" were expelled. As may be seen from these facts, Germinny is not yet a country of free speech, press, and meeting. Onr comrades have a"hard fight, and it is all the more to their honour that in those circumstances they do not allow themselves to be dincouraged; but continue to propagate Anarchist-ideals.

The lock-out in the building trade is ended. Whe Arbitration Commission which" had been, accepted by the employers and the men has come to the following decision:-With regard to the hours of work, in all parts of Germany where the working day was over $10 \frac{1}{2}$ hours it will be fxed at $10 \frac{1}{2}$ hons from April 1, 1911, till April 1, 1912 , when it will be reduced to 10 hours. In those places where not more than 101 hours are worked they will be reduced to 10 hours from April 1, 1911. At Frankfort and some other towns from Aprij1, 1911, $9 \frac{1}{2}$ hours will be worked. In all other towns and districts a diminution of the hours of work has been refused.. A slight increase in wages has been accorded, mostly to start during-the next few years. This increase was only made in view of the higher cost of living; a demand for higher wages on any other ground was rejected by the Commission. We are glad to say that the workers have not in every cuse submitted to the contemptuous treatment of their claims. At Dresden, in a noisy meeting, the masons rejected nearly unanimously the decision of the Commission. At Carlsruhe, Cassel, Maunheim, and other towns work Was not.started, as the men cousider themselves unable to submit to the award, which would bind them for several years.

## North America.

The strike of the sailors and boatmen on the great lakes in North America, which was carried on through the whole season of last year; still continues. The shipowners have been unable to break down the workers' resistance; they cannot find enough blacklegs to man their boats, and numerous accidénts have taken place in consequence. So at last the shipowners have called a conference in Chicago to discuss the situation. In the meantime' the men's Union is energetically carrying on the fight for their claims, which are the recognition of the Union and the abolition of the ill-famed labour books, a sort of worker's passport, without which he will not be taken on by an employer. Let it be said in passing that these labour passports are also causing the greatest embitterment among the miners of Westphalia.

## CORRESPONDENCE.

## LAW AND EXPERLENCE. (To the Editor of Freedom.)

Dear Comrade,-Your self-claimed victory is premature and undeserved, and I much regret the spirit shown in your last reply. ' I started this correspondence with the hope that an exchange of views might be of -I was about to say, of mutual instruction, but that would be too presumptuous on my part-at any rate, help me to strengthen my present beliefs or throw them over ; for I can assure you I am conscientious in my desire for truth. Your final admonition please take to heart yourself, a piece of advice not undeserved, for honesty of purpose is quite the least conspicuous characteristic of your replies to me. Thus in self-defence I am compelled to retraverse my ground.

In my first communication I referred to an editorial article in which appeared a sentence (quoted) containing the words "law" and "experience." You claimed that experience would do that ${ }^{\text {w }}$ which law would not. Being hazy as to your meaning, I queried why differentiate
between "law" and "experience," because to mie law, whether it governs games or peoples, whether good or bad, is the outcome of experience. In reply, you stated that law wasoconstantly at variance with experience; amendment Acts proved it, and so on.

In my second communication I admitted that experience is ofteri at variance with law, but equally true is it that experience is of ten in agreement with it. Some believe in a particalar law, some don't. The contention that majopity rule is wrong has nothing to do with the case. The point was and is, will law put the matter right from the Trade Unionist point of viow? The Trade Unions; guided by experience, are demanding fresh law. They will get it. And whether the law when passed will eventually recuire fresh amendment; is beside the mark. Tnstead of meeting this statement fairly, you took the two words from their context and gave the literal meaning of them; and when in reply I admitted they were not synonymous terms, you charged me with shifting. It is really too bad. I like to know what others are thinking about, even though I caunot agree; and I read Freenon with interest. Therefore I regret that a man of your ability should stuidionsly avoid meeting me fairly. It has taken two letters to make you admit that "experience may be responsible for statute law." In each reply to me you have dished up wholesale the evils of capitalist law and government. It is too ludicrous to badger a Socialist about such iniquities. If the theory of government is to protect the weak against the strong, and the practice is to make the strong more powerful, why charge me when I, staud for an administration the antithesis of the present one?

The remainder of the paragraph I agree to except the inference that in a socieby of equals the function of government will ceasedelegated administration will take its place.

There is just one other point I wish to draw attention to. The great mass of the people desire government, believe in it. Particular laws are objected to by jnterested parties, and cice versâ.' It is hardly comect to say that government and law are the expression of the minds of our masters only. I am not defending, only stating the fact.

You are also unjust to me concerning my remanks te compulsory free education. Your condemnation of our system of so-callerl.education is deserved, but please -don't evade the issue. Compared with no education (if that bepossible), the present curriculum is good. Take away the compulsory clause and thousands of children would suffer accordingly. I deplore that such is the case, but I must face the fhets of life. Under present conditions compulsory free education is useful and necessary for the capitalists, the proletarians, and for society: If useful, law cannot be inherently bad; if necessary to-day; who dare forecast when it will be unnecessary

If games are Anarchist in conception (and I agree), you must surely blush for their present plutocratic state. The constitutions of the M.C.C., Rugby Uiion, and Football Association nro connterparts of the present State government. "They are each formed by a few individuals almost entirely disconnected with the great mass of players under each code of rules, and the spectators who financially support the games. Seeing that these growths are independent of State laws, it is fair to assume they would show similar development under Anarchy. One is not bound to play, freedom of choice, say you. What a bogey this " Preedom" is!

A boy takes to games like a fish to water. The hereditary forces within him have grown side by side with the ones that have moulded the constitution of and set up the governing authorities of his games He has had no share framing the laws he obeys. His inborn love for games is sufficient. In fact, his nature decides for him, and he had no freedom of choice in natures. So you condemn the evils of State laws'and yet shut your' eyes tonsimilar evils in national games. The mere fact that you think the games are voluntary does not exonerate you. You are morally bound to condemn any evil in the society you form a part of. If I show the cloven hoof of authority, what devilry underlies your reasoning?

The same arguments apply to Trade Unions, Co-operative and Friendly Societies. They are growths caused by economic conditions, and aptly illustrate a form of "compulsory" free association too Gilbertian for serious thought. Co-operative Societies are capitalist in theory and practice.

We are all born Christians, Liberals, or 'Tories. During the early portion of our lives our characters are moulded, our very opinions determined by heredity and the influences around us. It is these pre-determined, hidebound prejudices which make it so very difficult for us to become conscious of the truth later in life. Freedom of choice is ever of varying quantities, and always hovering round the vanishing-point.

This precious so-called "free association" is also the guiding principle of our present ${ }^{\prime}$ chaotic industries, gambling dens, and other evils. I state the fact only to point out that free association does not bring with it all the virtues of true living. Trade Unions, Co-operative and Friendly Societies all testify how very weak is yourcase. They are all rigorously governed; democratic in principle, undemocratic in practice. Their executive bodies have almost unlimited power, and the rule of the majority degides. They are selfish in their interests, and merciless to any opposing weaker power.

Don't advance as argument such. statements as "in a society of equals such and such could not happen"" and "in an Anarchist community," etc., etc. They are not convincing; besides, the same claims can justly be made for Socialism.

With your much-vaunted "triumph" fresh in my mind, I cannot understand why my "subsequent' faulty reasoning" was left to the
readors, unless it be that you found prudence the better part of valour.
I do not confuse "State" with "society", and I have read Paine,'s "Rights of Man." Had you rend my letters carefully, you would have been spared the pain of appenling to "old. time Radicall"" to support. you. I have alrealy defined the State to be the mind of society. It exprosses the collective mind of the people, and allways reflects their econonic, mental, and moral coudition. The Anarehist contention that it is something outside and not from within seems to me to be poor logic. How can a thing be outside of that of twhich it is a part? Nature even recegnises a distivictly different organism such as the mistletoe to be part of the tree which gives it nourishment. In other words, the State can bloodsuck the people just as long as the peopple submit. Nature does not recognise the rebellious few until they are strong enough to make that power felt. The predominant forces in human society are politicul, and the political battle has to be foight to a fuish; Why are you not with us in the fight? Why your hostile inactivity? We can settle our differences when the common enemy is dead.

Are you really serious when you state the "results of free association in the religious world entirely refute you"? How cail slaves to superstition be free? Identity of interests,' desires, and beliefs, you mean. I suppose " "f reedoni"" of choice is operating when biids of a feather flock together. What a farce, and how Dame Natiure must laugh: 'All such argument can be used logically to justify brutal Governments, brothels," everyilhing. At least this is certain, the governing constitutions of religious sects refute your theory; Rooks inflict ostracism for unsocial conduct, and the "rogue" elephant affords a similar illustration of punishment upon a recalcitrant. Man acts in like mamer, and althougk I do not defend our present laiss, I ceittainly do repudiate the cilaim that free assocition among the lower animals is any argument for Anarchism. The rook cand live outside, man cannot: True. But it is a moot point whether banishment is less cruel than confinement. Natural laws do not enforce themselves directly upon every individual in society. Any dereliction of duty by the individual is, of course, directijy or indirectly, in litile or great meisisure fell by the whole community. But individuals living communally can eqade natural laws by imposing their obligations upon others, or,by the help. of tools. -Therefore, whilst I would give you freedom to invent a mechanical slave to satisfy the demands of the law of gravity, I should strongly protest atgainst your using at humau slave to supply you with the needs of life. Thas we fnd that it is incumbent upon sodiety see that certain uatural laws operate upon every unit forming it. That
wiss my reason for saying "certain natural laws must be olveyed." You wist my reason for saying "certain natural laws must be olleyed"." You again avoided the argument by substitutiug "all" for "certain." If natural liws "always eufforce themselves,". how is it that the cuckoo imposes its parental responsibilities upon other birds?

Mnu has lifted himself nupwards from the brutes by co-operation and the use of tools. Eacll chatige in the form of human society has been determined by correspondiug chiages in the tools-iiliprocements in machivery. Man's power is getting more determinate in its chanacteri, and, comparatively, the blind, instinctive, wiconscious efforts of the lower nnimals cannot be classed yith the prescient, teutative endeavours of man. I have not yead Kropotkin's " Miutual Aid," but I know the limitations of the lower organisms. Neither Kiopiotikin nor any other student of natual history claims for the bruite world that sub-division of labour as we underistand it: True, there is evidence of co-operation and mutual aid, but not the dividing-up, of the commodities and necesssuries of life into many parts, each part claiming its share of workers. It is just this new factor in humar production which has increased so extraordinuily man's power over Nature; and it entails directive ability, organisstiou. - I have already admiitted that A narchism is possible, ǐe., primitive tribal existence; but it is quite incompatible with a scientific form of societt. Any cotesion or understanding among the various groups would immediately bring into existence a body with a new function, independent of any particular group, jet related to the whole. We thus see that Collectivist principle is essentially State principle, the only alternative being mere aggregations of units without any unified purpose.

And now, in conclusion. We see that the use of tools has been chiefly instrumental in the advance of maukind upwards from the brutes. The sub-division of labour has made possible the introduction of machinery otherwise valueless. Or rather, the invention of new machinery has transformed craftsmanship into a complicited subdivision of industry, theereby making a controlling authority a necessity. With Socialism; the only rational system of human society yet conceived, all authority will be subselyient to the will of the people, and for the first time in the history of man secure for the whole of the people the freedom which the inventive genius of the race has made possible. Nature's thraldom-brutality-will then end, human nature will begin.-Yours fraternally,

A Bristol Soctalist.

## REPLY.

Dear Comirade,--Somehow I cannot. help feeling you were hardly in the frame of mind for sober arguneent whein you wrote your last reply. It would seem that your previous pose of hunility was mere simulation, and in this you throw off the mask. I will leave the readers to judge as to my "honesty of purpose."

There is little to be served in following you again over the ground we have already trodden. But whei you say the Trade Unions are guided by experience in demanding fresh law, I disagree. I contend
it is their unwillingness to be taught by it, and their superstitions belief in the very means of their enslavenent being used to liberate them, that guides them so unfortunately in this matter.

As to my "fairness in meeting you," that, like my "honesty of rpose," I will not discuss, but leave to the readers.

What an extraordinary thing it is, however, that one who chat'ges me with lack of "honesty of purpose" and "faiuness in meeting" him should deliberately try to twist what I write by saying it took two letters to make me admit "experience may be responsible for statate law." I did rothing of the kind, and you, like the readers, know it quite well. I will not give the half-lie quotation of Tennyson, but add what you wilfully left out:-" Experience may be responsible for statute law, for the strong and cunning-the predominant section in society at any given period-putting into writing their desires and wishes, and using the power of social institutions to enforce them. Yes, the experience of some; but what of it?" You do your best to deceive the reader as to what I said, but do not attempt to reply to the query? It was probably much easier.

The evils $I$ have tried to indicate are inherent in State government and statute law, apart altogether from the form they take." If Socialism is to bring in equality and social justice, it must be accompanied by new political principles and conceptions. To try to put the new wine of Socialism into the old bottles of State government is either to spoil the wine or burst the bottles. Anatchist Communists actively work all the time for a new bottle for the new wine.

Unfortmately; it is only too true that to-day many of the wageearners do believe in State government; theyda not wish to get rid entidely of the present wrongs, they only desire, if possible, to become masters thenselves. But they possess the mind which believes in slavery, since it is impossible to be a master without servants. So too, unfortunately, with State Sociahists; they; do not nebessarily wish to get rid of allexploitation, but only the capitalist form of it. They Wish to thansfer the govermment of both men and things from the privileged class of to day to a class of elected, persons plus permanent paid ofticials; in short, merely to change the exploiters, or more often, "lu- Bitiand and Biarus, become ofte of them to-day.

If I am in any way unjust, I regret it. . But on the point of compulsory education I must agnin point out that the belief -in and obedience to statute law led to material monopoly of all kinds, aud its resultant social misery. If we can destroy this stupid social supee: stition of statute law, brought into existence' to subvert the open violence of feudalism, we remove at the same time all semblance of excuse for compulsory education of infants, paid for out of taxation. The mutual education of free men and women would take its place. A hint of this may be gleaned from the "fyee" men ot ancient Greece Bat inherently badethings are sometimes uselfa; as poisons in inedicine.

What twadule you indulge in when:you tiy to discuss the Anatehism of games! It is true children are born into certain conditions, even as to playing games. But if they care to ulter dy vary the way of playing; to introduce rules of their own for old grimes, to start fresh ones altogether, or not plyy games at all, they are not punished by fine or imprisonment. "In this case the rebellious few need not bow to the others who prefer the old forms. Noither are they compelled to become members of the old clubs and pay the contribution (taxation) towards a game they no longer desire to play. Is there some fresh devilry in this argument of mine, E wouder? Or is it obsession in our comrade which makes him like a modern Pharaoh?

Certainly you would seem to know little of those free associations, -economic, fraternal, and trading. (Trade Unions, Friendly Societies, and Co-operative Societies), or you would not blunder as you do. The Co-operative. Societies are not "capitalist" in theory on practice. Interest upon capital is limited to 4 or 5 per cent. The rest of the net profit which wond be given to capital, if "ctpitalist in theory and practice," is distributed to the members in proportion to purchases made. Why not try to learn something about the subjects you wish to discuss? It might be beneficial to both you and the readers.

I do not expect free association (Anarchism) to eliminate all evil in society, But it will not compel me to subscribe to those things experience has taught, me to look upon as bad. To-day government and law do this, or else punish me if I refuse to support what I believe to be the very essence of evil. I am willing to trust to freedom and experience to teach the rest in time. As government-Socialist or any other - must always inflict this injustice upon those who have developed difierently to the rest, or cease to exist, Anarchists are against it as, a principle of social organisation.

Your faulty reasoning was left to the readers because it seemed so apparent. If on re-reading your letter it was not plain, I will only say Ferrer was not firee to leave his country after he was arrested, or I doubt if he would have been shot. When he was fiee to leave, he often did so. I had the pleasure of meeting him in London in May last year. Again, you admit that "an individual born into the Anarchist community in Gloucestershire can choose one of two courses: leave the colony to be sweated or remain to be bored"; but he has no choice in accepting the statute laws of any State. So while you were trying to show the futility of choice, you admitted there was some in certain directions, but none as to statute laws. This was all I contended. It is the extension of choice I stand for, The freedom of choice as to religious belidf or no religious belief has not aliways brought happiness; but it has given opportunity to every one who cares to test then for himself, and destroyed the old faith in dogmatic and infallible religious authoxity. "It has swept away the "rigorous" tortures
"reflect the mind of society." In this direction the principles of Anarchism bare worked well, and they are likely to be equally beneficial in social and political affairs in the future.

I'may sary I read your letters cirefully, and have suffered more pain at the thought of the injury which would follow the upplichtion of your reactionary political principles to Sucialism than, in appeating to old-time Radicalism for support of mive. If only most State Socialists had as clear an insight into political principles as some of the philosophical Radicals had, it would be a good thingr for Socialism.

State Governments by no, means represent the mipd of society, only a section of it.

Anarchists cannot fight side by side with those who they are convinced would perpetuate, under another form, the evils they combat to-day. It is not hostile inactivity, but active liostility to every form of the common enemy-belief in State government, the foundation and abetter of capitalist and every other form of exploitation, that animates the A narchists everywhere.

Thave already dealt with freedom of choice in religion, and have nothing to add. But when you bracket bratal Covermments and brothels together, I must point out that base as brothels are for sex einjoyment, they are in principle much more moral than Governments. They do not inflict punishinent on those who refuse to support them. Government and statute law are the great social prostitutes, raised by superstition to the position of being able to levy blackmail on all.

Your long rigmarole as to natiral law, again, does ntot help you.
-. Nature knows nothing of parental responsibility, only of parental instinct. Thus the foster parents seem just as happy to feed the young quckoo as if it was their own. If not, there is no statute law to compel them to go on.

You we entirely wrong ind quite capitalist in saying that it is the sub-division of labour which has increased so extraordinarily mon's power over ATature. It has lititle or nothing to do with that. It is even doubtfil if it has increased the power of production anything like as much as is clamed by some economists. But in any case it has no bearing on the relative merite of State government or free association as the political basis for society. The sub-division of labour is fast as easy of application in either. Obsessed with State (territorial) givernment, and statulte law, its child, you are blinded to the fact that production is carried on by "mere aggregations of units," who, apart from and independent of "State principles," often throughout varions hostile political States, in many industries, even to day, show a "unified purpose." They would do so still more if State Governments and capitalists disappeared altogether, and would rapidly develop greater unity with the absence of these restrictive and uniuatural agencies.

In conclusion, it would be interesting to lean what your conception of a "State." really is. To-day the modern Suate is only that portion of territory and its people over which a certain Government enforces its statute laws. It is no longer based on race or religion or language; only on the power of taxation to maintain the institutions to enforce the laws? Is the United Kingdom to be a unit? If so, what about Irelind? "Or" is the whole British Empire to be a unit?. Is little Denmark; with one-third the population of Greater London, to be a unit? Or Holland or Belgimm? Aud what of Russia, or Germany, or, more mixed still, Austria? Let us know where we are.

You say " the predominant forces in human society are political, and the political battle has to be fought to a finish." Well, Anarchism is a political icleal": the "inere aggregations" of free individuals with a initel purpose, independeut of territory:

Sucialism, on the other hand, is "an economic theory," as yet matied, without any definite political principles at all. You may have some as a Socialist; to my inind, brutal, anti-social, qoercive, authoritarian, ractionaty; but it is inle to cham such for Sucialism. They are only yours as an individual Socinlist, or those of some party of Socialists.

Between your State-cum Catholic Church, conception of society and that of the Anarchists are all shades and degrees. Yours would logically lead back to fendalism in industry, and mobably to final slavery.

The Anarchist's political ideas are in line with all human progress. He leaus from experience, but mistrusts statute law. He knows that what is given by law can be taken away by law, The. Trade Unions will, nay, are already begiming to leavit this. Those who asked for legal protection, and registered under the Trade Union Act, must now subwit to legal injunctions. But those who rewained unregistered, as nearly oue-third did, carr still use their funds as they desire, without let or hindrade by the institutions of their mastere. These are some of the facts which are helping the Aurchists in their ever-active propagauda.

Finally, may com: teonsly remind yon that. whei you are in the might, there is no need to get'annoyed and call me names; when. in the wrong, you can hardly afford to.-Yous fraternally,

Time Writen of the Artiche.
[If "A Bristol Socialist" wishes to reply, he must be brief in his remarks.-En. Fra:enon.]

## 

## By C. L. James:

Price Twopence ; by post, "Twopence-Malfpenny.
The Author says: "The Malthasian Theory is the strongest possible argument for that king of, Socialism or Anarchism which proposes, throngh empplete emancipation "of women, to abolish the fundamental fyrimy from whence all others spring."

## PRINTED PAGES.

French fiturlening. By Thos, Smith, F.R.H.S. Price not stated. Loudon: Joseph Fels, 39 Wilson Street, Finsbury, I.C.
There must be a growing demand for such a book as this, and although several have appeared, probably this would stand first in its practical utility. The introduction tells us how mugh has been accomplished on the Mayland farm, which is' the outcomet f Mr. Fels's good sense in showing what can be dorie by those whe work together in solidarity and goodivill. It also proves how hopeless' it is to expect ahything but disaster in calling in the lielp of the State. The author deplores the iction of Mr. John Binus, who destroyed the usefulness of the Hollesley Bay experiment; and; he adds: "Since the work cannot go forward,:it goes batekward; and Hollesley bay, aftor its spleudid inception and fiae prormise, threatens to degenerate into another workhouse, or sumething little better." As to the book itself, it seems complete in all needful details, and it onght to encomage tho people to turnto a consideration of the land question. Here, at any vate, the "practical". Englishman can see that he gets something for his money and laboun.' Kropotkin writes some "Forewords," and few have done more than he to papuliarise the idea of intensive cullure in England.

An Jrish Industriad Recioctl. By R. B. Cunningliame (Graham. 1d. Can be obtained of W. Robinson, 167 York Street, Belfast.
This ista little picture, given in Cunninghame Gmhan's best style, of how ar quiet, peaceful hatle village in the North of Ireland was transformed into a miniatue Nanchester by the advent of a capitalist with his capital, who caine and buit a mill. The conchening lines tae sad, but true of so mary bearaifll spots on the firce of this earih:-
"Perhaps they liked the change from being wakened ly the lowing of the kine, to the 'steam-hooter's' call to work on the dark winter mornings-calling them ont to toil on pain of los; of work and bread, and seeming, indeed, to say:-6 Work, brother! Up and to work; it is move blessed far to work than sleep. Up! leave you' beds; rise up; get to your daily task of making wealth for others, on else starve; for Capital"has come!'"

Periuarl stuac as lutist-philosophan: un Eusposition of shavirnism. By Renee, M. Dencon. Is. net, London: A. U. Fifield, 13 Clifford's Iun, E.C.
Raffertions sut, L'Tudicidlutisme. Par Manuel Devaldes. 1 fr. Paris: La Lidertaire, 15 Rue D'Orsel.
IIstoire Prochaine: Roman socicliste. Par Albert Quantin. Paris: Bibliotheque Charpentier, 11 Rue de Grenelle.

## PROPAGANDA NOTES.

[Reports of the Mocement are specially inoited, wind should be sont in not leter than the 25 F 应 of wash month.]
Cilasion:
During the last two months meetiogs have been hetd at (ioel Square almost every Sinday. fiond andiences have been the rale. Onr comrade Harett has fripuenty spoken for over two hours at a stretch, and his exposition of Abuchist Commanisu has been luciel and fald of vigour, its revolnimary side being especially emphasisel. This has stimulated a spirit of inguiry whici has beeta shown by the steady sale of literature. It is cliaracteristic of all the metings that keen interest was manifested in the sharp upposition offered and questions anked hy diffirent members of the audience. At all the meetings our phafom has bern decupied by opponents, whose opposibion barrett has vasily replied to.

On the First of May, on which evening wee held one first meeting, several comrales were present assisting in the sale of literature, etc. At the following meetings bo comates have fieen present to assist in any way, fud Barrett and I bave had toritun the methats maselver, Burutt doing practically all the speaking, which entaits an enmmons annunt of work on our compale. Lucal comrades and those in sympathy wilh utare recpuested to attend the meetings, which are held at Giet signte every sumdry evening at 730 . Letters should be addresed to '(i, Binceti, care' of 1). Baxter', 32 Bruuswick Street.
D. Kenvedy.

## Liverpool Internationat Monern Schood.

We bope to reoneei the sehool the first sumay in Augnst. In the meantime we are endeavouring to raise funds towards an outing for the youngsters on August 6 . If the necessary sulseription is not forthcoming, it is frated that the little unes will be denied their annal outiing.

We hat a good meeting at Wellington Column on June 18. Mat Kavangh and a comrade from Brithton beld the fort in good syyle. Gur newly arrised comrade has riecided to take up his abode in Liverpool. ILe is the secretary of a group of enthusiasts who mane themselves Revolutionary Industrialists and attack all superstitions mblor. Why do we nol hear of them in Eremom, The interchange of ideas assurydy makes for piogress. heк.

## Walmamerow

Good meetings have heen held at Elmonton and at West Green, Tot tenham, especially at the latter place; plenty of questions and discussion, and, what is more important, a good sale of literature. I mentioned nast month abrut a rowdy meetiug we had when Comrade lay was speaking at Hoe Street, Walthamstow. The following Wednesday it came to a climax and uifortunately only three comrades iurned up. I opened the meeting
and Comrade Ponder followed. W, had a small but attentive audience. 'The subject of Ponder's address was the wage system, which he ably dealt with, clearly showing to his andience how the workers are robbed, and the uselessuess of the vote in getting rid of the roblem otgas- But towards the end of his speech there came what was to all appearances a well-organised force of gentlemen in plain clothes, who ithmediately began to interrupt the meeting and attempted to rush the platform, but they wére only successful in putshing Pouder off the stool. He immediately.jumped up again, but no sooner was he on the platform than there was another rush, our comrade being bodily canried a way.. The meeting was broken up and we lost our stool, but that we have replaced. It is to be hoped the cyowd will learn in the near future to use direct action, not on their fellow workers, but against their enemips, the capitalist class and their hirelings.

Comrade Ray wias the speaker at West Green Corneron Sunday, June 5. On the 12th, at the same place, Punder gave a good address on the concentration of capital, and was opposed by the only Socialist Party (so they tell us), the Socialist Party of Great Britain. Ponder has challenged them to a debate. On the 19 th our comrade Baron gave a very interesting address. He-had a good deal of opposition, and dealt with it in a very satisfactory manner.
W. Fanner.

## Reghnts' Park.

We bave been having some good meetings bere on Sundays, morning and afternoon. Our, comrade Greenbonn is ibe moving spirit. Comrade . Ray has spoken a good many times ayd is always highly apprecinted for his open style and fair treatment of opponenis. We had a debate on the 12 th between Greenboum and Mr. Lawson, State Socialist, on "Direct Action versus Legislation." Mr. Lawson/thinks we must have authority to prevent doga barking at night and to pyevent the marigolds in Regent'\% Park beiug picked in the daytime. The debate, however, was very interesting, was conducted in the most courteous maner, and was listened to by a large and intelligent audience. We pave the audience here, we want more speakers. The public haye lad juse about enough of milk-and-water Socialism, and 'are now ready for a stronger diet.
T. P .

## Southwark.

We continue to have good gatherings at St. George's Circus on Thursday evenings. We have, lately secured a new speaker, a very young man, but who promises to give a good account of himself in the future. Comrade Ray is our mainstay, however, and has been doing fine work. Some amusement was caused at one of our meetings some time ago by one of the inhabitants rushing out of hisi shop and denouncing the speaker. He thought he had only to ghout "Bomb-thrower!" to raise hostility, which" he did-against himself After raving and fuming for a few miuutes, he retired auidst laughter. They are a very lively lot here, and speakers who like being heckled had better come and have a go.
T. $P$.

Guy A. Aldred was released from, Brixton Prison on July 2, and intends to carry on propaganda as usial. On Friday, July 8, at 8 p.m., he will lecture at the Lambeth Liberal and Radical Club, 28 a. Wincott Sireet, Kannington : madem, Sunday. July 10 at Brockwell Park, at 3.15 and 6.15

## WALTHAMSTOW AND EDMONTON ANARCHIST GROUP.

Meetings are held every Șunday at Edmonton Green at 12 o'clock, at West Green Corner, Tottenham, every Sunday evening at 7 o'clock, and opposite $\mathrm{Ho}-$ Street Station, Walthamstow, every Wednesday evening at 8 o'clock.

## GLASGOW ANARCHIST GROUP.

Comrades Barrett and McAra are holding meetings on Sundays at Goel Square, at 7.30 p.m. ; and on Wednesdays at Cathedral Square, at $8 \mathrm{p}: \mathrm{m}$. Local comrades please note.

## MONTHLY ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

('June 1-July 6.)
Freedom Guarantee Thind.-R, Clarke 1s, C. M: Stoycoft 4s, Freạ 6d, T. S. 5s, Ormonde, Leeds, 6 d .
Freedom Subscriptions. - Y. Hemmi 4s, A. H. Holt 2s 6d, R. Stubbs ls 6d, A. Storey ls 6d, T. P. Lehan 4s, A. Heys, ls 6d, A. Wilbers 3s, G. Teltech is 6 d .
Frank Kitz Fund.-R. N. R. 6d, E. Carpenter 5 's.

## A.NEW EDITION

Mhe Fainous Speechés of THE
EIGEIM CEIMCRGO ANXICEISRS.
Price 1s., Postage 2d.
"FREEDOM" OFPICE, "127 OSSULSTON STREET, N.W.
A Fine. Reproduction of a

## PHIOTO OF MI. BEIKUNIN.

Price 2d., post-free 3d.; 1s. 6d. per dozen.
NEW PROPAGANDA LEAF'LET?
XYhat is Anarchism?
6d. per 100 post free ; 4s. per 1,000.

## MOMEEER ERIRTME

## Published by Emma Gondman.

Offices: 210 East 13th Street, New York City, U.S.A.
Can be obtained from Freedom Office. 6d, monthly, post-free 7d, Back numbers supplied.

## The Great French Revolution,

 1789-1793.By P. A. KROPOTKIN

$610^{\circ}$ pages 8vo, cloth, 6s. net, postage sd

## KROPOTKIN'S MOST FASCINATING WORK. MEEINOIRS OF a REYOLUTIONIST

## 2s., postage 4 d .

500 pages, well hound in cloth, with Portrait.

## PAMPHLET AND BOOK LIST.

anarchist communism: Its Basis anp Prixciples.' By Peter Kropotikin. 1d.
anarchosm: its Philosophy and Ideal. By P. Kropotriviv. id antarchist morality. By Perek Kropotimy. ld.
anarchy. By E. Malatesta. 1 d .
THE WAGE SYSTEM. By P. KropotKIN. 1d.
A TALK ABOUT ANARCHIST COMMUNISM BETWEEN TWO WORKERS By E. Malatesta: ld.
THE STATE: Its Historic Role. By Peter Kropoteiv: 2d. expropriation. By. Peter Kropotkin. 1d.
DIRECT ACTION $v$. LEGISLATION. By J. Blair Smith. id
THE PYRAMLD OF TYRANNY. By F. Domela Nieuwenheis. 1d LAW AND AUTTHORITY. By Peter Kropotkin. 2d.
THE SOCIAL GENERAL STRIKE. BY ARNOLD ROLLER. 2d.
THE PLACE OF ANARCHISM IN SOCIALISTIC EYOLUTION By Peter Kropotkin: Id.
THE COMMUNE OF PARIS. By Peter Kropotkiy. ld. ANARCHISM AND ${ }^{\circ}$ OUTRAGE. $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$.
THE BASIS OF TRADE UNIONISM. By EMILE POUGET. $1 d$. AN APPEAL TO THE YOUNG. By Pefer Kropotkin: 1 d. WAR. By Peter Kropotrin: l'd.
SOCIALISM THE REMEDY. By HENRY Glasse. Id.
EVOLUTION AND REVOLUTION. By ELISEE RECLUS. Id. THE KING ANDD THE ANARCHIST. 'ld
MONOPOLY; or, How Labour is Robbed. Willifam Mirris. Id USEFUL WORK versus USELESS TOIL. By William Morris, Id THE INTERNATIONAL ANARCHIST CONGRESS, 1907. 1d. ANARCHY v. SOCIALISM. By:W. C. OwES. 2d., post free $2 \frac{1}{2} d$. WHAT I BELIEVE. By EMMA, Goldman. 2d., post-free $\frac{9}{3} d$. PATRIOTISM. By EMMA GoLDMAA: 2d., post-free 2 dd.
ANARCEISM AND MALTHUS: By C. L. James. $2 d$.
THE GOD PESTILENCE. By JOHY MOST. 11
SOCIAL DEMOCRACY IN GERMANY. By GUSTar Landater. id d ANARCHLSTS AND ESPERANTO. 1d.
KROPOTKIN: The Man and His Message. Br T. Swar. id.
THE TERROR IN RUSSIA. By P. Kroromini ed., postage lid.
GOD AND THE STATE. By Michabl Bakcina, Cloth ls. met, paper 6d. net, postage 1 d .
THE GREAT FRENCH REYOLTTION, 1789-1793: By PETER Kropotisis. 6as net.
ANARCHISM, By Dr. Paur Eltzbacher. 6s. 6d. ; postage 4d.
MUTUAL AID. By PETER KROPotkin. 3s. 6d. post-free.
FIELDS; FACTORIES AND WORKSHOPS. By PETER KROPOTKI:
Paper cover 6d, post-free 9d. ; cloth ls., post-free 1s. 3d.
MEMOIRS OF A REVOLTTIONIST. By Peter Kropotian. 2 s . MODERN SCIENCE AND ANARCEISM. By P. KRofotkin. 1s, NEWS FROM NOWHERE. By WILliam Morris. 1s. 6d;; postage 4d. A DREAM OF JOHN BALL: By Wrlliam Morirs. 2s, postage 3d. WHAT IS PROPERTY ? By.P. J. PRot̃bHon' 2 vols. 2s., postage 4d. PRISONS, YOLICE AND PUNISHMENT. 'By E. CARPENTER. Paper Is:, cloth 2 s, , postage 3 d .
ENGLAND'S IDEAL. By EDward CARPETTER. 2s. 6d. and ls., post. 3d. a VINDICATION OF NATURAL SOCIETY. By EDMOND BUREE. ls. and 6d., postage 2d. and 1d.
WALDEN. By H. Thoreac. 1s, and 6d., postage 2d. and 1d.
All orders, with cash, should be sent to
Manager, "Freedom"Office, 127 Ossulston Street, London, N.W.
"FREEDOM" MAY BE OBTAINED of'
London.-Hendersons, 66 Charing Cross Road, W.C. (Wholesale).
Hendersons, 26 The Pavement, Cliipham Common, S.IV.
W: Reeves, 83, Charing Cross Road, W.
O. Mathias, 20 , Little Pulteney Street, $W$.
B. Ruderman, 71 Hanbury Street, Spitalfields, e,
J. J. Jaques, 191 Old Street, City Road, E.C.

QUTcKikalls, 238 York Rood, and 6 I High Street, Battersea, S.W.
E. H. Joursoy, 23 Bride Lane, Ludgate Circus, E.C.

Liverpool.-E. G. Smisur, 126 Tunnel Road:
Chas. J. Grant and Son, 8 and 9 Lord Street Arcade.
Leeds.-A. Goldberg, 14 Millwright Street.
Manchester.-J. Burgess and Co.; 15 Peter Street.
Glasgow.-D. Baxter, 32 Brunswick Street.
D. G. LiNDSAY, 139 West Nile Street.

Dundee.-L. Midartney, 203, Overgate.
Belfast-W. Robinson, 167 York Street.
Paris.-Kiosque, Boulevard Bonne Nouvelle, opposite La Menagere.
Kicsque, Boulevard Sebastopol, corner of Rue Rambateau.
U.S.A.-N. Notkin, 1332 S .6 6th Street, Philadelphia, Pa.
M. Maisel, 422 Grand Street, New York, N. Y.

New Zealand.-P. Joseres, 32 Cuba Street, Wellington.


